

North Dakota State University

Prepared 2022-08-08 IPEDS: 200332



#### **About This Report**

### **About Your Engagement Indicators Report**

Engagement Indicators (EIs) provide a useful summary of the detailed information contained in your students' NSSE responses. By combining responses to related NSSE questions, each EI offers valuable information about a distinct aspect of student engagement. Ten indicators, based on three to eight survey questions each (a total of 47 survey questions), are organized into four broad themes as shown at right. The specific items within each EI are listed below, starting on page 5.

Theme	Engagement Indicator
	Higher-Order Learning
Academic Challenge	Reflective & Integrative Learning
	Learning Strategies
	Quantitative Reasoning
Lograing with Books	Collaborative Learning
Learning with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others
Experiences with Faculty	Student-Faculty Interaction
Experiences with ruculty	Effective Teaching Practices
Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions
Campus Environment	Supportive Environment

#### **Report Sections**

Overview (p. 3)

Displays how average EI scores for your students compare with those of students at your comparison group institutions.

Theme Reports (pp. 4-13)

Detailed views of EI scores within the four themes for your students and those at comparison group institutions. Three views offer varied insights into your EI scores:

#### Mean Comparisons

Straightforward comparisons of average scores between your students and those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes (see below).

#### **Score Distributions**

Box-and-whisker charts show the variation in scores within your institution and comparison groups.

#### Performance on Indicator Items

Responses to each item in a given EI are summarized for your institution and comparison groups.

Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions (p. 15) Comparisons of your students' average scores on each EI with those of students at institutions whose average scores were in the top 50% and top 10% of 2021 and 2022 participating institutions.

Detailed Statistics (pp. 16-19)

Detailed information about EI score means, distributions, and tests of statistical significance.

#### **Interpreting Comparisons**

Mean comparisons report both statistical significance and effect size. Effect size indicates the practical importance of an observed difference. For EI comparisons, NSSE research has concluded that an effect size of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2018). Comparisons with an effect size of at least .3 in magnitude (before rounding) are highlighted in the Overview (p. 3).


Els vary more among students within an institution than between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on average scores alone amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement varies within your institution. Score distributions indicate how El scores vary among your students and those in your comparison groups. Your NSSE Tableau dashboards and Report Builder (released in the fall) offer valuable perspectives on internal variation and help you investigate your students' engagement in depth.

#### **How Engagement Indicators are Computed**

Each EI is scored on a 60-point scale. To produce an indicator score, the response set for each item is converted to a 60-point scale (e.g., Never = 0; Sometimes = 20; Often = 40; Very often = 60), and the rescaled items are averaged. Thus a score of zero means a student responded at the bottom of the scale for every item in the EI, while a score of 60 indicates responses at the top of the scale on every item.

For more information on EIs and their psychometric properties, refer to the NSSE website: nsse.indiana.edu

Rocconi, L.M., & Gonyea, R.M. (2018). Contextualizing effect sizes in the National Survey of Student Engagement: An empirical analysis. Research & Practice in Assessment, 13 (Summer/Fall), pp. 22-38.



#### **Overview**

## **North Dakota State University**

### **Engagement Indicators: Overview**

Engagement Indicators are summary measures based on sets of NSSE questions examining key dimensions of student engagement. The ten indicators are organized within four broad themes: Academic Challenge, Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment. The tables below compare average scores for your students with those in your comparison groups. Use the following key:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- $\triangle$  Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- -- No significant difference.
- $\nabla$  Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Vour students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.

First-Year Students		Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022	
	Higher-Order Learning		$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Academic	Reflective & Integrative Learning		$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Challenge	Learning Strategies	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
	Quantitative Reasoning				
Learning with	Collaborative Learning		Δ	Δ	
Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction		Δ		
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices			$\nabla$	
Campus	Quality of Interactions	Δ	Δ	Δ	
Environment	Supportive Environment	Δ	Δ	Δ	
Seniors		Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022	
	Higher-Order Learning	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Academic	Reflective & Integrative Learning	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	lacktriangle	
Challenge	Learning Strategies	$\nabla$	<b>V</b>	lacksquare	
	Quantitative Reasoning	$\nabla$	$\nabla$		
Learning with	Collaborative Learning	Δ	Δ	Δ	
Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction		Δ		
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	$\nabla$	
Campus	Quality of Interactions			$\nabla$	
Environment	Supportive Environment				



