STETSON UNIVERSITY

Remembering



to address the challenges that students might miss due to illness or other personal issue

Bloom's taxonomy is a set of principals used to identify the complexity of learning objectives. In flipped environment, out-of-class time is spent on the lower levels, while in-class-time is spent on the higher levels. Previous meta-analyses of the flipped classroom effect on student achievement concluded a positive relationship between academic

achievement and flipped environment across multiple disciplines [2] [3], for exceptions see [4]. Methodology





Effect Size

The Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 3.0 was used. The studies that did not provide mean, standard deviation, sample size, t-value or pvalue, were analyzed further to see if the data can be extracted in any way. The studies that reported more than one outcome, we took an average of outcomes to produce one single point estimate.



Favors Control Favors Flippe

Flipped Classroom in Life Sciences: Meta-Analysis

Anastasiia Gryshyna & Alexey Leontyev

Research Questions

What are the effects of flipped classroom on student achievement in biology classes?

What are the possible factors that influence student achievement in flipped classroom?

Is there a publication bias?

Publication Bias

Publication bias occurs when studies that do not show a positive or significant result are not published, creating error [5]. To assess this issue, we generated following tests:

- Classis Fail-Safe N: Number of hypothetical articles that needed to remove publication bias $\rightarrow N = 332$
- Begg and Mazumdar's Rank Correlation: Non-parametric test based on rank correlation between intervention effect estimates and sampling variances \rightarrow Kendall's tau = 0.26 (p = 0.05)
- Egger's Regression test: Regression method that test linear association between the intervention effect and standard error \rightarrow Intercept = 6.12 (p < 0.00)
- Trim and Fill: Adjust a meta-analysis for the impact of missing studies. This method first removes smaller studies, then trimmed funnel plot is used to estimate the true "center" to replace the studies and their missing "counterparts" around the center.



Hattie's Barometer of Influence

Provides a visual representation of different influences on academic achievement using effect sizes. Includes 7 areas that contribute to the achievement: students, home, school, curricula, teacher, teaching and learning approaches and classroom [6].







Heterogeneity

The degree of variation in the true effect that is due to something else other than sampling error (there are more factors that contribute to the results). Can be measured either by Cochran's Q test or I^2 statistics. The difference between Q test and I^2 is that Q test is biased towards the sample size. In contrast, I^2 , does not have that bias, and provides the ratio of true heterogeneity (or signal-to-noise ratio).

Q-value	df	<i>p</i> -value	I^2	Tau ²
315.40	20.00	0.00	93.66	0.35

Both Q and I^2 value suggest that the results are heterogeneous. To see if the imprecision within studies explains the variance between the studies, tau-squared was measured. Tau is an estimate of the standard deviation of the distribution of true effect sizes, under the assumption that these true effect sizes are normally distributed.

Moderator Analysis

Moderator variables affects the magnitude of the relationship between independent and dependent variables. We explored the effect of the following moderator variables :

- Class Size
- Course Level

Moderators were chosen for the sufficient amount of studies for each of the levels.

	<u>T</u>	Test for Effect of Class Size				
	95% CI			95% CI		
	k (Number	Point	Standard	Lower		
	of Studies)	Estimate	Error	Limit		
Class size						
Small	10	0.70	0.19	0.33		
Large	11	0.19	0.17	-0.14		

Small classes benefitted more than large classes from implementation of a flipped classroom. This moderator did not reduce heterogeneity of meta-analysis.

		Test for Effect of Course Level						
Course Level		<i>k</i> (Number of Studies)	Point Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI Lower Limit	U Li		
	K-12	5	0.93	0.28	0.39			
	Undergraduate	9	0.27	0.19	-0.11			
	Graduate	7	0.27	0.22	-0.15			

Students in K-12 benefitted more than undergraduate and graduate students from implementation of a flipped classroom. This moderator did not reduce heterogeneity of meta-analysis.

Conclusions

- First meta-analysis in life sciences using more than 4000 students
- Flipped classroom in life sciences has a positive effect on student achievement based on 21 studies
- Evidence of publication bias based on multiple tests
- Small classes and students in K-12 benefited the most from flipped classroom
- Flipped classroom fall in the zone of desired effect on Hattie's barometer of influence

References

[1] Roehl, A., Reddy, S. L., & Shannon, G. J. (2013). The flipped classroom: An opportunity to engage millennial students through active learning strategies. Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences, 105(2), 44-49. [2] Cheng, L., Ritzhaupt, A. D., & Antonenko, P. (2019). Effects of the flipped classroom instructional strategy on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(4), 793-824. [3] Lo, C. K., Hew, K. F., & Chen, G. (2017). Toward a set of design principles for mathematics flipped classrooms: A synthesis of research in mathematics education. Educational Research Review, 22, 50-73. [4] Gillette, C., Rudolph, M., Kimble, C., Rockich-Winston, N., Smith, L., & Broedel-Zaugg, K. (2018). A meta-analysis of outcomes comparing flipped classroom and lecture. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 82(5), 433-440. [5] Rothstein, H. R., Sutton, A. J., & Borenstein, M. (2005). Publication bias in meta-analysis: Prevention, Assessment and Adjustments. Wiley.

[6] Hattie, J. (2012). Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning. Routledge.

Acknowledgements

 National Science Foundation • North Dakota State University,

NDSU CiDER Growing Up STEM



Material based on work supported by NSF DUE 1560142 and DUE 1852045. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

