

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: BIOCHEMISTRY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 211 Your Dept 696 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	6	24	79	100	0	Class	4.275	0.845	211
	0.9	2.8	11.4	37.4	47.4	0.0	Department	3.738	1.233	671
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	13	61	131	0	Class	4.498	0.758	211
	0.5	2.4	6.2	28.9	62.1	0.0	Department	3.837	1.232	670
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	4	15	58	130	0	Class	4.450	0.857	211
	1.9	1.9	7.1	27.5	61.6	0.0	Department	3.810	1.242	668
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	4	3	29	86	89	0	Class	4.199	0.866	211
	1.9	1.4	13.7	40.8	42.2	0.0	Department	3.740	1.177	669
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	10	25	61	110	0	Class	4.237	0.996	211
	2.4	4.7	11.8	28.9	52.1	0.0	Department	3.854	1.189	669
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	14	55	75	60	0	Class	3.791	1.035	211
	3.3	6.6	26.1	35.5	28.4	0.0	Department	3.669	1.070	671
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	4	27	61	114	0	Class	4.303	0.932	211
	2.4	1.9	12.8	28.9	54.0	0.0	Department	3.817	1.175	671
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	5	18	66	121	0	Class	4.427	0.786	211
	0.5	2.4	8.5	31.3	57.3	0.0	Department	3.924	1.110	671
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	7	20	63	118	0	Class	4.355	0.890	211
	1.4	3.3	9.5	29.9	55.9	0.0	Department	3.810	1.175	670
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	12	53	141	1	Class	4.571	0.710	210
	0.5	1.4	5.7	25.1	66.8	0.5	Department	3.924	1.235	670
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	14	30	89	73	0	Class	4.000	0.986	211
	2.4	6.6	14.2	42.2	34.6	0.0	Department	3.771	1.038	667
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	41	77	87	0	Class	4.156	0.850	211
	0.5	2.4	19.4	36.5	41.2	0.0	Department	3.827	1.103	670
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	23	62	121	1	Class	4.424	0.780	210
	0.5	1.4	10.9	29.4	57.3	0.5	Department	3.966	1.072	669
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	7	35	71	97	0	Class	4.213	0.871	211
	0.5	3.3	16.6	33.6	46.0	0.0	Department	3.750	1.142	669
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	1	13	74	121	0	Class	4.474	0.719	211
	0.9	0.5	6.2	35.1	57.3	0.0	Department	3.993	1.080	670
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	10	29	64	101	0	Class	4.147	1.043	211
	3.3	4.7	13.7	30.3	47.9	0.0	Department	3.773	1.189	670
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: BIOCHEMISTRY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 429 Your Dept 696 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	51	44	95	120	94	25	Class	3.401	1.298	404
	11.9	10.3	22.1	28.0	21.9	5.8	Department	3.738	1.233	671
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	45	46	94	122	97	25	Class	3.446	1.276	404
	10.5	10.7	21.9	28.4	22.6	5.8	Department	3.837	1.232	670
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	44	45	101	119	93	27	Class	3.428	1.262	402
	10.3	10.5	23.5	27.7	21.7	6.3	Department	3.810	1.242	668
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	40	43	105	125	89	27	Class	3.448	1.227	402
	9.3	10.0	24.5	29.1	20.7	6.3	Department	3.740	1.177	669
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	35	40	88	136	104	26	Class	3.581	1.218	403
	8.2	9.3	20.5	31.7	24.2	6.1	Department	3.854	1.189	669
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	22	34	124	142	82	25	Class	3.564	1.072	404
	5.1	7.9	28.9	33.1	19.1	5.8	Department	3.669	1.070	671
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	30	43	102	138	91	25	Class	3.537	1.167	404
	7.0	10.0	23.8	32.2	21.2	5.8	Department	3.817	1.175	671
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	27	37	100	140	100	25	Class	3.616	1.148	404
	6.3	8.6	23.3	32.6	23.3	5.8	Department	3.924	1.110	671
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	34	44	106	127	92	26	Class	3.494	1.198	403
	7.9	10.3	24.7	29.6	21.4	6.1	Department	3.810	1.175	670
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	51	31	82	131	109	25	Class	3.535	1.305	404
	11.9	7.2	19.1	30.5	25.4	5.8	Department	3.924	1.235	670
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	18	31	117	152	82	29	Class	3.623	1.036	400
	4.2	7.2	27.3	35.4	19.1	6.8	Department	3.771	1.038	667
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	34	24	111	140	94	26	Class	3.586	1.157	403
	7.9	5.6	25.9	32.6	21.9	6.1	Department	3.827	1.103	670
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	23	25	110	141	105	25	Class	3.693	1.096	404
	5.4	5.8	25.6	32.9	24.5	5.8	Department	3.966	1.072	669
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	32	46	115	129	81	26	Class	3.449	1.165	403
	7.5	10.7	26.8	30.1	18.9	6.1	Department	3.750	1.142	669
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	25	28	105	131	114	26	Class	3.697	1.136	403
	5.8	6.5	24.5	30.5	26.6	6.1	Department	3.993	1.080	670
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	30	42	105	133	93	26	Class	3.538	1.170	403
	7.0	9.8	24.5	31.0	21.7	6.1	Department	3.773	1.189	670
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: BIOCHEMISTRY	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 56 Your Dept 696 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	3	6	15	29	0	Class	4.143	1.151	56	
	5.4	5.4	10.7	26.8	51.8	0.0	Department	3.738	1.233	671	
								College	3.940	1.124	19724
								University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	5	2	14	31	1	Class	4.182	1.203	55	
	5.4	8.9	3.6	25.0	55.4	1.8	Department	3.837	1.232	670	
								College	4.016	1.123	19675
								University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	3	11	32	1	Class	4.145	1.253	55	
	5.4	10.7	5.4	19.6	57.1	1.8	Department	3.810	1.242	668	
								College	3.998	1.122	19706
								University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	3	4	6	14	29	0	Class	4.107	1.186	56	
	5.4	7.1	10.7	25.0	51.8	0.0	Department	3.740	1.177	669	
								College	3.914	1.085	19675
								University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	2	1	14	35	1	Class	4.382	1.080	55	
	5.4	3.6	1.8	25.0	62.5	1.8	Department	3.854	1.189	669	
								College	4.166	1.012	19681
								University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	2	6	4	24	20	0	Class	3.964	1.095	56	
	3.6	10.7	7.1	42.9	35.7	0.0	Department	3.669	1.070	671	
								College	3.897	1.049	19674
								University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	3	4	11	31	0	Class	4.000	1.414	56	
	12.5	5.4	7.1	19.6	55.4	0.0	Department	3.817	1.175	671	
								College	4.042	1.037	19712
								University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	2	4	16	31	0	Class	4.250	1.100	56	
	5.4	3.6	7.1	28.6	55.4	0.0	Department	3.924	1.110	671	
								College	4.088	1.010	19687
								University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	3	9	15	26	0	Class	4.036	1.159	56	
	5.4	5.4	16.1	26.8	46.4	0.0	Department	3.810	1.175	670	
								College	3.992	1.096	19691
								University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	3	3	12	35	0	Class	4.304	1.143	56	
	5.4	5.4	5.4	21.4	62.5	0.0	Department	3.924	1.235	670	
								College	4.249	0.927	19687
								University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	4	9	20	21	0	Class	3.964	1.078	56	
	3.6	7.1	16.1	35.7	37.5	0.0	Department	3.771	1.038	667	
								College	4.022	0.959	19682
								University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	2	2	16	33	0	Class	4.321	1.081	56	
	5.4	3.6	3.6	28.6	58.9	0.0	Department	3.827	1.103	670	
								College	4.113	0.958	19677
								University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	5	2	12	33	1	Class	4.218	1.212	55	
	5.4	8.9	3.6	21.4	58.9	1.8	Department	3.966	1.072	669	
								College	4.052	1.050	19682
								University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	3	6	12	31	1	Class	4.182	1.172	55	
	5.4	5.4	10.7	21.4	55.4	1.8	Department	3.750	1.142	669	
								College	3.960	1.082	19663
								University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	3	5	12	34	0	Class	4.304	1.077	56	
	3.6	5.4	8.9	21.4	60.7	0.0	Department	3.993	1.080	670	
								College	4.125	0.950	19679
								University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	2	6	7	34	0	Class	4.054	1.420	56	
	12.5	3.6	10.7	12.5	60.7	0.0	Department	3.773	1.189	670	
								College	4.012	1.066	19664
								University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: BIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 3204
 Your Dept 4075
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	176	263	420	1071	1267	7	Class	3.935	1.161	3197
	5.5	8.2	13.1	33.4	39.5	0.2	Department	3.995	1.127	4067
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	138	227	421	1004	1399	15	Class	4.034	1.115	3189
	4.3	7.1	13.1	31.3	43.7	0.5	Department	4.094	1.081	4059
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	134	215	367	1036	1442	10	Class	4.076	1.099	3194
	4.2	6.7	11.5	32.3	45.0	0.3	Department	4.113	1.070	4063