## **Academic Challenge**

## **North Dakota State University**


### **Academic Challenge: First-year students**

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning, Reflective & Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies*, and *Quantitative Reasoning*. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

lean Comparisons		Your first-year students compared with					
	NDSU	NDSU	J Peers Effect	Very High	Research Effect	NSSE 202:	<b>1 &amp; 2022</b> Effect
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size
Higher-Order Learning	36.0	36.9	07	37.2 *	09	37.8 ***	13
Reflective & Integrative Learning	32.9	33.6	07	34.4 ***	13	35.3 ***	20
Learning Strategies	35.7	37.0 *	10	37.0 *	10	37.9 ***	16
Quantitative Reasoning	29.2	29.6	03	29.0	.02	28.7	.03

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.



# Academic Challenge North Dakota State University

## **Academic Challenge: First-year students (continued)**

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage point difference <sup>a</sup> between your FY students and				
Higher-Order Learning	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 8 2022		
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized						
4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations	% 73	+2	+4	+4		
4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts	68	+0	+0	-1		
44. Analyzing an facu, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts	08		.0			
4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source	61	-3	-5	-8		
4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information	62	-4	-6	-8		
Reflective & Integrative Learning						
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"						
2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments	55	+5	+7	+5		
2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues	42	-4	-7	-10		
2c. Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments	37	-9	-14	-16		
2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue	58	-2	-4	-6		
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	66	-2	-3	-5		
2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	62	+0	-1	-3		
2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge	77	+3	+2	+1		
Learning Strategies						
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"						
9a. Identified key information from reading assignments	63	-5	-8	-10		
9b. Reviewed your notes after class	65	-1	+1	+0		
9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials	63	-0	+1	-1		
Quantitative Reasoning		· ·				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"						
Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	58	+1	+3	+5		
Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	40	-1	-2	-2		
6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information	43	-1	+1	+2		
		- i				

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



## Academic Challenge

## **North Dakota State University**


### **Academic Challenge: Seniors**

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning, Reflective & Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies*, and *Quantitative Reasoning*. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

lean Comparisons		Your seniors compared with					
	NDSU	NDSU Peers Effect	Very High Research  Effect	NSSE 2021 & 2022 Effect			
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean size	Mean size	Mean size			
Higher-Order Learning	37.0	39.0 **15	39.2 ***16	40.2 ***23			
Reflective & Integrative Learning	33.7	37.0 ***26	36.9 ***25	38.1 ***34			
Learning Strategies	33.3	37.0 ***26	37.9 ***31	39.0 ***39			
Quantitative Reasoning	29.8	31.4 *10	31.1 *08	30.907			

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.



# Academic Challenge North Dakota State University

## **Academic Challenge: Seniors (continued)**

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

	-	your seniors and		
Higher-Order Learning	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized	%			
4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations	74	-2	-1	-2
4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts	69	-3	-4	-5
4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source	59	-7	-9	-13
4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information	65	-5	-6	-8
Reflective & Integrative Learning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"				
2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments	71	+2	+6	+5
2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues	49	-8	-7	-12
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course 2c. discussions or assignments	36	-13	-14	-19
2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue	54	-9	-10	-13
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	61	-9	-10	-12
2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept	63	-5	-6	-8
2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge	77	-4	-4	-6
Learning Strategies				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"				
9a. Identified key information from reading assignments	62	-11	-12	-15
9b. Reviewed your notes after class	54	-6	-9	-11
9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials	54	-7	-10	-13
Quantitative Reasoning				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"				
Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	55	-2	-2	-1
Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, 6b. climate change, public health, etc.)	43	-5	-5	-5
6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information	44	-5	-4	-3

