Survey of Cohort Mentees: Gender-Based Analyses August 2011

Sample

Twenty-nine mentees completed the survey from an overall population sample of 81 mentees. Thus, this survey has a response rate of 35.8%.

Description of Overall Sample: Of the mentees who provided responses, 16 (55.2%) identified as female, 7 (24.1%) as male and 6 (20.7%) did not respond. Nineteen (65.5%) of the mentees identified as White, 3 (10.3%) identified as people of color, 1 (3.4%) identified as "other," and 6 (20.7%) did not respond. Seventeen (58.6%) mentees identified as assistant professors, 5 (17.2%) as associate professors and 2 (6.9%) as professors of practice, and 5 (17.2%) did not respond. Additionally, 14 (48.2%) mentees identified as being in STEM colleges, six (20.6%) from non-STEM colleges, and nine (31.0%) did not identify the primary college in which they worked. Finally, 3 (10.3%) mentees were informed about the cohort mentoring program when they interviewed for their position.

Description of Women Mentees: Twelve (75%) of the women mentees identified as White, two (12.6%) identified as people of color, and one (6.3%) identified "other." Eleven (68.8%) women mentees identified as assistant professors, four (25%) identified as associate professors, and one (6.3%) as a professor of practice. Additionally, ten (62.6%) women mentees identified as being in a STEM college, four (25%) identified as being in a non-STEM college, and two (12.5%) did not respond.

Description of Men Mentees: Six (85.7%) of the men mentees identified as White and one (14.3%) identified as Asian/Pacific Islander. Five (71.4%) men mentees identified as assistant professors, one (14.3%) identified as an associate professor, and one (14.3%) as a professor of practice. Additionally, three (42.9%) men mentees identified as being in a STEM college, two (28.6%) identified as being in a non-STEM college, and two (28.6%) did not respond.

Previous Mentoring Experiences of Women Mentees

Of this sample of 16 female mentees, 3 (18.8%) reported that they had been in a mentoring relationship prior to the FORWARD cohort mentoring program. Female mentees who had been in a previous mentoring relationship were also asked to compare their experience in the cohort mentoring process with their previous experiences. They provided the following responses:

- Both were honest, but had different direction. One is for teaching, the other is for treatment of women
- I think this group mentoring is more proactive. We discuss topics that I may not have thought of but I
 need to consider for success, whereas the other mentoring is all based on me needing to know which
 questions to ask.
- My relationship with my career mentor is of course on a more personal level. She can provide deeper, richer advice because she knows me, my abilities, and/or limitations.

Previous Mentoring Experiences of Men Mentees

Of this sample of 7 male mentees, 1 (14.3%) reported that he had been in a mentoring relationship prior to the FORWARD cohort mentoring program. The male mentee who had been in a previous mentoring relationship was also asked to compare his experience in the cohort mentoring process with his previous experiences. He provided the following responses:

• Previous mentor relationship can go to special case, for example, writing a proposal to get a grant.

Functioning of the Cohort Mentoring Groups

The functioning of the cohort mentoring groups was examined by exploring how often groups met, what topics were discussed, and feedback from the mentees on the composition of the mentoring groups.

Mentees were asked how often their cohort group met:

Women Mentees

- 9 (56.3%) female mentees responded once a month.
- 1 (6.3%) female mentee responded every "six weeks."
- 3 (18.8%) female mentees responded once a semester.
- 1 (6.3%) female mentee responded "occasionally."
- 1 (6.3%) female mentee responded that the group disbanded.
- 1 (6.3%) female mentee did not respond.

Men Mentees

- 2 (28.6%) male mentees responded once a month.
- 1 (14.3%) male mentee responded "every other month."
- 1 (14.3%) male mentee responded "twice a semester."
- 2 (28.6%) male mentee responded three times per year.
- 1 (14.3%) male mentee did not respond.