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	195	239	492	1056	1207	15	Class	3.891	1.171	3189
	6.1	7.5	15.4	33.0	37.7	0.5	Department	3.953	1.136	4054
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	147	194	381	1069	1396	17	Class	4.058	1.101	3187
	4.6	6.1	11.9	33.4	43.6	0.5	Department	4.101	1.077	4056
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	127	175	444	1220	1215	23	Class	4.013	1.049	3181
	4.0	5.5	13.9	38.1	37.9	0.7	Department	4.052	1.020	4050
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	108	188	418	1157	1322	11	Class	4.064	1.040	3193
	3.4	5.9	13.0	36.1	41.3	0.3	Department	4.117	1.008	4064
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	115	156	383	1178	1362	10	Class	4.101	1.028	3194
	3.6	4.9	12.0	36.8	42.5	0.3	Department	4.137	1.009	4064
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	158	262	405	1065	1302	12	Class	3.968	1.144	3192
	4.9	8.2	12.6	33.2	40.6	0.4	Department	4.006	1.120	4061
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	106	123	294	1241	1426	14	Class	4.178	0.980	3190
	3.3	3.8	9.2	38.7	44.5	0.4	Department	4.207	0.961	4061
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	77	124	462	1288	1241	12	Class	4.094	0.947	3192
	2.4	3.9	14.4	40.2	38.7	0.4	Department	4.120	0.927	4063
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	88	131	473	1142	1358	12	Class	4.112	0.987	3192
	2.7	4.1	14.8	35.6	42.4	0.4	Department	4.165	0.954	4062
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	213	230	378	1070	1300	13	Class	3.945	1.188	3191
	6.6	7.2	11.8	33.4	40.6	0.4	Department	4.008	1.142	4062
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	153	218	475	1076	1270	12	Class	3.969	1.118	3192
	4.8	6.8	14.8	33.6	39.6	0.4	Department	4.027	1.086	4063
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	87	109	375	1258	1358	17	Class	4.158	0.949	3187
	2.7	3.4	11.7	39.3	42.4	0.5	Department	4.199	0.922	4058
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	146	191	386	1148	1317	16	Class	4.035	1.089	3188
	4.6	6.0	12.0	35.8	41.1	0.5	Department	4.093	1.051	4053
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: BIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 808
 Your Dept 4075
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	16 2.0	41 5.1	91 11.3	272 33.7	388 48.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.207 3.995 3.940 4.190	0.966 1.127 1.124 0.970	808 4067 19724 77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	16 2.0	25 3.1	79 9.8	261 32.3	427 52.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.309 4.094 4.016 4.251	0.913 1.081 1.123 0.969	808 4059 19675 77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	19 2.4	27 3.3	97 12.0	264 32.7	400 49.5	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.238 4.113 3.998 4.214	0.952 1.070 1.122 0.989	807 4063 19706 77519
4. the quality of this course.	14 1.7	42 5.2	111 13.7	257 31.8	379 46.9	5 0.6	Class Department College University	4.177 3.953 3.914 4.160	0.973 1.136 1.085 0.954	803 4054 19675 77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	19 2.4	38 4.7	86 10.6	253 31.3	411 50.9	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.238 4.101 4.166 4.337	0.980 1.077 1.012 0.893	807 4056 19681 77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	9 1.1	38 4.7	102 12.6	310 38.4	348 43.1	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.177 4.052 3.897 4.167	0.903 1.020 1.049 0.909	807 4050 19674 77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10 1.2	21 2.6	84 10.4	291 36.0	402 49.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.304 4.117 4.042 4.266	0.851 1.008 1.037 0.893	808 4064 19712 77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	14 1.7	31 3.8	76 9.4	285 35.3	401 49.6	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.274 4.137 4.088 4.268	0.909 1.009 1.010 0.893	807 4064 19687 77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	19 2.4	55 6.8	97 12.0	262 32.4	374 46.3	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.136 4.006 3.992 4.190	1.025 1.120 1.096 0.981	807 4061 19691 77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	13 1.6	26 3.2	64 7.9	297 36.8	408 50.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.313 4.207 4.249 4.365	0.872 0.961 0.927 0.846	808 4061 19687 77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	10 1.2	21 2.6	105 13.0	330 40.8	342 42.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.204 4.120 4.022 4.233	0.853 0.927 0.959 0.828	808 4063 19682 77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	8 1.0	12 1.5	83 10.3	296 36.6	408 50.5	1 0.1	Class Department College University	4.343 4.165 4.113 4.283	0.800 0.954 0.958 0.858	807 4062 19677 77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	11 1.4	38 4.7	92 11.4	275 34.0	392 48.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.236 4.008 4.052 4.236	0.925 1.142 1.050 0.938	808 4062 19682 77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	14 1.7	32 4.0	97 12.0	272 33.7	393 48.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.235 4.027 3.960 4.187	0.931 1.086 1.082 0.958	808 4063 19663 77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	10 1.2	12 1.5	70 8.7	309 38.2	407 50.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.350 4.199 4.125 4.307	0.800 0.922 0.950 0.831	808 4058 19679 77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	10 1.2	26 3.2	75 9.3	293 36.3	398 49.3	6 0.7	Class Department College University	4.300 4.093 4.012 4.239	0.862 1.051 1.066 0.923	802 4053 19664 77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: BIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 63
 Your Dept 4075
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	3 4.8	6 9.5	21 33.3	32 50.8	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.323 3.995 3.940 4.190	0.845 1.127 1.124 0.970	62 4067 19724 77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1 1.6	2 3.2	5 7.9	19 30.2	35 55.6	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.371 4.094 4.016 4.251	0.891 1.081 1.123 0.969	62 4059 19675 77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	1 1.6	9 14.3	17 27.0	35 55.6	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.387 4.113 3.998 4.214	0.797 1.070 1.122 0.989	62 4063 19706 77519
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	3 4.8	8 12.7	22 34.9	29 46.0	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.242 3.953 3.914 4.160	0.862 1.136 1.085 0.954	62 4054 19675 77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	1 1.6	7 11.1	15 23.8	39 61.9	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.484 4.101 4.166 4.337	0.763 1.077 1.012 0.893	62 4056 19681 77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 1.6	3 4.8	27 42.9	31 49.2	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.419 4.052 3.897 4.167	0.666 1.020 1.049 0.909	62 4050 19674 77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	4 6.3	5 7.9	15 23.8	39 61.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.413 4.117 4.042 4.266	0.891 1.008 1.037 0.893	63 4064 19712 77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2 3.2	6 9.5	4 6.3	15 23.8	36 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.222 4.137 4.088 4.268	1.128 1.009 1.010 0.893	63 4064 19687 77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1 1.6	3 4.8	6 9.5	22 34.9	30 47.6	1 1.6	Class Department College University	4.242 4.006 3.992 4.190	0.935 1.120 1.096 0.981	62 4061 19691 77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 1.6	3 4.8	6 9.5	20 31.7	33 52.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.286 4.207 4.249 4.365	0.941 0.961 0.927 0.846	63 4061 19687 77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 7.9	29 46.0	29 46.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.381 4.120 4.022 4.233	0.633 0.927 0.959 0.828	63 4063 19682 77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 9.5	16 25.4	41 65.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.556 4.165 4.113 4.283	0.667 0.954 0.958 0.858	63 4062 19677 77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	3 4.8	6 9.5	23 36.5	31 49.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.302 4.008 4.052 4.236	0.835 1.142 1.050 0.938	63 4062 19682 77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 1.6	2 3.2	8 12.7	19 30.2	33 52.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.286 4.027 3.960 4.187	0.923 1.086 1.082 0.958	63 4063 19663 77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	2 3.2	6 9.5	26 41.3	29 46.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.302 4.199 4.125 4.307	0.775 0.922 0.950 0.831	63 4058 19679 77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1 1.6	3 4.8	4 6.3	19 30.2	36 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.365 4.093 4.012 4.239	0.921 1.051 1.066 0.923	63 4053 19664 77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: CHEMISTRY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 2374
 Your Dept 3057
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	208	331	461	691	681	2	Class	3.551	1.276	2372