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



## **Learning with Peers**

## **North Dakota State University**


## **Learning with Peers: First-year students**


Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and interacting with peers from different backgrounds prepares students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

lean Comparisons			Your	first-year students	s compared w	ith		
	NDSU	NDSU Peers		Very High Research		NSSE 202:	021 & 2022	
			Effect		Effect		Effect	
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size	
Collaborative Learning	31.8	30.7	.07	28.4 ***	.24	27.4 ***	.29	
Discussions with Diverse Others	36.0	38.2 ***	15	38.2 ***	14	37.1 *	07	

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**





Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage point a	difference <sup>a</sup> between yo	our FY students and
			Very High	NSSE 2021 &
Collaborative Learning	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Research	2022
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%			
1b. Asked another student to help you understand course material	56	+6	+12	+15
1c. Explained course material to one or more students	54	+1	+7	+10
1d. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students	46	+4	+9	+9
1e. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments	52	+3	+8	+7
Discussions with Diverse Others				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with				
8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own	55	-8	-12	-11
8b. People from an economic background other than your own	64	-5	-4	-3
8c. People with religious beliefs other than your own	63	-3	-3	+1
8d. People with political views other than your own	64	-4	+3	+6

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



## **Learning with Peers**

## **North Dakota State University**


### **Learning with Peers: Seniors**


Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and interacting with peers from different backgrounds prepares students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons			Your seniors compared with	
	NDSU	NDSU Peers Effect	Very High Research  Effect	NSSE 2021 & 2022 Effect
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean size	Mean size	Mean size
Collaborative Learning	33.4	31.9 * .10	30.3 *** .20	29.0 *** .27
Discussions with Diverse Others	35.4	38.6 ***21	39.0 ***22	38.1 ***16

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**





Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage poin	t difference <sup>a</sup> between	your seniors and
			Very High	NSSE 2021 &
Collaborative Learning	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Research	2022
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%			
1b. Asked another student to help you understand course material	49	+5	+8	+10
1c. Explained course material to one or more students	54	-0	+3	+5
1d. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students	45	+1	+6	+8
1e. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments	72	+8	+13	+15
Discussions with Diverse Others				
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with				
8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own	51	-12	-18	-16
8b. People from an economic background other than your own	60	-10	-10	-9
8c. People with religious beliefs other than your own	60	-5	-6	-3
8d. People with political views other than your own	65	-2	+3	+4

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



# Experiences with Faculty North Dakota State University


### **Experiences with Faculty: First-year students**


Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons			Your	first-year students	s compared w	ith	
	NDSU	NDSI	U Peers Effect	Very High	Research Effect	NSSE 202	<b>1 &amp; 2022</b> <i>Effect</i>
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size
Student-Faculty Interaction	20.9	20.0	.06	18.5 ***	.17	20.0	.06
Effective Teaching Practices	36.7	36.2	.04	36.3	.03	37.9 **	09

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**





Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage point difference <sup>a</sup> between your FY students and				
Student-Faculty Interaction		NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022		
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%					
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	41	+7	+10	+7 🚪		
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework(committees, student groups, etc.)	21	+2	+3	+1		
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class	25	+2	+4	+1		
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	27	+0	+4	-1		
Effective Teaching Practices						
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have						
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	78	+3	+4	+2		
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	72	+1	+2	+1		
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	74	+3	+4	+3		
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	55	-0	-2	-7		
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	51	-2	-1	-7		

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



# Experiences with Faculty North Dakota State University


## **Experiences with Faculty: Seniors**


Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons				Your seniors com	pared with			
	NDSU	NDSU NDSU Peers  Effect			Research Effect	NSSE 2021 & 202 Effect		
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size	
Student-Faculty Interaction	22.7	23.0	02	21.0 **	.11	22.5	.02	
Effective Teaching Practices	36.3	38.4 **	15	38.0 **	11	39.4 ***	21	

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**





Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage poin	t difference <sup>a</sup> between	your seniors and
Student-Faculty Interaction	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022
Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"	%			
3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member	36	-5	+0	-4
3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	25	-4	+0	-1
3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class	27	-2	+1	-2
3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member	31	+2	+4	-1
Effective Teaching Practices				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have				
5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements	72	-7	-5	-7
5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way	70	-5	-2	-4
5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points	70	-7	-4	-5
5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	57	-0	-1	-6
5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments	49	-10	-9	-14