Mentees were asked about their satisfaction with the frequency of their meetings using a six-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly Dissatisfied):

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Dissatisfied	4	13.8	18.2
	Dissatisfied	1	3.4	22.7
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	4	13.8	40.9
	Somewhat Satisfied	2	6.9	50.0
	Satisfied	9	31.0	90.9
	Very Satisfied	2	6.9	100.0
	Missing Data	7	24.1	
Total		29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.77 (SD= 1.68), for women = 3.93, for men = 3.33; no significant gender difference

Mentees were also asked what their thoughts were about the composition (e.g., same gender, STEM faculty with other STEM faculty) of the cohort mentoring groups and provided the following answers:

- It is a good combination, which will have some effective results. At the same time, mentors from other departments can also be useful towards the said goal.
- I would have liked to have different genders and colleges outside of STEM. But as having all females in the group made it easier to communicate and the group develop a friendship among the members over the years that I consider very valuable.
- Gender is not important. But being in STEM disciplines is important.
- I appreciated the gender consistency and attempt at pairing similar disciplines. It was a very valiant attempt that just didn't seem to work for our group.
- I believe that it is really great to be in a mentor group with the same gender. There are different views which are easier to be exchanged within your own 'gender' group.
- I DID NOT LIKE THIS!!! In my group (I am female), so much of the discussion revolved around balancing raising children with career demands. I don't have kids, so this was useless and boring to me.

- I do not think that just meeting between woman faculties is very helpful. There should be common interests and problems, rather just the question of gender.
- I really like the same gender aspect. There are so few tenured women STEM faculty that I feel lucky to get some time to chat with two of them. Right now, I need to have role models. Both the female aspect and the STEM aspect make these women role models for me. It would be less so if the gender or field were different.
- I think a mixed gender group might be better. I say that because I think it will break some of the female/male stereotypes on campus and hopefully facilitate a more balanced environment.
- It seemed that the goal of these groups was to help us with our various roles i.e., teaching, advising, mentoring graduate students, committee work. Having individuals from different colleges made that task difficult and less than helpful.
- It sounds like a good idea, since gender equity is a real problem at NDSU. It seems that the successful women here could offer some real expertise in how they were able to be successful at NDSU. I think there is a real need for that, even though at the end of the day, it comes down to advice on 'playing the system'. That said, it still has value. I admit that I'm disappointed that women here must 'play the system' while (some) men get a free pass.
- Our group doesn't really talk about gender issues, but we all are comfortable asking about each other's families and really don't think about it. I believe I would have these conversations in a mixed gender group as a female faculty or choose to only speak to other female faculty about family.

Men Mentees

- No difference.
- OK, but maybe you should keep faculty in the same academic college together.
- Our group was rather mixed with having members for the extension service, which made the issues too diverse.
- Relatively random assignment is fine. It is good to hear others concerns. NO segregation needs to be implemented.
- That is ok.

Mentees were asked whether or not they discussed certain topics and how helpful those discussions were.

Topic	Have you discussed this topic? Women Mentees	Have you discussed this topic? Men Mentees	How helpful was this topic to you? 1= completely unhelpful 6 = very helpful
The PTE process at NDSU	14 (87.5%) = yes	6 (85.7%) = yes	Mean = 4.18, SD = 1.47 Responses Ranged from 1 to 6
Starting a research program	11 (68.8%) = yes	3 (42.9%) = yes	Mean = 4.06, SD = 1.26 Responses Ranged from 2 to 6
Networking within your department	5 (31.3%) = yes	4 (57.1%) = yes	Mean = 4.00, SD = 1.48 Responses Ranged from 2 to 6
Issues related to work family life	9 (56.3%) = yes	6 (85.7%) = yes	Mean = 3.50, SD = 1.37 Responses Ranged from 1 to 6
Unwritten or informal rules of the institution	10 (62.5%) = yes	6 (85.7%) = yes	Mean = 3.95, SD = 1.39 Responses Ranged from 1 to 6
Teaching effectiveness	10 (62.5%) = yes	5 (71.4%) = yes	Mean = 4.12, SD = 1.41 Responses Ranged from 1 to 6

^{***} No significant gender differences existed for any of the mean scores on helpfulness, data provided is overall means

Mentees were also asked what topics they think still need to be discussed in their cohort mentoring group:

- General experiences of mentors which are/were discussed in our group.
- Grant application: time schedule, budget, NDSU contacts regarding these questions.
- I think more discussion about NDSU policies would be helpful, especially policies regarding promotion, tenure, grounds for dismissal, grounds for grievances, the grievance process. I think we need to understand better what our rights are and how to exercise them.
- Negotiations for resources in department, committees to serve on or not.
- Seeking multi-disciplinary research partners on campus.