	8.8	13.9	19.4	29.1	28.7	0.1	Department	3.634	1.241	3054
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	230	287	465	641	739	12	Class	3.581	1.303	2362
	9.7	12.1	19.6	27.0	31.1	0.5	Department	3.673	1.266	3044
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	210	314	489	658	699	4	Class	3.558	1.278	2370
	8.8	13.2	20.6	27.7	29.4	0.2	Department	3.636	1.247	3053
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	171	277	536	778	601	11	Class	3.576	1.193	2363
	7.2	11.7	22.6	32.8	25.3	0.5	Department	3.624	1.168	3045
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	114	131	346	778	995	10	Class	4.019	1.106	2364
	4.8	5.5	14.6	32.8	41.9	0.4	Department	4.000	1.120	3046
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	187	295	547	775	562	8	Class	3.520	1.204	2366
	7.9	12.4	23.0	32.6	23.7	0.3	Department	3.561	1.182	3048
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	201	293	470	717	690	3	Class	3.591	1.257	2371
	8.5	12.3	19.8	30.2	29.1	0.1	Department	3.709	1.211	3053
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	137	192	424	859	756	6	Class	3.804	1.143	2368
	5.8	8.1	17.9	36.2	31.8	0.3	Department	3.852	1.118	3050
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	148	261	437	782	742	4	Class	3.721	1.193	2370
	6.2	11.0	18.4	32.9	31.3	0.2	Department	3.779	1.162	3052
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	63	63	237	930	1078	3	Class	4.222	0.923	2371
	2.7	2.7	10.0	39.2	45.4	0.1	Department	4.203	0.942	3054
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	123	198	490	839	718	6	Class	3.773	1.123	2368
	5.2	8.3	20.6	35.3	30.2	0.3	Department	3.807	1.095	3050
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	115	157	636	773	687	6	Class	3.743	1.093	2368
	4.8	6.6	26.8	32.6	28.9	0.3	Department	3.843	1.072	3047
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	151	155	516	798	752	2	Class	3.778	1.149	2372
	6.4	6.5	21.7	33.6	31.7	0.1	Department	3.877	1.122	3053
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	172	259	565	683	685	10	Class	3.613	1.214	2364
	7.2	10.9	23.8	28.8	28.9	0.4	Department	3.685	1.194	3046
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	127	172	469	826	776	4	Class	3.824	1.126	2370
	5.3	7.2	19.8	34.8	32.7	0.2	Department	3.917	1.081	3052
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	203	259	474	720	714	4	Class	3.626	1.253	2370
	8.6	10.9	20.0	30.3	30.1	0.2	Department	3.722	1.210	3053
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: CHEMISTRY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 581
 Your Dept 3057
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	22	49	117	207	185	1	Class	3.834	1.084	580
	3.8	8.4	20.1	35.6	31.8	0.2	Department	3.634	1.241	3054
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	23	43	109	197	208	1	Class	3.903	1.092	580
	4.0	7.4	18.8	33.9	35.8	0.2	Department	3.673	1.266	3044
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	26	45	127	193	190	0	Class	3.819	1.108	581
	4.5	7.7	21.9	33.2	32.7	0.0	Department	3.636	1.247	3053
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	22	53	151	207	147	1	Class	3.697	1.063	580
	3.8	9.1	26.0	35.6	25.3	0.2	Department	3.624	1.168	3045
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	26	75	92	173	214	1	Class	3.817	1.191	580
	4.5	12.9	15.8	29.8	36.8	0.2	Department	4.000	1.120	3046
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	28	66	150	211	125	1	Class	3.584	1.092	580
	4.8	11.4	25.8	36.3	21.5	0.2	Department	3.561	1.182	3048
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	32	89	245	205	0	Class	4.038	0.939	581
	1.7	5.5	15.3	42.2	35.3	0.0	Department	3.709	1.211	3053
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	17	42	100	224	198	0	Class	3.936	1.031	581
	2.9	7.2	17.2	38.6	34.1	0.0	Department	3.852	1.118	3050
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	16	46	112	216	190	1	Class	3.893	1.038	580
	2.8	7.9	19.3	37.2	32.7	0.2	Department	3.779	1.162	3052
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	18	38	70	220	235	0	Class	4.060	1.031	581
	3.1	6.5	12.0	37.9	40.4	0.0	Department	4.203	0.942	3054
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	15	48	112	250	155	1	Class	3.831	0.999	580
	2.6	8.3	19.3	43.0	26.7	0.2	Department	3.807	1.095	3050
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	18	96	204	248	4	Class	4.144	0.935	577
	1.9	3.1	16.5	35.1	42.7	0.7	Department	3.843	1.072	3047
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	16	20	76	208	259	2	Class	4.164	0.970	579
	2.8	3.4	13.1	35.8	44.6	0.3	Department	3.877	1.122	3053
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	24	50	117	203	186	1	Class	3.822	1.100	580
	4.1	8.6	20.1	34.9	32.0	0.2	Department	3.685	1.194	3046
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	9	12	79	249	232	0	Class	4.176	0.853	581
	1.5	2.1	13.6	42.9	39.9	0.0	Department	3.917	1.081	3052
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	16	40	83	247	195	0	Class	3.972	1.001	581
	2.8	6.9	14.3	42.5	33.6	0.0	Department	3.722	1.210	3053
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: CHEMISTRY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 102 Your Dept 3057 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	7	36	57	0	Class	4.441	0.752	102	
	1.0	1.0	6.9	35.3	55.9	0.0	Department	3.634	1.241	3054	
							College	3.940	1.124	19724	
							University	4.190	0.970	77591	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	5	30	64	0	Class	4.510	0.767	102	
	1.0	2.0	4.9	29.4	62.7	0.0	Department	3.673	1.266	3044	
							College	4.016	1.123	19675	
							University	4.251	0.969	77448	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	8	33	58	0	Class	4.422	0.801	102	
	1.0	2.0	7.8	32.4	56.9	0.0	Department	3.636	1.247	3053	
							College	3.998	1.122	19706	
							University	4.214	0.989	77519	
4. the quality of this course.	3	0	11	36	52	0	Class	4.314	0.890	102	
	2.9	0.0	10.8	35.3	51.0	0.0	Department	3.624	1.168	3045	
							College	3.914	1.085	19675	
							University	4.160	0.954	77404	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	4	27	69	0	Class	4.588	0.709	102	
	1.0	1.0	3.9	26.5	67.6	0.0	Department	4.000	1.120	3046	
							College	4.166	1.012	19681	
							University	4.337	0.893	77426	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	1	8	37	54	0	Class	4.373	0.832	102	
	2.0	1.0	7.8	36.3	52.9	0.0	Department	3.561	1.182	3048	
							College	3.897	1.049	19674	
							University	4.167	0.909	77361	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	4	30	66	1	Class	4.584	0.667	101	
	1.0	0.0	3.9	29.4	64.7	1.0	Department	3.709	1.211	3053	
							College	4.042	1.037	19712	
							University	4.266	0.893	77563	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	5	36	58	1	Class	4.475	0.729	101	
	1.0	1.0	4.9	35.3	56.9	1.0	Department	3.852	1.118	3050	
							College	4.088	1.010	19687	
							University	4.268	0.893	77523	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	8	29	62	0	Class	4.461	0.804	102	
	1.0	2.0	7.8	28.4	60.8	0.0	Department	3.779	1.162	3052	
							College	3.992	1.096	19691	
							University	4.190	0.981	77527	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	3	29	67	0	Class	4.569	0.697	102	
	0.0	2.9	2.9	28.4	65.7	0.0	Department	4.203	0.942	3054	
							College	4.249	0.927	19687	
							University	4.365	0.846	77498	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	11	35	56	0	Class	4.441	0.683	102	
	0.0	0.0	10.8	34.3	54.9	0.0	Department	3.807	1.095	3050	
							College	4.022	0.959	19682	
							University	4.233	0.828	77465	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	9	31	60	0	Class	4.461	0.740	102	
	0.0	2.0	8.8	30.4	58.8	0.0	Department	3.843	1.072	3047	
							College	4.113	0.958	19677	
							University	4.283	0.858	77461	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	2	34	64	0	Class	4.559	0.683	102	
	1.0	1.0	2.0	33.3	62.7	0.0	Department	3.877	1.122	3053	
							College	4.052	1.050	19682	
							University	4.236	0.938	77472	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	5	26	68	0	Class	4.549	0.766	102	
	1.0	2.0	4.9	25.5	66.7	0.0	Department	3.685	1.194	3046	
							College	3.960	1.082	19663	
							University	4.187	0.958	77451	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	31	66	1	Class	4.614	0.565	101	
	0.0	0.0	3.9	30.4	64.7	1.0	Department	3.917	1.081	3052	
							College	4.125	0.950	19679	
							University	4.307	0.831	77479	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	4	36	61	0	Class	4.529	0.671	102	
	1.0	0.0	3.9	35.3	59.8	0.0	Department	3.722	1.210	3053	
							College	4.012	1.066	19664	
							University	4.239	0.923	77329	

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: COATINGS & POLYMERIC MATERIALS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 73
 Your Dept 109
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	3	16	52	0	Class	4.616	0.700	73
	0.0	2.7	4.1	21.9	71.2	0.0	Department	4.486	0.765	109
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	7	12	53	0	Class	4.603	0.721	73