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



## **Campus Environment**

## **North Dakota State University**


### **Campus Environment: First-year students**

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons			Your first-year students co	ompared with	
	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Very High Res		21 & 2022
		Effect	Ef.	ffect	Effect
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean size	Mean s	size Mean	size
Quality of Interactions	44.1	42.1 *** .17	41.3 *** .	23 42.4 ***	.13
Supportive Environment	34.4	33.3 * .09	32.5 *** .	14 33.3 *	.08

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage poi	nt difference <sup>a</sup> between	your FY students and
			Very High	NSSE 2021 &
Quality of Interactions	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Research	2022
Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from 1="Poor" to 7="Excellent") with	%			
13a. Students	55	+5	+7	+6
13b. Academic advisors	58	+4	+6	+4
13c. Faculty	46	+0	<b>!</b> -0	-5
13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.)	53	+9	+10	+6
13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.)	50	+10	+10	+4
Supportive Environment				
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized				
14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically	69	-2	+1	<b>-</b> 0
14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.)	72	-2	+2	+1
14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.)	52	-1	-4	-5
14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially	71	+7	+9	+7
14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)	71	+8	+9	+9
14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	36	+3	+3	-1
14h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)	70	+8	+13	+15
14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues	46	+6	+6	+3

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.



## **Campus Environment**

## **North Dakota State University**


### **Campus Environment: Seniors**

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons				Your seniors co	mpared with		
	NDSU	NDS	U Peers	Very Hig	h Research	NSSE 20	21 & 2022
			Effect		Effect		Effect
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	size	Mean	size	Mean	size
Quality of Interactions	41.8	42.2	04	41.7	.01	43.0 *	10
Supportive Environment	30.7	31.6	06	31.2	03	31.6	06

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

#### **Score Distributions**



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

#### **Performance on Indicator Items**

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

		Percentage point	t difference <sup>a</sup> between	your seniors and
Quality of Interactions	NDSU	NDSU Peers	Very High Research	NSSE 2021 & 2022
Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from 1="Poor" to 7="Excellent") with	%			
13a. Students	53	-6	-3	-5
13b. Academic advisors	51	+1	+1	-4
13c. Faculty	41	-11	-10	-16
13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.)	44	+0	+1	-2
13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.)	43	+4	+3	-3
Supportive Environment		·		-
Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized				
14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically	61	-6	-4	-7
14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.)	60	-5	-2	-5
14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.)	43	-6	-10	-11
14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially	61	-5	-1	F -0
14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)	62	+3	+5	+4
14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	27	+0	-2	-6
14h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)	60	-1	+4	+9
14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues	40	+2	+2	-0

a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage—Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0.

This page intentionally left blank.



# Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions North Dakota State University

## Comparisons with Top 50% and Top 10% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see **go.iu.edu/NSSE-PnP**), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE a for their high average levels of student engagement:

- (a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 50% of all 2021 and 2022 NSSE institutions, and
- (b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 10% of all 2021 and 2022 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top 50% or top 10%, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark  $(\checkmark)$  signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

irst-Year	Students			Your first-year stude	dents compared with					
		NDSU	op 10%							
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size ✓	Mean	Effect size	$\checkmark$			
	Higher-Order Learning	36.0	39.2 ***	24	42.1 ***	47				
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	32.9	36.9 ***	34	39.2 ***	54				
Challenge	Learning Strategies	35.7	39.6 ***	28	42.9 ***	51				
	Quantitative Reasoning	29.2	30.2	06 ✓	33.3 ***	26				
Learning	Collaborative Learning	31.8	31.8	.00 ✓	35.4 ***	27				
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	36.0	39.8 ***	25	42.6 ***	46				
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	20.9	24.3 ***	23	27.8 ***	46				
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	36.7	40.3 ***	26	43.3 ***	49				
Campus	Quality of Interactions	44.1	45.1 *	09	48.2 ***	33				
Environment	-	34.4	36.0 **	11	39.1 ***	35				

