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## Driving questions \& outcomes

- What do we really believe about learning?
- How do our grading policies conflict with or reinforce our learning philosophies?
- What does a grade mean?
- Define product, process, and progress components of grading
- Describe how grades are a mixture of product, process, and progress
- What are alternatives to traditional grading?
- Describe equitable grading practices


## What do we really believe about learning?

Video: What Learning Looks Like

Reflect

- What's the first word that comes to mind after watching this video?
- Share out \& don't worry if you repeat what someone else says!


## What do we really believe about learning?

## Video: What Learning Looks Like

## Connect

- How does this video reflect the process of learning?
- Think individually for two minutes, jot down a few ideas
- Share briefly in your small group

Reflect \& write

- Reflect on our discussion
- Expand or refine your philosophy of learning


## A tale of three students

| Category | Weight |
| :--- | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ |
| Participation | $25 \%$ |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ |

## A tale of three students

| Category | Weight | Alex |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ | 100 |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ | 60 |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ | 80 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | B |

## A tale of three students

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ | 100 | 100 |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ | 60 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | B | B |

## A tale of three students

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris | Pat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | B | B | B |

## A tale of three students

- All three students are earning a B
- What does it mean to earn a B?

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris | Pat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | B | B | B | What does a 'B' mean?

- Are these students the same in what they know/are able to do? Why or why not?
- Do you have any concerns about "giving" each student a B?
- In small groups:
- Discuss these data
- Identify one or two takeaways to share out


## Let's explore traditional grading systems

- Grades are a hodgepodge of process, product, progress (Lipnevich et al., 2020; Cross and Frary, 1999)
- Grading practices are subjective and biased (Matz et al., 2017; Mead et al., 2020)
- Grading ultimately demotivates learning (Feldman, 2018, 2019; Schinske \& Tanner, 2014)


## What do we grade? Why?

## Grade elements can include

- Product: what students know and are able to do (e.g., exams, projects, etc)
- Process: how students attained the product of learning (behaviors like formative assessment, homework, participation)
- Progress: how much students have gained/improved (e.g., pre/post assessment)

Guskey, T. R. (2008). Practical solutions for serious problems in standards-based grading: Corwin Press
Guskey, T. R. (2018). Multiple Grades: The First Step To Improving Grading \& Reporting. [blog]

## A tale of three students (revisited)

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris | Pat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $25 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Tests, Projects | $25 \%$ | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | B (80\%) | B (80\%) | B (80\%) |

## A tale of three students: Process emphasis

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris | Pat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $30 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Tests, Projects | $15 \%$ | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $30 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Participation | $25 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~B}(83 \%)$ | $\mathrm{C}(78 \%)$ | $\mathrm{C}(76 \%)$ |

## A tale of three students: Product emphasis

| Category | Weight | Alex | Chris | Pat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homework | $15 \%$ | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| Tests, Projects | $60 \%$ | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Class Activities | $15 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Participation | $10 \%$ | 80 | 60 | 100 |
| Final Grade | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{C}(71 \%)$ | $\mathrm{A}(90 \%)$ | $\mathrm{B}(88 \%)$ |

## Grades are a hodgepodge of information

- Process, product, progress all combine to determine a single letter grade
- Instructors weight grade elements differently
- Discuss in small groups
- What do you place more 'weight' on? Exams (products) or formative assessment, attendance, participation (process) or gains/improvement (progress)?
- Do you believe there is a right way to weight product, process, and progress? Why or why not?
- Does the grade accurately reflect a student's knowledge/skills?


## Grades are subjective and biased

- Traditional grading practices evaluate students' content knowledge... and behaviors (effort, attendance, lateness, etc)
- What constitutes good effort?
- Why might a student miss class?
- Why do students turn in late assignments?
- Why is college $\neq$ 'the real world'?


## Students are... individuals with lives

## Student Profiles

- 74\% of undergraduates report at least one nontraditional indicator (NCES 2015)
- Financially independent
- Work full-time
- Has dependents
- Single parent
- Delayed enrollment
- Attending college part time
- No high school diploma
- HERD student data:
- In 2019, 32\% of Intro Bio students and 36\% of Human Anatomy and Physiology students at NDSU reported at least one nontraditional indicator.
- Shadow a student
- "I was overwhelmed, not just by the amount of things students are doing, but the amount of content they were subjected to in classes."
- "I now understand my students when they say, 'I didn't have time to do the homework because I was working"
- "I cannot recall being so tired at the end of the day."
- Ongoing mental health crisis


## Grading demotivates learning

- Grades encourage a performance orientation rather than mastery
- Did I get an A? Did I do better than everyone else?
- Did I learn something? Have I improved?
- Grades promote risk avoidance
- Grading homework tells students you need to be right the first time
- Grading everything equates to constant judging
- Losing points for being late, being wrong, lacking effort, etc
- Every teacher grades differently, too!