	0.0	1.4	9.6	16.4	72.6	0.0	Department	4.505	0.753	109
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	4	14	53	0	Class	4.616	0.719	73
	0.0	2.7	5.5	19.2	72.6	0.0	Department	4.477	0.777	109
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	16	52	0	Class	4.630	0.656	73
	0.0	1.4	5.5	21.9	71.2	0.0	Department	4.468	0.752	109
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	5	17	47	0	Class	4.452	0.898	73
	1.4	4.1	6.8	23.3	64.4	0.0	Department	4.257	0.947	109
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	25	42	0	Class	4.479	0.689	73
	0.0	1.4	6.8	34.2	57.5	0.0	Department	4.312	0.778	109
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	4	16	52	0	Class	4.630	0.656	73
	0.0	1.4	5.5	21.9	71.2	0.0	Department	4.505	0.765	109
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	4	15	51	1	Class	4.597	0.725	72
	0.0	2.7	5.5	20.5	69.9	1.4	Department	4.398	0.864	108
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	7	14	51	0	Class	4.575	0.725	73
	0.0	1.4	9.6	19.2	69.9	0.0	Department	4.459	0.800	109
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	22	49	0	Class	4.616	0.659	73
	1.4	0.0	1.4	30.1	67.1	0.0	Department	4.459	0.856	109
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	4	22	45	1	Class	4.528	0.731	72
	1.4	0.0	5.5	30.1	61.6	1.4	Department	4.398	0.785	108
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	6	17	49	0	Class	4.562	0.707	73
	0.0	1.4	8.2	23.3	67.1	0.0	Department	4.376	0.803	109
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	4	16	53	0	Class	4.671	0.579	73
	0.0	0.0	5.5	21.9	72.6	0.0	Department	4.459	0.823	109
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	16	52	0	Class	4.616	0.700	73
	0.0	2.7	4.1	21.9	71.2	0.0	Department	4.431	0.832	109
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	12	55	0	Class	4.671	0.625	73
	0.0	0.0	8.2	16.4	75.3	0.0	Department	4.505	0.741	109
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	20	49	0	Class	4.639	0.564	72
	0.0	0.0	4.2	27.8	68.1	0.0	Department	4.491	0.704	108
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: COMPUTER SCIENCE	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1131 Your Dept 2211 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	24	63	195	442	402	5	Class	4.008	0.973	1126
	2.1	5.6	17.2	39.1	35.5	0.4	Department	4.016	0.985	2192
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	28	67	183	401	445	7	Class	4.039	1.010	1124
	2.5	5.9	16.2	35.5	39.3	0.6	Department	4.080	1.003	2190
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	30	75	219	402	400	5	Class	3.948	1.027	1126
	2.7	6.6	19.4	35.5	35.4	0.4	Department	4.009	1.008	2192
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	25	62	214	455	370	5	Class	3.962	0.969	1126
	2.2	5.5	18.9	40.2	32.7	0.4	Department	3.952	0.994	2190
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	19	29	107	396	575	5	Class	4.313	0.873	1126
	1.7	2.6	9.5	35.0	50.8	0.4	Department	4.315	0.849	2193
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	23	44	193	468	395	8	Class	4.040	0.929	1123
	2.0	3.9	17.1	41.4	34.9	0.7	Department	4.067	0.883	2186
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	13	43	170	487	411	7	Class	4.103	0.874	1124
	1.1	3.8	15.0	43.1	36.3	0.6	Department	4.150	0.862	2190
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	18	48	157	474	430	4	Class	4.109	0.908	1127
	1.6	4.2	13.9	41.9	38.0	0.4	Department	4.103	0.940	2192
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	18	50	179	456	422	6	Class	4.079	0.922	1125
	1.6	4.4	15.8	40.3	37.3	0.5	Department	4.053	0.985	2190
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	14	40	89	453	530	5	Class	4.283	0.851	1126
	1.2	3.5	7.9	40.1	46.9	0.4	Department	4.271	0.865	2191
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	20	160	501	437	5	Class	4.189	0.794	1126
	0.7	1.8	14.1	44.3	38.6	0.4	Department	4.171	0.783	2190
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	15	29	225	411	444	7	Class	4.103	0.899	1124
	1.3	2.6	19.9	36.3	39.3	0.6	Department	4.158	0.868	2189
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	30	61	197	423	413	7	Class	4.004	1.000	1124
	2.7	5.4	17.4	37.4	36.5	0.6	Department	4.011	1.019	2188
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	22	57	228	448	370	6	Class	3.966	0.954	1125
	1.9	5.0	20.2	39.6	32.7	0.5	Department	3.981	0.966	2188
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	16	37	201	485	386	6	Class	4.056	0.883	1125
	1.4	3.3	17.8	42.9	34.1	0.5	Department	4.080	0.894	2188
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	20	39	204	461	400	7	Class	4.052	0.913	1124
	1.8	3.4	18.0	40.8	35.4	0.6	Department	4.076	0.928	2184
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: GEOGRAPHY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 83
 Your Dept 123
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 2.4	0 0.0	5 6.0	28 33.7	48 57.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.446 4.398 3.940 4.190	0.815 0.797 1.124 0.970	83 123 19724 77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1 1.2	1 1.2	1 1.2	27 32.5	53 63.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.566 4.528 4.016 4.251	0.702 0.739 1.123 0.969	83 123 19675 77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1 1.2	2 2.4	2 2.4	31 37.3	47 56.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.458 4.447 3.998 4.214	0.770 0.791 1.122 0.989	83 123 19706 77519
4. the quality of this course.	2 2.4	1 1.2	5 6.0	35 42.2	40 48.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.325 4.309 3.914 4.160	0.843 0.821 1.085 0.954	83 123 19675 77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 6.0	19 22.9	59 71.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.651 4.561 4.166 4.337	0.593 0.780 1.012 0.893	83 123 19681 77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	2 2.4	3 3.6	3 3.6	45 54.2	30 36.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.181 4.195 3.897 4.167	0.857 0.836 1.049 0.909	83 123 19674 77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	2 2.4	4 4.8	34 41.0	43 51.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.422 4.398 4.042 4.266	0.701 0.744 1.037 0.893	83 123 19712 77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1 1.2	0 0.0	6 7.2	34 41.0	42 50.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.398 4.325 4.088 4.268	0.732 0.815 1.010 0.893	83 123 19687 77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1 1.2	0 0.0	9 10.8	29 34.9	44 53.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.386 4.276 3.992 4.190	0.778 0.935 1.096 0.981	83 123 19691 77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 4.8	28 33.7	51 61.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.566 4.512 4.249 4.365	0.588 0.694 0.927 0.846	83 123 19687 77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 1.2	2 2.4	5 6.0	35 42.2	40 48.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.337 4.309 4.022 4.233	0.801 0.791 0.959 0.828	83 123 19682 77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	2 2.4	9 10.8	33 39.8	39 47.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.313 4.398 4.113 4.283	0.764 0.776 0.958 0.858	83 123 19677 77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2 2.4	1 1.2	3 3.6	31 37.3	46 55.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.422 4.407 4.052 4.236	0.828 0.788 1.050 0.938	83 123 19682 77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	4 4.8	9 10.8	29 34.9	40 48.2	1 1.2	Class Department College University	4.280 4.238 3.960 4.187	0.850 0.945 1.082 0.958	82 122 19663 77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	1 1.2	4 4.8	35 42.2	43 51.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.446 4.480 4.125 4.307	0.649 0.619 0.950 0.831	83 123 19679 77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 7.2	35 42.2	42 50.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.434 4.423 4.012 4.239	0.628 0.736 1.066 0.923	83 123 19664 77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: GEOGRAPHY	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 25 Your Dept 123 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	11	11	0	Class	4.280	0.792	25
	0.0	4.0	8.0	44.0	44.0	0.0	Department	4.398	0.797	123
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	1	6	16	0	Class	4.440	0.917	25
	0.0	8.0	4.0	24.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.528	0.739	123
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	2	5	16	0	Class	4.400	0.957	25
	0.0	8.0	8.0	20.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.447	0.791	123
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	10	12	0	Class	4.360	0.700	25
	0.0	0.0	12.0	40.0	48.0	0.0	Department	4.309	0.821	123
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	1	6	15	0	Class	4.240	1.234	25
	8.0	4.0	4.0	24.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.561	0.780	123
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	13	10	0	Class	4.320	0.627	25
	0.0	0.0	8.0	52.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.195	0.836	123