Seniors				Your seniors co	mpared with	
		NDSU	NSSE 7	Гор 50%	NSSE T	op 10%
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size ✓	Mean	Effect size ✓
	Higher-Order Learning	37.0	41.9 ***	36	44.2 ***	55
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	33.7	40.3 ***	53	42.7 ***	77
Challenge	Learning Strategies	33.3	41.1 ***	54	43.4 ***	72
	Quantitative Reasoning	29.8	32.4 ***	16	35.3 ***	35
Learning	Collaborative Learning	33.4	34.0	04 ✓	37.9 ***	32
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	35.4	40.4 ***	32	43.2 ***	52
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	22.7	28.8 ***	37	33.2 ***	66
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	36.3	41.9 ***	40	44.5 ***	60
Campus	Quality of Interactions	41.8	45.6 ***	31	48.0 ***	50
Environmen	•	30.7	34.3 ***	24	37.4 ***	47

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .01 (2-tailed).

a. Precision-weighted means were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2021 and 2022 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data—even those with high average scores—may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.

b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either positive or non-significant with an effect size > -.10.



# Detailed Statistics<sup>a</sup> North Dakota State University

## **Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students**

	Mea	ın statisti	cs	Percentile <sup>d</sup> scores				Со	mparison	results		
_									Deg. of	Mean		Effect
Academia Challenge	Mean	SD <sup>b</sup>	SE <sup>c</sup>	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	freedom <sup>e</sup>	diff.	Sig. <sup>f</sup>	size <sup>g</sup>
Academic Challenge												
Higher-Order Learning	26.0	12.6	40	1.5	20	25	40	<i>c</i> 0				
NDSU $(N = 658)$	36.0	12.6	.49	15	30	35 40	40	60 60	9.702	0	102	066
NDSU Peers	36.9 37.2	13.2 13.5	.15 .06	15 15	30 30	40	45 45	60	8,702 677	9 -1.2	.102 .016	066 089
Very High Research NSSE 2021 & 2022	37.2	13.5	.03	15	30	40	45	60	662	-1.2	.000	131
Top 50%	39.2	13.3	.03	20	30	40	50	60	106,594	-3.2	.000	131
Top 10%	42.1	13.0	.12	20	35	40	55	60	738	-5.2 -6.1	.000	465
Reflective & Integrative Learning	_	10.1	40	4.5	2.5	2.1	40					
NDSU (N = $690$ )	32.9	10.4	.40	17	26	31	40	51				
NDSU Peers	33.6	12.0	.13	14	26	34	40	54	841	8	.064	065
Very High Research	34.4	12.2	.05	14	26	34	43	57	713	-1.6	.000	129
NSSE 2021 & 2022	35.3	12.3	.03	17	26	34	43	57	695	-2.4	.000	197
Top 50%	36.9	12.1	.04	17	29	37	46	60	701	-4.1	.000	336
Top 10%	39.2	11.8	.10	20	31	40	49	60	775	-6.4	.000	541
Learning Strategies												
NDSU $(N = 617)$	35.7	13.2	.53	13	27	33	40	60				
NDSU Peers	37.0	13.8	.16	13	27	40	47	60	8,205	-1.3	.019	098
Very High Research	37.0	13.9	.06	13	27	40	47	60	634	-1.4	.011	099
NSSE 2021 & 2022	37.9	14.0	.03	13	27	40	47	60	620	-2.3	.000	162
Top 50%	39.6	14.1	.05	20	27	40	53	60	97,191	-3.9	.000	278
Top 10%	42.9	14.3	.11	20	33	40	60	60	668	-7.3	.000	509
Quantitative Reasoning												
NDSU ( $N = 632$ )	29.2	14.1	.56	7	20	27	40	53				
NDSU Peers	29.6	14.7	.17	7	20	27	40	60	748	4	.509	026
Very High Research	29.0	15.0	.07	7	20	27	40	60	650	.3	.656	.017
NSSE 2021 & 2022	28.7	15.5	.04	0	20	27	40	60	635	.5	.399	.017
				7								
Top 50%	30.2	15.4	.05	7	20	27	40	60	639	-1.0	.088	063
Top 10%	33.3	15.5	.13	/	20	33	40	60	699	-4.1	.000	263
Learning with Peers												
Collaborative Learning												
NDSU $(N = 728)$	31.8	14.0	.52	10	20	30	40	60				
NDSU Peers	30.7	14.2	.15	10	20	30	40	60	9,974	1.0	.055	.074
Very High Research	28.4	14.4	.06	5	20	25	40	55	60,348	3.4	.000	.237
NSSE 2021 & 2022	27.4	15.0	.03	0	15	25	40	55	732	4.3	.000	.289
Top 50%	31.8	13.8	.04	10	20	30	40	60	98,174	.0	.927	003
Top 10%	35.4	13.5	.10	15	25	35	45	60	18,674	-3.7	.000	270
Discussions with Diverse Others												
NDSU $(N = 625)$	36.0	14.5	.58	15	25	40	45	60				
NDSU Peers	38.2	14.7	.17	15	30	40	50	60	8,248	-2.2	.000	152
Very High Research	38.2	15.6	.07	10	25	40	50	60	643	-2.2	.000	141
NSSE 2021 & 2022	37.1	16.1	.04	10	25	40	50	60	629	-1.2	.048	071
Top 50%	39.8	15.1	.05	15	30	40	55	60	89,662	-3.8	.000	254
Top 10%	42.6	14.2	.13	20	35	40	55	60	12,162	-6.6	.000	463
.1		.=	-			-			,			