• They have all been covered. However, we spend a lot of time talking about politics. Although that is helpful I would like to learn more about starting my research program.

Men Mentees

- Dealing with student academic dishonesty.
- To get a grant.

Satisfaction with the Cohort Mentoring Process

The survey included a number of different qualitative and quantitative measures of satisfaction with the cohort mentoring process.

In terms of satisfaction with the quality of the cohort mentoring experience, mentees were asked to rate their satisfaction using a six-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly Dissatisfied to 6 = Strongly Satisfied).

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very dissatisfied	6	20.7	26.1
	Dissatisfied	1	3.4	30.4
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	3	10.3	43.5
	Somewhat Satisfied	2	6.9	52.2
	Satisfied	7	24.1	82.6
	Very Satisfied	4	13.8	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.65 (SD= 1.92), for women = 3.86, for men = 3.29; no significant gender difference

Mentees were also asked if being a part of the cohort mentoring process was a good use of their time and responded using a six-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 6 = Strongly Agree).

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	10.3	13.6
	Disagree	4	13.8	31.8
	Somewhat Disagree	2	6.9	40.9
	Somewhat Agree	4	13.8	59.1
	Agree	5	17.2	81.8
	Strongly Agree	4	13.8	100.0
	Missing Data	7	24.1	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.73 (SD= 1.75), for women = 3.93, for men = 3.4; no significant gender difference

Mentees were asked if they wished to continue participating in the cohort mentoring program next year and again responded using a six-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 6 = Strongly Agree).

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	3.4	4.5
	Disagree	5	17.2	27.3
	Somewhat Disagree	1	3.4	31.8
	Somewhat Agree	7	24.1	63.6
	Agree	5	17.2	86.4
	Strongly Agree	3	10.3	100.0
	Missing Data	7	24.1	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.86 (SD= 1.49), for women = 3.93, for men = 3.80; no significant gender difference

Another measure of satisfaction was the degree to which the mentees felt connected to the members of their cohort mentoring group and their mentors. Mentees responded to the statement "I feel connected to the other new faculty members in my cohort mentoring group" using the same six-point Likert scale.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	4	13.8	17.4
	Disagree	4	13.8	34.8
	Somewhat Disagree	4	13.8	52.2
	Somewhat Agree	6	20.7	78.3
	Agree	5	17.2	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.17 (SD= 1.44), for women = 3.07, for men = 3.33; no significant gender difference

Mentees also responded to the statement "I feel connected to the <u>mentor(s)</u> from my cohort mentoring group" using the same six-point Likert scale.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	5	17.2	21.7
	Disagree	2	6.9	30.4
	Somewhat Disagree	2	6.9	39.1
	Somewhat Agree	3	10.3	52.2
	Agree	7	24.1	82.6
	Strongly Agree	4	13.8	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.74 (SD= 1.86), for women = 3.80, for men = 3.67; no significant gender difference

In addition to reporting a feeling of connection, 1 (75%) female mentees and 5 (71.4%) male mentees reported that their mentors were helpful. When asked to explain how their mentors were helpful, they provided the following responses:

Women Mentors

- Our mentors taught us from their own experience how to build up a dossier for the teaching and research evaluation process in order to get tenure.
- A listening ear.
- Both mentors are willing to answer questions when I have them and provide helpful advice about issues that I cannot necessarily address within my department.
- By sharing the problems they encounter during their careers.
- I guess the main thing is just having someone to talk to who is outside of my department. So I don't worry as much about expressing an opinion, if it is negative, because I know I won't be evaluated by my mentors.
- I think that they would have good advice if we had time to talk. I also think they have a great deal of experience I could learn from.
- Provide their own experience, can ask any question and get information/feedback.
- Provided clarification about tenure requirements. Listened objectively. Made suggestions about teaching approaches.
- Provided ideas about the information we should be collected/saved for tenure/promotion packages.
 Ideas with dealing with difficult students. Suggested possible NDSU funding sources to collect preliminary data.
- They are helpful by modeling what a successful scientist is. By talking with them, I see what the end point will be. Hopefully, I will be able to be as successful.
- They were honest.