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	2	9	13	0	Class	4.320	0.945	25
	4.0	0.0	8.0	36.0	52.0	0.0	Department	4.398	0.744	123
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	5	7	12	0	Class	4.160	1.028	25
	4.0	0.0	20.0	28.0	48.0	0.0	Department	4.325	0.815	123
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	2	2	5	14	0	Class	4.080	1.320	25
	8.0	8.0	8.0	20.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.276	0.935	123
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	1	7	15	0	Class	4.400	0.913	25
	0.0	8.0	4.0	28.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.512	0.694	123
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	17	8	0	Class	4.320	0.476	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.0	32.0	0.0	Department	4.309	0.791	123
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	1	7	16	0	Class	4.520	0.770	25
	0.0	4.0	4.0	28.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.398	0.776	123
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	13	10	0	Class	4.320	0.627	25
	0.0	0.0	8.0	52.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.407	0.788	123
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	1	8	11	0	Class	3.960	1.241	25
	4.0	16.0	4.0	32.0	44.0	0.0	Department	4.238	0.945	122
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	11	14	0	Class	4.560	0.507	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.480	0.619	123
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	1	7	15	0	Class	4.360	1.036	25
	4.0	4.0	4.0	28.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.423	0.736	123
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: GEOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 64 Your Dept 532 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	6	5	20	33	0	Class	4.250	0.959	64	
	0.0	9.4	7.8	31.3	51.6	0.0	Department	4.331	0.875	531	
							College	3.940	1.124	19724	
							University	4.190	0.970	77591	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	4	7	13	39	0	Class	4.328	1.009	64	
	1.6	6.3	10.9	20.3	60.9	0.0	Department	4.482	0.812	529	
							College	4.016	1.123	19675	
							University	4.251	0.969	77448	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	2	7	16	37	0	Class	4.313	1.006	64	
	3.1	3.1	10.9	25.0	57.8	0.0	Department	4.433	0.827	531	
							College	3.998	1.122	19706	
							University	4.214	0.989	77519	

4. the quality of this course.	0	3	8	23	30	0	Class	4.250	0.854	64	
	0.0	4.7	12.5	35.9	46.9	0.0	Department	4.254	0.897	531	
							College	3.914	1.085	19675	
							University	4.160	0.954	77404	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	26	34	1	Class	4.476	0.644	63	
	0.0	1.6	3.1	40.6	53.1	1.6	Department	4.423	0.851	527	
							College	4.166	1.012	19681	
							University	4.337	0.893	77426	

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	12	26	24	0	Class	4.125	0.826	64	
	0.0	3.1	18.8	40.6	37.5	0.0	Department	4.079	0.971	530	
							College	3.897	1.049	19674	
							University	4.167	0.909	77361	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	6	22	34	0	Class	4.375	0.787	64	
	0.0	3.1	9.4	34.4	53.1	0.0	Department	4.399	0.779	531	
							College	4.042	1.037	19712	
							University	4.266	0.893	77563	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	11	19	32	0	Class	4.266	0.859	64	
	0.0	3.1	17.2	29.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.370	0.808	530	
							College	4.088	1.010	19687	
							University	4.268	0.893	77523	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	2	9	23	27	0	Class	4.078	1.059	64	
	4.7	3.1	14.1	35.9	42.2	0.0	Department	4.337	0.863	531	
							College	3.992	1.096	19691	
							University	4.190	0.981	77527	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	2	19	38	2	Class	4.484	0.784	62	
	0.0	4.7	3.1	29.7	59.4	3.1	Department	4.527	0.693	529	
							College	4.249	0.927	19687	
							University	4.365	0.846	77498	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	13	24	23	1	Class	4.063	0.878	63	
	0.0	4.7	20.3	37.5	35.9	1.6	Department	4.198	0.857	529	
							College	4.022	0.959	19682	
							University	4.233	0.828	77465	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	17	41	0	Class	4.547	0.665	64	
	0.0	0.0	9.4	26.6	64.1	0.0	Department	4.328	0.803	530	
							College	4.113	0.958	19677	
							University	4.283	0.858	77461	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	9	19	32	0	Class	4.219	0.967	64	
	1.6	4.7	14.1	29.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.375	0.811	531	
							College	4.052	1.050	19682	
							University	4.236	0.938	77472	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	9	19	34	0	Class	4.313	0.889	64	
	1.6	1.6	14.1	29.7	53.1	0.0	Department	4.261	0.896	529	
							College	3.960	1.082	19663	
							University	4.187	0.958	77451	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	22	35	0	Class	4.438	0.687	64	
	0.0	0.0	10.9	34.4	54.7	0.0	Department	4.421	0.757	530	
							College	4.125	0.950	19679	
							University	4.307	0.831	77479	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	12	18	33	0	Class	4.297	0.830	64	
	0.0	1.6	18.8	28.1	51.6	0.0	Department	4.313	0.852	530	
							College	4.012	1.066	19664	
							University	4.239	0.923	77329	

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 9 Dept: MATHEMATICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 218 Your Dept 4058 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	20	34	70	80	0	Class	3.835	1.203	218
	6.4	9.2	15.6	32.1	36.7	0.0	Department	3.740	1.211	4054
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	14	18	33	69	80	4	Class	3.855	1.200	214
	6.4	8.3	15.1	31.7	36.7	1.8	Department	3.822	1.239	4038
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	15	23	32	66	82	0	Class	3.812	1.239	218
	6.9	10.6	14.7	30.3	37.6	0.0	Department	3.799	1.233	4051
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	11	14	39	77	76	1	Class	3.889	1.113	217
	5.0	6.4	17.9	35.3	34.9	0.5	Department	3.779	1.116	4041
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	7	34	85	84	1	Class	4.069	0.981	217
	3.2	3.2	15.6	39.0	38.5	0.5	Department	3.980	1.084	4043
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	26	42	78	62	0	Class	3.716	1.137	218
	4.6	11.9	19.3	35.8	28.4	0.0	Department	3.706	1.058	4051
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	21	34	78	74	0	Class	3.839	1.147	218
	5.0	9.6	15.6	35.8	33.9	0.0	Department	3.887	1.113	4050
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	12	36	77	81	1	Class	3.945	1.104	217
	5.0	5.5	16.5	35.3	37.2	0.5	Department	3.942	1.099	4043
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	12	16	34	73	83	0	Class	3.913	1.151	218
	5.5	7.3	15.6	33.5	38.1	0.0	Department	3.838	1.183	4050
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	9	16	32	85	75	1	Class	3.926	1.078	217
	4.1	7.3	14.7	39.0	34.4	0.5	Department	4.101	1.006	4048
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	20	51	76	63	0	Class	3.761	1.081	218
	3.7	9.2	23.4	34.9	28.9	0.0	Department	3.797	1.017	4046
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	3	47	85	77	0	Class	4.028	0.935	218
	2.8	1.4	21.6	39.0	35.3	0.0	Department	4.038	0.991	4040
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	9	37	83	79	0	Class	3.972	1.056	218
	4.6	4.1	17.0	38.1	36.2	0.0	Department	3.940	1.088	4047
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	21	38	72	76	0	Class	3.830	1.157	218
	5.0	9.6	17.4	33.0	34.9	0.0	Department	3.810	1.154	4042
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	12	36	79	85	0	Class	4.032	1.013	218
	2.8	5.5	16.5	36.2	39.0	0.0	Department	4.024	1.011	4048
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	17	36	76	77	1	Class	3.880	1.132	217
	5.0	7.8	16.5	34.9	35.3	0.5	Department	3.882	1.125	4047
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: MATHEMATICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 3599
 Your Dept 4058
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	254 7.1	414 11.5	618 17.2	1185 32.9	1124 31.2	4 0.1	Class Department College University	3.698 3.740 3.940 4.190	1.221 1.211 1.124 0.970	3595 4054 19724 77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	263 7.3	385 10.7	563 15.6	1044 29.0	1328 36.9	16 0.4	Class Department College University	3.778 3.822 4.016 4.251	1.253 1.239 1.123 0.969	3583 4038 19675 77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	261 7.3	402 11.2	557 15.5	1091 30.3	1281 35.6	7 0.2	Class Department College University	3.760 3.799 3.998 4.214	1.247 1.233 1.122 0.989	3592 4051 19706 77519