# Detailed Statistics<sup>a</sup> North Dakota State University

### **Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students**

	Mea	n statisti	cs		Perce	ntile <sup>d</sup> sco	ores		Co	mparison	results	
									Deg. of	Mean		Effect
	Mean	SD <sup>b</sup>	SE c	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	freedom <sup>e</sup>	diff.	Sig. <sup>f</sup>	size <sup>g</sup>
Experiences with Faculty												
Student-Faculty Interaction												
NDSU $(N = 673)$	20.9	14.0	.54	0	10	20	30	45				
NDSU Peers	20.0	14.2	.16	0	10	20	30	45	8,932	.8	.137	.060
Very High Research	18.5	14.3	.06	0	10	15	25	45	52,503	2.4	.000	.171
NSSE 2021 & 2022	20.0	14.8	.03	0	10	20	30	50	214,228	.9	.117	.060
Top 50%	24.3	15.1	.07	5	15	20	35	55	692	-3.5	.000	228
Top 10%	27.8	15.3	.16	5	15	25	40	60	796	-6.9	.000	455
Effective Teaching Practices												
NDSU $(N = 658)$	36.7	12.1	.47	16	28	36	44	60				
NDSU Peers	36.2	13.0	.15	16	28	36	44	60	787	.5	.294	.040
Very High Research	36.3	13.3	.06	16	28	36	44	60	678	.4	.412	.029
NSSE 2021 & 2022	37.9	13.7	.03	16	28	40	48	60	662	-1.2	.010	089
Top 50%	40.3	13.8	.05	16	32	40	52	60	672	-3.6	.000	262
Top 10%	43.3	13.7	.13	20	36	44	56	60	755	-6.6	.000	488
Campus Environment												
Quality of Interactions												
NDSU $(N = 596)$	44.1	10.3	.42	24	38	46	50	60				
NDSU Peers	42.1	11.3	.13	20	36	43	50	60	722	1.9	.000	.171
Very High Research	41.3	12.2	.06	18	34	42	50	60	619	2.8	.000	.228
NSSE 2021 & 2022	42.4	12.4	.03	20	36	44	52	60	601	1.6	.000	.133
Top 50%	45.1	11.9	.05	22	38	48	54	60	612	-1.1	.012	090
Top 10%	48.2	12.5	.12	23	42	50	60	60	690	-4.1	.000	334
Supportive Environment												
NDSU $(N = 613)$	34.4	12.1	.49	15	25	35	43	55				
NDSU Peers	33.3	13.2	.15	13	23	33	40	58	739	1.1	.028	.085
Very High Research	32.5	13.7	.06	10	23	33	40	58	634	1.9	.000	.140
NSSE 2021 & 2022	33.3	14.0	.03	10	23	33	43	60	617	1.1	.023	.079
Top 50%	36.0	13.6	.05	13	28	38	45	60	626	-1.5	.002	113
Top 10%	39.1	13.3	.15	18	30	40	50	60	738	-4.7	.000	355