## What can we do instead?

Equitable grading strategies include:

- Ungraded formative assessment
- Retakes
- Flexible deadlines \& limited grading of behaviors
- Equitable grading scales


## Ungraded Formative Assessment

- Use homework and class activities as learning opportunities
- Provide practice and feedback (not a means to accrue points)
- Timely, corrective feedback is a highly effective tool to promote student learning
- When instructor feedback is presented with a grade, students focus their attention on the grade, not instructor feedback (Kuepper-Tetzel \& Gardener, 2021; Winstone \& Boud, 2020)
- Learning happens through mistakes
- Ungraded formative assessment gives students space to make mistakes without penalty
- Summative assessments/exams serve as motivation for engaging in formative assessment


## "The Problems with Averaging"

## Why don't you use only averages for assessing your research data?

| Exam | Chris |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $91 \%$ |
| 2 | $92 \%$ |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | $94 \%$ |
| 5 | $94 \%$ |


| Average (mean) | 74.2 | C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mode | $94 \%$ | A |
| Median | $92 \%$ | A |

- Which grade calculation is the most accurate?
- What does a "0" tell you about the students understanding of the content?


## Retakes

- More accurate
- More equitable
- Ways to make retakes work in your class:
- Make them optional - not every student is looking to earn an A
- Consider whether students can retake just failed topics
- Help students get the most out of a retake
- Encourage reflections that make students dig into exam feedback
- Use Learning Assistants (LAs) to support student learning
- Develop test skeletons or templates


## Flexible deadlines

- Shift emphasis away from compliance and back to learning
- More accurate
- E.g., A student who demonstrates A level understanding but turns in work past the due date and gets a $\mathbf{B}$ grade
- More equitable
- Many reasons for why a student may turn work in late, many of which could be out of their control
- Late work penalties are demotivating and can disproportionately impact the most vulnerable students.
- 'Best by' dates
- Submissions by this date get prompt feedback


## Equitable grading scales

## Traditional grading scales

- Non-liner: disproportionately weighted towards failure
- What message does this send to students?
- What implications does this have across the span of an entire semester?

| Traditional Grading Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $90-100$ | A |
| $80-89$ | B |
| $70-79$ | C |
| $60-69$ | D |
| $50-59$ | F |
| $40-49$ | F |
| $30-39$ | F |
| $20-29$ | F |
| $10-19$ | F |
| $0-9$ | F |

## Equitable grading scales

## Traditional grading scales

- Non-liner: disproportionately weighted towards failure
- What message does this send to students?
- What implications does this have across the span of an entire semester?


## Equitable grading scales

- Allocate a greater proportion of gradations to success
- Less prone to error and variance

| Equitable Grading Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $80-100$ | A |
| $60-79$ | B |
| $40-59$ | C |
| $20-39$ | D |
| $0-19$ | F |


| Equitable Grading Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | A |
| 3 | B |
| 2 | C |
| 1 | D |
| 0 | F |

## Reflect and discuss

- What do you want a grade to represent in your course?
- Which equitable grading practices resonate with your philosophy of learning?
- Which grading practices might you adopt?
- What challenges do you envision? How can you overcome those challenges?


## Resources!

QR Code links to:

- An ever-growing list of resources
- The Grading Conference

Our contact information

- Danielle Condry, danielle.condry@ndsu.edu
- Jenni Momsen, jennifer.momsen@ndsu.edu
- Tara Slominski, tara.slominski@ndsu.edu



## Equitable Grading Strategies

Extra credit

- By offering extra credit, we are emphasizing the importance of points, not learning or meeting course standards
- Extra credit that entails students to attend an event outside the classroom requires students to contribute additional time and/or money (e.g. tickets, transportation costs, etc.) for the purposes of increasing grade > Students with fewer resources are less able to take advantage of these opportunities > Extra credit opportunities can increase achievement gaps