4. the quality of this course.	170 4.7	364 10.1	735 20.4	1273 35.4	1041 28.9	16 0.4	Class Department College University	3.740 3.779 3.914 4.160	1.123 1.116 1.085 0.954	3583 4041 19675 77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	159 4.4	248 6.9	596 16.6	1237 34.4	1345 37.4	14 0.4	Class Department College University	3.938 3.980 4.166 4.337	1.102 1.084 1.012 0.893	3585 4043 19681 77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	157 4.4	314 8.7	887 24.6	1382 38.4	852 23.7	7 0.2	Class Department College University	3.684 3.706 3.897 4.167	1.062 1.058 1.049 0.909	3592 4051 19674 77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	165 4.6	318 8.8	606 16.8	1296 36.0	1206 33.5	8 0.2	Class Department College University	3.852 3.887 4.042 4.266	1.118 1.113 1.037 0.893	3591 4050 19712 77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	179 5.0	250 6.9	559 15.5	1331 37.0	1268 35.2	12 0.3	Class Department College University	3.909 3.942 4.088 4.268	1.109 1.099 1.010 0.893	3587 4043 19687 77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	233 6.5	338 9.4	572 15.9	1206 33.5	1243 34.5	7 0.2	Class Department College University	3.804 3.838 3.992 4.190	1.195 1.183 1.096 0.981	3592 4050 19691 77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	123 3.4	181 5.0	428 11.9	1404 39.0	1454 40.4	9 0.3	Class Department College University	4.082 4.101 4.249 4.365	1.014 1.006 0.927 0.846	3590 4048 19687 77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	112 3.1	276 7.7	869 24.1	1396 38.8	934 26.0	12 0.3	Class Department College University	3.771 3.797 4.022 4.233	1.019 1.017 0.959 0.828	3587 4046 19682 77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	100 2.8	164 4.6	702 19.5	1263 35.1	1352 37.6	18 0.5	Class Department College University	4.006 4.038 4.113 4.283	1.004 0.991 0.958 0.858	3581 4040 19677 77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	172 4.8	213 5.9	669 18.6	1264 35.1	1270 35.3	11 0.3	Class Department College University	3.905 3.940 4.052 4.236	1.096 1.088 1.050 0.938	3588 4047 19682 77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	195 5.4	362 10.1	677 18.8	1194 33.2	1155 32.1	16 0.4	Class Department College University	3.768 3.810 3.960 4.187	1.163 1.154 1.082 0.958	3583 4042 19663 77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	119 3.3	180 5.0	629 17.5	1350 37.5	1312 36.5	9 0.3	Class Department College University	3.991 4.024 4.125 4.307	1.020 1.011 0.950 0.831	3590 4048 19679 77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	192 5.3	281 7.8	607 16.9	1296 36.0	1213 33.7	10 0.3	Class Department College University	3.852 3.882 4.012 4.239	1.131 1.125 1.066 0.923	3589 4047 19664 77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MATHEMATICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 162 Your Dept 4058 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	8	27	56	69	0	Class	4.123	0.944	162	
	1.2	4.9	16.7	34.6	42.6	0.0	Department	3.740	1.211	4054	
							College	3.940	1.124	19724	
							University	4.190	0.970	77591	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	6	19	49	86	0	Class	4.302	0.906	162	
	1.2	3.7	11.7	30.2	53.1	0.0	Department	3.822	1.239	4038	
							College	4.016	1.123	19675	
							University	4.251	0.969	77448	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	7	19	65	71	0	Class	4.235	0.823	162	
	0.0	4.3	11.7	40.1	43.8	0.0	Department	3.799	1.233	4051	
							College	3.998	1.122	19706	
							University	4.214	0.989	77519	

4. the quality of this course.	1	8	28	60	65	0	Class	4.111	0.905	162	
	0.6	4.9	17.3	37.0	40.1	0.0	Department	3.779	1.116	4041	
							College	3.914	1.085	19675	
							University	4.160	0.954	77404	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	4	8	60	89	0	Class	4.432	0.755	162	
	0.6	2.5	4.9	37.0	54.9	0.0	Department	3.980	1.084	4043	
							College	4.166	1.012	19681	
							University	4.337	0.893	77426	

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	9	38	73	41	0	Class	3.889	0.870	162	
	0.6	5.6	23.5	45.1	25.3	0.0	Department	3.706	1.058	4051	
							College	3.897	1.049	19674	
							University	4.167	0.909	77361	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	9	10	58	84	0	Class	4.327	0.869	162	
	0.6	5.6	6.2	35.8	51.9	0.0	Department	3.887	1.113	4050	
							College	4.042	1.037	19712	
							University	4.266	0.893	77563	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	5	18	54	83	1	Class	4.323	0.841	161	
	0.6	3.1	11.1	33.3	51.2	0.6	Department	3.942	1.099	4043	
							College	4.088	1.010	19687	
							University	4.268	0.893	77523	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	12	18	60	69	1	Class	4.130	0.969	161	
	1.2	7.4	11.1	37.0	42.6	0.6	Department	3.838	1.183	4050	
							College	3.992	1.096	19691	
							University	4.190	0.981	77527	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	8	58	93	0	Class	4.488	0.680	162	
	0.0	1.9	4.9	35.8	57.4	0.0	Department	4.101	1.006	4048	
							College	4.249	0.927	19687	
							University	4.365	0.846	77498	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	5	26	76	55	0	Class	4.117	0.783	162	
	0.0	3.1	16.0	46.9	34.0	0.0	Department	3.797	1.017	4046	
							College	4.022	0.959	19682	
							University	4.233	0.828	77465	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	17	58	86	0	Class	4.414	0.702	162	
	0.0	0.6	10.5	35.8	53.1	0.0	Department	4.038	0.991	4040	
							College	4.113	0.958	19677	
							University	4.283	0.858	77461	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	10	51	92	0	Class	4.383	0.879	162	
	1.2	4.3	6.2	31.5	56.8	0.0	Department	3.940	1.088	4047	
							College	4.052	1.050	19682	
							University	4.236	0.938	77472	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	20	58	79	0	Class	4.296	0.826	162	
	0.6	2.5	12.3	35.8	48.8	0.0	Department	3.810	1.154	4042	
							College	3.960	1.082	19663	
							University	4.187	0.958	77451	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	11	68	81	0	Class	4.401	0.700	162	
	0.6	0.6	6.8	42.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.024	1.011	4048	
							College	4.125	0.950	19679	
							University	4.307	0.831	77479	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	5	18	60	77	0	Class	4.265	0.869	162	
	1.2	3.1	11.1	37.0	47.5	0.0	Department	3.882	1.125	4047	
							College	4.012	1.066	19664	
							University	4.239	0.923	77329	

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: MATHEMATICS	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 79 Your Dept 4058 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5)	G= Good...(4)	IB=In Between...(3)	P= Poor...(2)	VP= Very Poor...(1)
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	5	20	53	0	Class	4.570	0.728	79	
	1.3	0.0	6.3	25.3	67.1	0.0	Department	3.740	1.211	4054	
							College	3.940	1.124	19724	
							University	4.190	0.970	77591	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	15	61	0	Class	4.734	0.524	79	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	19.0	77.2	0.0	Department	3.822	1.239	4038	
							College	4.016	1.123	19675	
							University	4.251	0.969	77448	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	16	58	0	Class	4.671	0.593	79	
	0.0	0.0	6.3	20.3	73.4	0.0	Department	3.799	1.233	4051	
							College	3.998	1.122	19706	
							University	4.214	0.989	77519	

4. the quality of this course.	1	0	3	26	49	0	Class	4.544	0.694	79	
	1.3	0.0	3.8	32.9	62.0	0.0	Department	3.779	1.116	4041	
							College	3.914	1.085	19675	
							University	4.160	0.954	77404	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	19	59	0	Class	4.734	0.473	79	
	0.0	0.0	1.3	24.1	74.7	0.0	Department	3.980	1.084	4043	
							College	4.166	1.012	19681	
							University	4.337	0.893	77426	

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	10	29	37	0	Class	4.266	0.828	79	
	0.0	3.8	12.7	36.7	46.8	0.0	Department	3.706	1.058	4051	
							College	3.897	1.049	19674	
							University	4.167	0.909	77361	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	1	15	61	0	Class	4.709	0.623	79	
	0.0	2.5	1.3	19.0	77.2	0.0	Department	3.887	1.113	4050	
							College	4.042	1.037	19712	
							University	4.266	0.893	77563	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	14	59	1	Class	4.679	0.634	78	
	0.0	1.3	5.1	17.7	74.7	1.3	Department	3.942	1.099	4043	
							College	4.088	1.010	19687	
							University	4.268	0.893	77523	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	18	54	0	Class	4.570	0.728	79	
	0.0	2.5	6.3	22.8	68.4	0.0	Department	3.838	1.183	4050	
							College	3.992	1.096	19691	
							University	4.190	0.981	77527	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	20	55	0	Class	4.646	0.578	79	
	0.0	0.0	5.1	25.3	69.6	0.0	Department	4.101	1.006	4048	
							College	4.249	0.927	19687	
							University	4.365	0.846	77498	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	7	23	46	0	Class	4.418	0.810	79	
	0.0	3.8	8.9	29.1	58.2	0.0	Department	3.797	1.017	4046	
							College	4.022	0.959	19682	
							University	4.233	0.828	77465	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	17	61	0	Class	4.759	0.459	79	
	0.0	0.0	1.3	21.5	77.2	0.0	Department	4.038	0.991	4040	
							College	4.113	0.958	19677	
							University	4.283	0.858	77461	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	6	18	53	0	Class	4.532	0.798	79	
	1.3	1.3	7.6	22.8	67.1	0.0	Department	3.940	1.088	4047	
							College	4.052	1.050	19682	
							University	4.236	0.938	77472	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	2	16	59	0	Class	4.671	0.655	79	
	0.0	2.5	2.5	20.3	74.7	0.0	Department	3.810	1.154	4042	
							College	3.960	1.082	19663	