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

IPEDS: 200332

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean  $\pm$ 1.96 x SE) is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



# Detailed Statistics<sup>a</sup> North Dakota State University

**Detailed Statistics: Seniors** 

_	Mea	n statisti	cs		Percei	ntile <sup>d</sup> scc	ores		Co	mparison	results	
	Mean	SD <sup>b</sup>	SE <sup>c</sup>	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Deg. of freedom <sup>e</sup>	Mean diff.	Sig. <sup>f</sup>	Effect size <sup>g</sup>
Academic Challenge	Wicum			501	2501	30111	7501	<i>33th</i>	j.ccao	۵.,,,	o.g.	5,20
Higher-Order Learning												
NDSU (N = 485)	37.0	12.9	.59	20	25	40	45	60				
NDSU Peers	39.0	13.7	.18	15	30	40	50	60	6,395	-2.0	.002	146
Very High Research	39.2	14.1	.06	15	30	40	50	60	50,348	-2.2	.001	158
NSSE 2021 & 2022	40.2	14.0	.03	20	30	40	50	60	193,798	-3.2	.000	231
Top 50%	41.9	13.7	.05	20	35	40	55	60	77,238	-4.9	.000	358
Top 10%	44.2	13.7	.16	20	35	45	60	60	7,557	-7.3	.000	554
100 1070	11.2	13.1	.10	20	55	15	00	00	7,557	7.5	.000	.551
Reflective & Integrative Learning	g											
NDSU $(N = 507)$	33.7	11.7	.52	14	26	34	40	54				
NDSU Peers	37.0	12.8	.16	17	29	37	46	60	610	-3.3	.000	258
Very High Research	36.9	13.2	.06	17	29	37	46	60	519	-3.3	.000	248
NSSE 2021 & 2022	38.1	13.0	.03	17	29	37	49	60	510	-4.5	.000	342
Top 50%	40.3	12.5	.05	20	31	40	50	60	515	-6.6	.000	528
Top 10%	42.7	11.7	.14	23	34	43	51	60	7,133	-9.1	.000	774
<del> </del>												
Learning Strategies	22.2	12.7	62	7	27	22	40	60				
NDSU (N = 477) NDSU Peers	33.3	13.7	.63	7		33	40	60	570	2.7	000	255
	37.0	14.5	.19	13	27	40	47	60	570	-3.7	.000	255
Very High Research	37.9	14.9	.07	13	27	40	47	60	487	-4.6	.000	312
NSSE 2021 & 2022	39.0	14.8	.03	13	27	40	53	60	479	-5.7	.000	389
Top 50%	41.1	14.6	.05	20	33	40	53	60	482	-7.8	.000	536
Top 10%	43.4	14.2	.12	20	33	40	60	60	511	-10.2	.000	718
Quantitative Reasoning												
NDSU ( $N = 482$ )	29.8	14.8	.68	7	20	27	40	60				
NDSU Peers	31.4	16.1	.21	0	20	33	40	60	582	-1.7	.019	104
Very High Research	31.1	16.5	.08	0	20	33	40	60	493	-1.3	.048	082
NSSE 2021 & 2022	30.9	16.6	.04	0	20	33	40	60	484	-1.1	.104	067
Top 50%	32.4	16.5	.05	7	20	33	40	60	487	-2.7	.000	164
Top 10%	35.3	16.0	.15	7	20	33	47	60	531	-5.6	.000	351
Learning with Peers												
Collaborative Learning												
NDSU $(N = 540)$	33.4	14.2	.61	10	25	35	45	60				
NDSU Peers	31.9	15.2	.19	5	20	30	40	60	644	1.5	.021	.098
Very High Research	30.3	15.7	.07	5	20	30	40	60	552	3.1	.000	.200
NSSE 2021 & 2022	29.0	16.2	.03	0	20	30	40	60	543	4.4	.000	.273
Top 50%	34.0	14.6	.05	10	25	35	45	60	73,400	6	.330	042
Top 10%	37.9	13.7	.14	15	30	40	50	60	9,983	-4.4	.000	325
Discussions with Diverse Others												
NDSU (N = 476)	35.4	15.1	.69	10	20	35	45	60				
NDSU Peers	38.6	15.0	.20	15	30	40	50	60	6,080	-3.2	.000	214
Very High Research	39.0	16.2	.07	10	25	40	55	60	487	-3.6	.000	224
NSSE 2021 & 2022	38.1	16.5	.04	10	25	40	50	60	478	-2.7	.000	165
Top 50%	40.4	15.9	.04	15	30	40	55	60	84,236	-2.7 -5.0	.000	316
Top 10%	43.2	15.1	.17	20	35	45	60	60	8,793	-3.0 -7.9	.000	521
10p 1070	+3.2	13.1	.1/	20	33	43	00	00	0,173	-1.9	.000	541



