POLICY & PROCEDURES CHECKLIST FOR PORTFOLIO EVALUATION

Maybe completed via DocuSign

According to <u>Policy 352, 6.6</u>, "colleges and departments shall document that they have followed all procedures; e.g., by a comprehensive checklist of the steps in the PTE process. The documentation must be included in the portfolio." **Originating at the department level**, this checklist documents that University procedures have been followed at the different levels of review. **For each candidate**, the evaluating parties are requested to complete their section of the checklist, to sign, date and insert the form **as the first item** in the applicant's portfolio. **The Dean is responsible for giving a copy of the completed form to the applicant.**

Candidate's Name:		
	Evaluator's Signature	Date
Department PTE Committee Chair:		
Department Chair:		
Chair of the College PTE Committee:		
Dean of the College:		
Other (e.g. Extension):		

Check "yes" for agreement or "NA" if not applicable. Be prepared to explain why an item is not applicable.

Department Level Evaluation	PTE Committee	Chair
Solicitation of letters of review followed University's recommended model.	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
In case of joint appointments, input from other units was requested and included.	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
Members were elected according to department's PTE document.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Potential conflict of interest situations were identified/mitigated.	Yes NA	Yes NA
No candidate considered for promotion was involved in the review.	Yes NA	Yes NA
No administrator, as identified by Policy 352, served on PTE committee.	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
Departmental voting procedures on applicant's candidacy were	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
followed.		
In review of the candidate's contributions, the extraordinary circumstances due to the COVID-19 pandemic were considered.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Reviewed the candidate in line with % of time expectations in their job	Yes NA	Yes NA
description.		
The evaluation was based on the written standards and criteria of the	Yes NA	Yes NA
department.		
Candidate received letter of evaluation/recommendation by the due date.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Candidate was accorded 14 calendar days to respond.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Department-specific procedures were followed.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Committee deliberations were kept confidential.	Yes NA	Yes NA

POLICY & PROCEDURES CHECKLIST FOR PORTFOLIO EVALUATION

Maybe completed via DocuSign

Candidate's Name:	

Check "yes" for agreement or "NA" if not applicable. Be prepared to explain why an item is not applicable.

College Level Evaluation	PTE Committee	Dean
Members were elected according to College PTE document.	Yes NA	Yes NA
No administrators, as identified by Policy 352, served on the College	Yes NA	Yes NA
Committee.		
Potential conflict of interest was identified/mitigated.	Yes NA	Yes NA
No candidate considered for promotion was involved in the review.	☐ Yes ☐ NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
Members who voted on the promotion/tenure of a candidate in the	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
department PTE committee were recused from the vote by the College		
Committee.		
Information added conformed to materials listed in Policy 352, section	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
6.2.		
College and Dean reviews were conducted independently of each other.	Yes NA	Yes NA
In review of the candidate's contributions, the extraordinary	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
circumstances due to COVID-19 pandemic were considered.		
Reviewed the candidate in line with % of time expectations in their job	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
description.		
Evaluation was based on written standards and criteria of the	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
department & College.		
Candidate received copy of letter of evaluation/recommendation by the	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
due date.		
Committee deliberations were kept confidential.	Yes NA	Yes NA
Candidate received copy of the completed policy & procedures	Yes NA	☐ Yes ☐ NA
checklist.		