							University	4.187	0.958	77451	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	3	9	65	1	Class	4.756	0.648	78	
	1.3	0.0	3.8	11.4	82.3	1.3	Department	4.024	1.011	4048	
							College	4.125	0.950	19679	
							University	4.307	0.831	77479	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	5	5	13	55	0	Class	4.468	0.959	79	
	1.3	6.3	6.3	16.5	69.6	0.0	Department	3.882	1.125	4047	
							College	4.012	1.066	19664	
							University	4.239	0.923	77329	

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Sheets Scanned: This Level 6
 Your Dept 11
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	5	0	0	Class	3.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	Department	4.000	0.447	11
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	2	0	Class	4.167	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.091	0.539	11
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	5	1	0	Class	4.167	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	Department	3.909	0.539	11
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	4	1	0	Class	3.833	0.983	6
	0.0	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	0.0	Department	3.818	0.751	11
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	4	0	0	Class	3.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.727	0.647	11
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	5	0	0	Class	3.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	Department	3.818	0.405	11
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	1	0	Class	4.167	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	Department	3.909	0.539	11
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	2	0	0	Class	3.167	0.753	6
	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	Department	3.364	0.924	11
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	3	0	0	Class	3.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.273	0.647	11
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	4	0	0	Class	3.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.545	0.522	11
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	3	0	0	Class	3.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.545	0.522	11
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	3	1	0	Class	3.833	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	3.545	0.820	11
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	5	1	0	Class	4.167	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	Department	3.909	0.539	11
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	3	1	0	Class	3.833	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	3.727	0.647	11
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	1	3	0	0	Class	3.167	0.983	6
	0.0	33.3	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.273	0.786	11
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.182	0.603	11
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good... (5)				
Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY							G= Good... (4)				
# Sheets Scanned:		This Level 11					IB=In Between... (3)				
		Your Dept 11					P= Poor... (2)				
		Your College .. 19846					VP= Very Poor... (1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	1	8	0	Class	4.545	0.820	11	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.545	0.820	11	
								College	3.940	1.124	19724
								University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class	4.818	0.405	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.818	0.405	11	
								College	4.016	1.123	19675
								University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	8	0	Class	4.727	0.467	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	Department	4.727	0.467	11	
								College	3.998	1.122	19706
								University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	2	8	0	Class	4.636	0.674	11	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	18.2	72.7	0.0	Department	4.636	0.674	11	
								College	3.914	1.085	19675
								University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	8	0	Class	4.727	0.467	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	Department	4.727	0.467	11	
								College	4.166	1.012	19681
								University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	5	3	0	Class	4.000	0.775	11	
	0.0	0.0	27.3	45.5	27.3	0.0	Department	4.000	0.775	11	
								College	3.897	1.049	19674
								University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	2	8	0	Class	4.636	0.674	11	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	18.2	72.7	0.0	Department	4.636	0.674	11	
								College	4.042	1.037	19712
								University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class	4.818	0.405	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.818	0.405	11	
								College	4.088	1.010	19687
								University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	7	0	Class	4.636	0.505	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.636	0.505	11	
								College	3.992	1.096	19691
								University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	7	0	Class	4.636	0.505	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.636	0.505	11	
								College	4.249	0.927	19687
								University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	2	7	0	Class	4.455	0.820	11	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	18.2	63.6	0.0	Department	4.455	0.820	11	
								College	4.022	0.959	19682
								University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class	5.000	0.000	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	11	
								College	4.113	0.958	19677
								University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class	5.000	0.000	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	11	
								College	4.052	1.050	19682
								University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class	4.818	0.405	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.818	0.405	11	
								College	3.960	1.082	19663
								University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	4	7	0	Class	4.636	0.505	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.636	0.505	11	
								College	4.125	0.950	19679
								University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	0	0	10	0	Class	4.636	1.206	11	
	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	Department	4.636	1.206	11	
								College	4.012	1.066	19664
								University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PHYSICS	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1306 Your Dept 1380 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5)			
							G= Good...(4)			
							IB=In Between...(3)			
							P= Poor...(2)			
							VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	48	118	220	495	421	4	Class	3.863	1.081	1302
	3.7	9.0	16.8	37.9	32.2	0.3	Department	3.884	1.081	1376
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	37	118	187	462	495	7	Class	3.970	1.070	1299
	2.8	9.0	14.3	35.4	37.9	0.5	Department	3.991	1.070	1373
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	45	110	182	477	484	8	Class	3.959	1.079	1298
	3.4	8.4	13.9	36.5	37.1	0.6	Department	3.974	1.076	1372
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	41	122	304	453	379	7	Class	3.775	1.066	1299
	3.1	9.3	23.3	34.7	29.0	0.5	Department	3.794	1.067	1373
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	19	42	141	501	596	7	Class	4.242	0.878	1299
	1.5	3.2	10.8	38.4	45.6	0.5	Department	4.259	0.876	1373
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	55	127	289	496	331	8	Class	3.710	1.080	1298
	4.2	9.7	22.1	38.0	25.3	0.6	Department	3.731	1.078	1372
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	26	76	194	536	469	5	Class	4.035	0.961	1301
	2.0	5.8	14.9	41.0	35.9	0.4	Department	4.054	0.960	1375
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	29	67	181	535	477	6	Class	4.058	0.958	1289
	2.2	5.2	14.0	41.3	36.8	0.5	Department	4.073	0.957	1363
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	44	123	204	488	429	7	Class	3.881	1.081	1288
	3.4	9.5	15.8	37.7	33.1	0.5	Department	3.891	1.086	1362
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	25	40	129	501	593	7	Class	4.240	0.896	1288
	1.9	3.1	10.0	38.7	45.8	0.5	Department	4.258	0.893	1362
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	20	66	231	544	425	9	Class	4.002	0.925	1286
	1.5	5.1	17.8	42.0	32.8	0.7	Department	4.008	0.925	1360
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	24	31	235	450	548	7	Class	4.139	0.924	1288
	1.9	2.4	18.1	34.7	42.3	0.5	Department	4.159	0.915	1362
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	40	70	199	509	469	8	Class	4.008	1.008	1287
	3.1	5.4	15.4	39.3	36.2	0.6	Department	4.029	1.004	1361
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	42	94	249	459	442	9	Class	3.906	1.056	1286
	3.2	7.3	19.2	35.4	34.1	0.7	Department	3.929	1.055	1360
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	30	46	222	543	448	6	Class	4.034	0.934	1289
	2.3	3.6	17.1	41.9	34.6	0.5	Department	4.051	0.932	1363