Men Mentors

- By providing insight into expectations of a faculty member at NDSU.
- Provide discussion times on key topics, such as annual evaluations, research streams, PTE.

- They gave honest answers to mentee questions and tips for success.
- They told us their own experiences.
- We met a few times and had surface conversations about aspects that concern junior professors at NDSU.

Mentees were also asked to explain how their mentors were not helpful and seven <u>female mentees</u> responded:

- Except for the statement one should excel in all three areas, I didn't get some useful tips improve my performance. Met only twice in the said year.
- Because my mentors are not in my department they are not familiar with the culture and ways of doing things that are unique to my department.
- First, attendance by mentors is not consistent. Second, the talk is mostly about them and their latest story about student misbehavior. We have no set agenda so it's difficult to prepare.
- I do not have personal contacts with any of them. I even do not know who is my mentor (?!) There were several meetings when several senior and junior faculties met together and discussed something, which was not really interesting to me. I have felt like it was just a waste of my time.
- It seems like everyone is too busy for this program, even though it is a nice idea.
- Sometimes they seem a little bitter (although they would claim to not be bitter). But, in a way this is instructive as well.

Mentees were also asked to explain how their mentors were not helpful and five <u>male mentees</u> responded:

- Still have not learned how to get funds.
- The mentors did not put any priority on having a regularly scheduled meeting. Either a meeting was not scheduled or one mentor had a scheduling issue.
- They seem to have little to share, particularly related to my discipline, which is very different from theirs
- They were not familiar with the policies regarding 'special appointment' positions.
- We only met a few times during the year. There was no personal contact. I didn't know whether or not to contact them personally about individual questions.

Eight (50%) female mentees and 3 (42.9%) male mentees stated that the cohort mentoring program met their expectations and when asked to explain their answers the mentees provided the following responses:

- It exceeded my expectations since I didn't have any. I really didn't know what I was getting into, but I am glad I was asked to join the groups.
- But, I did not have any expectations.
- During our meetings we were exposed to problems/issues that we either had or that we needed to be aware of.
- I did meet one person with whom I developed a friendship outside of work. But the rest of the people in the group didn't really resonate with me.
- I didn't really have high expectations, but the expectations of creating relationships with other new faculty did not come to fruition because we hardly ever found meeting times where everyone would meet. I frankly do not even know the names of the rest of the members of my cohort, although I do know and appreciate the two mentors.
- I had no expectations, but was hoping it would at least be focused on professional issues. It seemed there was much discussion of juggling children and work, which didn't apply at all to my life.
- I still do not understand what this program is all about.
- It exceeded my expectations. I had expected it be a process of the leader providing us information that may or may not be relevant. It turned out that our group could really tailor our discussion to our own interest.
- It was very limited, but I do not think this was the program's fault, nor was it the fault of the mentors.

- To some degree, yes. However, I think it would have been better if we were in the same or relative field. A lot of the pressures or difficulties they were experiencing were not something I can relate to as most are field-related.
- Well, I guess perhaps yes, since I had no expectation and it met that.

Men Mentees

- I participated as much as was possible. As mentioned there was no regular meeting of the group.
- In both years we only met a few times and did not cover any helpful topics.
- It was good to see that others had the same questions and thoughts about their transition to NDSU.
- More or less.
- The cohort doesn't necessarily provide additional information. The key information is gleaned from the mentors.
- We shared experiences at our meetings.