# Detailed Statistics<sup>a</sup> North Dakota State University

**Detailed Statistics: Seniors** 

	Mea	n statisti	cs		Perce	ntile <sup>d</sup> sco	ores		Со	mparison	results	
									Deg. of	Mean		Effect
	Mean	SD <sup>b</sup>	SE <sup>c</sup>	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	freedom <sup>e</sup>	diff.	Sig. <sup>f</sup>	size <sup>g</sup>
Experiences with Faculty												
Student-Faculty Interaction												
NDSU $(N = 492)$	22.7	14.3	.64	5	15	20	30	50				
NDSU Peers	23.0	15.6	.20	0	10	20	35	55	590	3	.684	018
Very High Research	21.0	15.9	.07	0	10	20	30	55	502	1.8	.007	.111
NSSE 2021 & 2022	22.5	16.3	.04	0	10	20	35	60	494	.3	.690	.016
Top 50%	28.8	16.2	.09	5	15	25	40	60	508	-6.0	.000	373
Top 10%	33.2	16.1	.24	10	20	35	45	60	631	-10.5	.000	659
Effective Teaching Practices												
NDSU $(N = 488)$	36.3	13.4	.60	16	28	36	44	60				
NDSU Peers	38.4	13.5	.18	16	28	40	48	60	6,392	-2.1	.001	153
Very High Research	38.0	14.4	.06	12	28	40	48	60	499	-1.6	.008	112
NSSE 2021 & 2022	39.4	14.5	.03	16	28	40	52	60	490	-3.1	.000	211
Top 50%	41.9	14.1	.06	16	32	40	56	60	61,295	-5.6	.000	397
Top 10%	44.5	13.6	.14	20	36	44	56	60	10,230	-8.1	.000	598
Campus Environment												
Quality of Interactions												
NDSU $(N = 442)$	41.8	10.8	.51	22	36	43	50	58				
NDSU Peers	42.2	11.7	.16	22	35	44	50	60	533	4	.419	037
Very High Research	41.7	12.7	.06	18	34	43	50	60	454	.1	.832	.009
NSSE 2021 & 2022	43.0	12.7	.03	20	36	44	52	60	444	-1.3	.013	101
Top 50%	45.6	12.3	.05	22	38	48	56	60	449	-3.9	.000	315
Top 10%	48.0	12.5	.09	22	40	50	60	60	469	-6.2	.000	499
Supportive Environment												
NDSU $(N = 464)$	30.7	12.8	.59	13	20	30	40	55				
NDSU Peers	31.6	13.7	.19	10	23	33	40	58	557	9	.155	065
Very High Research	31.2	14.4	.07	8	20	30	40	60	475	5	.405	035
NSSE 2021 & 2022	31.6	14.7	.03	8	20	33	40	60	466	9	.142	060
Top 50%	34.3	14.7	.06	10	23	35	45	60	473	-3.6	.000	242
Top 10%	37.4	14.5	.19	13	28	38	48	60	560	-6.7	.000	468

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

IPEDS: 200332

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean  $\pm$ 1.96 x SE) is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.