## **FORWARD**

# Professional Development for New Faculty: Faculty Involvement and Academic Citizenship March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2015

### Attendance

Eight individuals attended the training and seven completed evaluations.

- Of those who completed evaluations, one (14.3%) identified as an administrator, two (28.6%) as professors of practice, one (14.3%) as an associate professor, and three (42.9%) as assistant professors.
- Additionally, five (71.4%) participants identified as mentees in the NDSU Faculty Mentoring Program, and two (28.6%) reported that they are not involved in the Faculty Mentoring Program.

### Quantitative Results from the Evaluation Form

I feel I have acquired new skills, information, or understanding about how to get involved in university governance without

becoming overwhelmed by it.

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Agree	4	57.1	57.1
Strongly Agree	3	42.9	100.0
Total	7	100.0	

As a result of my participation in this workshop, I will be able to implement new strategies in my own work toward being an

effective colleague and committee member.

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Agree	4	57.1	57.1
Strongly Agree	2	28.6	85.7
N/A	1	14.3	100.0
Total	7	100.0	

I met someone today who I feel I would like to get to know better.

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Agree	4	57.1	57.1
Strongly Agree	2	28.6	85.7
N/A	1	14.3	100.0
Total	7	100.0	

This event was a positive networking experience with other faculty here at NDSU.

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Agree	4	57.1	57.1
Strongly Agree	3	42.9	100.0
Total	7	100.0	

How would you rate the overall quality of this training?

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Above Average	4	57.1	66.7
Excellent	2	28.6	100.0
Missing Data	1	14.3	
Total	7	100.0	

### Qualitative Results from the Evaluation Form

- 1. What is one tip or strategy you learned today that will be useful to you in being an involved university citizen?
  - Policy knowledge.
  - I really liked the one page handout.
  - Join the faculty senate. ©
  - Discussion questions about service to have with supervisor.

- 2. What do you think were the most helpful or valuable aspects of the workshop you attended today?
  - Format was very open and helpful.
  - Open conversation.
  - Open questions forum.
  - Handout seems very helpful.
- 3. How could this workshop be improved to be more beneficial to you?
  - Larger group and more time.
  - When the reminder email for the session is sent, please include the electronic copy of any handouts.
  - More specifics on what we can be involved in, what to expect, etc. Greater detail.
  - Maybe more information about specific committees.
- 4. Please provide any additional comments you have about today's workshop and/or the NDSU Faculty Mentoring Program in general below or on the back of this page.
  - Thank you!
  - Thank you.
- 5. Questions from audience.
  - Are most university committees election-based or can you just volunteer?
  - What are the responsibilities of members of the faculty senate?
  - How big is the senate at NDSU?
  - What kind of committee positions are only for tenure-track faculty?
  - Is there a certain level of committee involvement a tenure-track faculty member should maintain?
  - Are there other suggested policy readings you have?
  - How can I get a mentor?
  - Have you had any negative reactions from students after reporting them for cheating? What about for a grade appeal?