Mentees were also asked to identify the advantages of the cohort mentoring program and provided the following responses:

Women Mentees

- - as I've mentioned, moral support guidance provided by mentors.
- As a group, questions were asked that I would not have thought to ask and we were also able to share our own experiences of how we solved problems that either worked or didn't. It was also great to meet a number of people outside our departments to get an outside perspective on your situation/issue and create friendships.
- Being aware of the problems and processes that we will encounter on our way to tenure.
- During the first year, other mentees asked questions that I had not thought of but that were valuable.
- Get to know other colleagues from other departments and colleges how have they build up a successful academic career. Their example is of great help to me.
- I am a reserved person, and I am also not very good at asking for help or realizing my needs. However, with other people there to ask my mentors questions I am able to get a lot out of the meeting. It is also helpful to see what other new faculty are struggling with.
- I have met one junior faculty member from another college and I could see that being a good, working relationship.
- I think that it could be advantageous for assistant faculty if begun in their first or second year.
- Learning from the experiences of the mentors, learning to get to know more people on campus, getting questions answered, learning about the difficulties mentors encountered.
- The advantage is hearing other's perspectives and issues.
- Understanding the University system better through the experiences of others in the group.
- You could learn some nice 'insider' knowledge, and get some tips.

Men Mentees

- I met some of my colleagues in the college that I would not normally have met.
- None
- Realize that you're not alone in starting a new faculty position and that most new faculty need to overcome similar hurdles.
- Removal of anxiety and unanswered questions.
- Yes, we have mentors to support if we have questions.

Mentees were further asked to identify the disadvantages of the cohort mentoring program and provided the following feedback:

Women Mentees

- I don't see any.
- At times it was difficult to match schedules, and being careful that no one person dominated the group during discussion.
- Differences between departmental expectations of faculty, and how to find common ground for discussion.
- Difficult to always get everyone to the meetings Differing requirements/experiences at different colleges.
- Everyone is too busy for this, it seems. While well intentioned, it doesn't seem to have any priority in the grander scheme of things, just another thing on the checklist.
- It is more useful if the mentees are all in the same disciplines (STEM).
- No disadvantages.
- Practices in each college differ widely and having the perspective of someone from a different school is not necessarily helpful when you're trying to figure out what the advising expectations are, what the PTE guidelines are, etc.
- Sometimes I think one-on-one discussions are better for some people, including myself. Sometimes I have met with one mentor alone for coffee and that is more helpful to me than the group thing.
- Take my time for nothing.
- There is one mentee who tends to monopolize conversation, and her issues are very different from mine
- There seemed to be many disadvantages. Finding times to meet were extremely difficult since we had a group of 8 who were all over campus and had very different schedules. I don't believe we ever did meet as a full group. The differences between us meant that there was a lot of wasted time in the meetings. Some has heavy teaching loads, others purely research and thus our needs from mentors were very different. Also, the disciplines of the mentees was so distinct that it was at times hard to find common ground. While it was interesting to learn about different disciplines on campus, it seemed to interrupt the mentoring goals. Some members of the group dominated conversation, and made it difficult to have balanced sessions. Finally, some issues that I'd like to discuss with a mentor are more personal or confidential in nature, and I felt uncomfortable addressing those issues in a group mentoring session.
- The issues of gender are still severely prominent at NDSU, particularly in administration. The appearance of putting these groups to address the problem, does not address the core problem- which is in administration, there is still severe bias treatment and harassment.

Men Mentees

- I felt there wasn't any real connection to the mentoring group. I felt more isolated and disconnected by try to participate in the mentoring group than if I had just sought out advice.
- It was difficult to get everyone together at each meeting.
- None.
- Not found yet.
- There is no support for individuals. Neither of the groups I participated in met very often or were very helpful.

Impacts on the Mentees

To begin to assess the impact of the cohort mentoring program on the mentees, they were asked to rate the degree to which they agreed or disagreed with a series of statements using a six-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 6 = Strongly Agree).

Being in the cohort mentoring program has increased my sense of connection with other faculty on campus.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	10.3	13.0
	Disagree	1	3.4	17.4
	Somewhat Disagree	4	13.8	34.8
	Somewhat Agree	5	17.2	56.5
	Agree	7	24.1	87.0
	Strongly Agree	3	10.3	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.91 (SD= 1.56), for women = 4.20, for men = 3.33; no significant gender difference

Being in the cohort mentoring program had decreased my sense of isolation on the NDSU campus.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Applicable	3	10.3	13.6
	Strongly Disagree	1	3.4	18.2
	Disagree	6	20.7	45.5
	Somewhat Disagree	3	10.3	59.1
	Somewhat Agree	3	10.3	72.7
	Agree	4	13.8	90.9
	Strongly Agree	2	6.9	100.0
	Missing Data	7	24.1	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.00 (SD= 1.87), for women = 2.80, for men = 3.80; no significant gender difference

Being in the cohort mentoring program has decreased my sense of isolation within the Fargo-Moorhead community.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Applicable	5	17.2	21.7
	Strongly Disagree	2	6.9	30.4
	Disagree	6	20.7	56.5
	Somewhat Disagree	4	13.8	73.9
	Somewhat Agree	5	17.2	95.7
	Agree	1	3.4	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***}Overall Mean - 3.46 (SD=0.99), for women = 2.07, for men = 2.67; no significant gender difference

Being in the cohort mentoring program provides me with helpful social opportunities.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	10.3	Valid
	Disagree	4	13.8	
	Somewhat Disagree	4	13.8	
	Somewhat Agree	6	20.7	
	Agree	4	13.8	
	Strongly Agree	2	6.9	
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.44 (SD= 1.29), for women = 3.73, for men = 3.17; no significant gender difference

I feel I have a support system I can trust in my mentoring cohort group.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	10.3	Valid
	Disagree	5	17.2	
	Somewhat Disagree	3	10.3	
	Somewhat Agree	4	13.8	
	Agree	5	17.2	
	Strongly Agree	3	10.3	
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***}Overall Mean = 4.19 (SD= 0.98), for women = 3.60, for men = 3.33; no significant gender difference

Due to my participation in the cohort mentoring program, I have developed relationships that I

expect will continue throughout my career at NDSU.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	3.4	4.3
	Disagree	4	13.8	21.7
	Somewhat Disagree	6	20.7	47.8
	Somewhat Agree	5	17.2	69.6
	Agree	4	13.8	87.0
	Strongly Agree	3	10.3	100.0
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.70 (SD= 1.43), for women = 3.87, for men = 3.67; no significant gender difference

Being in the cohort mentoring program has increased my comfort level with the promotion and/or tenure process here at NDSU.

		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	4	13.8	Valid
	Somewhat Disagree	7	24.1	
	Somewhat Agree	4	13.8	
	Agree	4	13.8	
	Strongly Agree	4	13.8	
	Missing Data	6	20.7	
	Total	29	100.0	

^{***} Overall Mean= 3.87 (SD= 1.39), for women = 4.00, for men = 4.00, no significant gender difference

Additionally, 8 (50%) of women mentees and 4 (57.1%) of men mentees identified that participating in the cohort mentoring program had a positive impact on their own experience of the climate here at NDSU. Five (31.3%) women mentees and 2 (28.6%) men mentees felt that participating in the cohort mentoring program had an impact on their decision to remain at NDSU. Moreover, one (14.3%) male mentee, but no women mentees felt that participating in the cohort mentoring program gave them greater access to academic administrators. Furthermore, one (6.3%) woman mentee and three (42.9%) men mentees felt participating in the cohort mentoring program increased their comfort with academic administrators.

Improvements to the Cohort Mentoring Process

Mentees were asked what changes they would recommend to the cohort mentoring program to improve its effectiveness. Their responses are below:

- It's a great idea!! Maybe we should share with other cohort groups twice a year to get to know people -- other faculty and their approach.
- To list a few mentors, from which we can choose our own.
- I really can't say. There weren't many meetings. If there has been such a mentoring program for more than one year, I would recommend getting the word out about it. It can't help people if they don't know it exists.
- I think it's good as it is.

- Make it more personal and one-to-one relationship.
- Maybe better match between responsibilities on campus? That is, everyone has similar work responsibilities such as teaching/research balance.
- Maybe give people a choice about whether they would like a single or mixed gender group.
- Mixed gender groups.

Men Mentees

• Encourage greater mentee participation in the second year.