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	31	71	214	522	449	8	Class	4.000	0.976	1287
	2.4	5.5	16.5	40.3	34.7	0.6	Department	4.011	0.980	1361
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PHYSICS	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 53 Your Dept 1380 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	3	5	17	27	0	Class	4.245	0.979	53
	1.9	5.7	9.4	32.1	50.9	0.0	Department	3.884	1.081	1376
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	3	14	32	0	Class	4.377	0.965	53
	1.9	5.7	5.7	26.4	60.4	0.0	Department	3.991	1.070	1373
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	6	18	25	0	Class	4.189	0.982	53
	1.9	5.7	11.3	34.0	47.2	0.0	Department	3.974	1.076	1372
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	1	4	4	24	20	0	Class	4.094	0.966	53
	1.9	7.5	7.5	45.3	37.7	0.0	Department	3.794	1.067	1373
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	1	12	38	0	Class	4.623	0.713	53
	0.0	3.8	1.9	22.6	71.7	0.0	Department	4.259	0.876	1373
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	2	3	7	24	17	0	Class	3.962	1.018	53
	3.8	5.7	13.2	45.3	32.1	0.0	Department	3.731	1.078	1372
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	6	12	33	0	Class	4.415	0.908	53
	1.9	1.9	11.3	22.6	62.3	0.0	Department	4.054	0.960	1375
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	5	6	14	28	0	Class	4.226	0.993	53
	0.0	9.4	11.3	26.4	52.8	0.0	Department	4.073	0.957	1363
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	8	4	16	24	0	Class	4.019	1.152	53
	1.9	15.1	7.5	30.2	45.3	0.0	Department	3.891	1.086	1362
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	1	13	37	0	Class	4.604	0.716	53
	0.0	3.8	1.9	24.5	69.8	0.0	Department	4.258	0.893	1362
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	5	26	17	0	Class	4.000	1.000	53
	3.8	5.7	9.4	49.1	32.1	0.0	Department	4.008	0.925	1360
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	19	28	0	Class	4.415	0.692	53
	0.0	0.0	11.3	35.8	52.8	0.0	Department	4.159	0.915	1362
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	9	12	30	0	Class	4.321	0.894	53
	0.0	3.8	17.0	22.6	56.6	0.0	Department	4.029	1.004	1361
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	4	17	29	0	Class	4.340	0.919	53
	1.9	3.8	7.5	32.1	54.7	0.0	Department	3.929	1.055	1360
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	3	21	27	0	Class	4.377	0.765	53
	0.0	3.8	5.7	39.6	50.9	0.0	Department	4.051	0.932	1363
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	8	12	30	0	Class	4.283	0.988	53
	1.9	3.8	15.1	22.6	56.6	0.0	Department	4.011	0.980	1361
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PSYCHOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1248 Your Dept 1876 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	12	37	104	385	705	5	Class	4.395	0.837	1243
	1.0	3.0	8.3	30.8	56.5	0.4	Department	4.354	0.884	1865
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	12	32	100	353	738	13	Class	4.436	0.825	1235
	1.0	2.6	8.0	28.3	59.1	1.0	Department	4.406	0.861	1858
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	29	93	335	773	6	Class	4.472	0.810	1242
	1.0	2.3	7.5	26.8	61.9	0.5	Department	4.438	0.843	1864
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	4	31	118	429	654	12	Class	4.374	0.784	1236
	0.3	2.5	9.5	34.4	52.4	1.0	Department	4.314	0.862	1857
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	21	78	366	766	12	Class	4.511	0.726	1236
	0.4	1.7	6.3	29.3	61.4	1.0	Department	4.449	0.801	1860
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	12	22	102	474	628	10	Class	4.360	0.787	1238
	1.0	1.8	8.2	38.0	50.3	0.8	Department	4.300	0.840	1859
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	28	115	416	670	8	Class	4.376	0.814	1240
	0.9	2.2	9.2	33.3	53.7	0.6	Department	4.350	0.842	1864
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	19	84	442	687	10	Class	4.442	0.730	1238
	0.5	1.5	6.7	35.4	55.0	0.8	Department	4.418	0.767	1860
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	33	105	369	720	11	Class	4.420	0.819	1237
	0.8	2.6	8.4	29.6	57.7	0.9	Department	4.358	0.893	1861
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	17	60	394	757	13	Class	4.520	0.706	1235
	0.6	1.4	4.8	31.6	60.7	1.0	Department	4.493	0.750	1859
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	25	108	472	628	9	Class	4.365	0.765	1239
	0.5	2.0	8.7	37.8	50.3	0.7	Department	4.305	0.820	1861
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	23	191	415	599	11	Class	4.271	0.840	1237
	0.7	1.8	15.3	33.3	48.0	0.9	Department	4.306	0.834	1861
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	12	38	121	420	643	14	Class	4.332	0.849	1234
	1.0	3.0	9.7	33.7	51.5	1.1	Department	4.354	0.835	1858
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	45	152	408	612	15	Class	4.261	0.903	1233
	1.3	3.6	12.2	32.7	49.0	1.2	Department	4.266	0.916	1856
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	16	106	465	641	14	Class	4.393	0.740	1234
	0.5	1.3	8.5	37.3	51.4	1.1	Department	4.388	0.765	1857
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	28	36	138	398	635	13	Class	4.276	0.933	1235
	2.2	2.9	11.1	31.9	50.9	1.0	Department	4.271	0.940	1858
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PSYCHOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 593 Your Dept 1876 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	17	21	63	189	297	6	Class	4.240	0.980	587
	2.9	3.5	10.6	31.9	50.1	1.0	Department	4.354	0.884	1865
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	25	56	171	325	5	Class	4.316	0.942	588
	1.9	4.2	9.4	28.8	54.8	0.8	Department	4.406	0.861	1858
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	21	58	166	332	6	Class	4.344	0.919	587
	1.7	3.5	9.8	28.0	56.0	1.0	Department	4.438	0.843	1864
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	16	29	71	199	271	7	Class	4.160	1.002	586
	2.7	4.9	12.0	33.6	45.7	1.2	Department	4.314	0.862	1857
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	14	14	70	176	315	4	Class	4.297	0.936	589
	2.4	2.4	11.8	29.7	53.1	0.7	Department	4.449	0.801	1860
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	13	24	69	240	240	7	Class	4.143	0.934	586
	2.2	4.0	11.6	40.5	40.5	1.2	Department	4.300	0.840	1859
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	19	61	207	291	4	Class	4.270	0.907	589
	1.9	3.2	10.3	34.9	49.1	0.7	Department	4.350	0.842	1864
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	17	44	215	303	6	Class	4.342	0.845	587
	1.3	2.9	7.4	36.3	51.1	1.0	Department	4.418	0.767	1860
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	19	32	54	189	295	4	Class	4.204	1.030	589
	3.2	5.4	9.1	31.9	49.7	0.7	Department	4.358	0.893	1861
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	11	9	44	184	341	4	Class	4.418	0.842	589
	1.9	1.5	7.4	31.0	57.5	0.7	Department	4.493	0.750	1859
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	11	20	83	227	246	6	Class	4.153	0.918	587
	1.9	3.4	14.0	38.3	41.5	1.0	Department	4.305	0.820	1861
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	10	75	183	316	4	Class	4.350	0.829	589
	0.8	1.7	12.6	30.9	53.3	0.7	Department	4.306	0.834	1861
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	7	10	56	201	315	4	Class	4.370	0.818	589
	1.2	1.7	9.4	33.9	53.1	0.7	Department	4.354	0.835	1858
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	14	21	66	193	294	5	Class	4.245	0.954	588
	2.4	3.5	11.1	32.5	49.6	0.8	Department	4.266	0.916	1856
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	11	58	203	309	5	Class	4.354	0.826	588
	1.2	1.9	9.8	34.2	52.1	0.8	Department	4.388	0.765	1857
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	14	22	73	188	291	5	Class	4.224	0.967	588
	2.4	3.7	12.3	31.7	49.1	0.8	Department	4.271	0.940	1858
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: STATISTICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1343 Your Dept 1623 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15	54	168	547	524	35	Class	4.155	0.880	1308
	1.1	4.0	12.5	40.7	39.0	2.6	Department	4.212	0.868	1576
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	13	45	162	517	570	36	Class	4.213	0.862	1307
	1.0	3.4	12.1	38.5	42.4	2.7	Department	4.262	0.850	1576
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	57	169	492	573	38	Class	4.190	0.897	1305
	1.0	4.2	12.6	36.6	42.7	2.8	Department	4.239	0.885	1574
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	14	43	198	579	475	34	Class	4.114	0.854	1309
	1.0	3.2	14.7	43.1	35.4	2.5	Department	4.174	0.849	1578
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	11	80	480	729	35	Class	4.461	0.704	1308
	0.6	0.8	6.0	35.7	54.3	2.6	Department	4.501	0.686	1576
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	28	70	251	531	421	42	Class	3.958	0.961	1301
	2.1	5.2	18.7	39.5	31.3	3.1	Department	4.031	0.951	1569
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	32	163	574	528	36	Class	4.207	0.808	1307
	0.7	2.4	12.1	42.7	39.3	2.7	Department	4.251	0.807	1576
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	31	119	594	557	35	Class	4.271	0.766	1308
	0.5	2.3	8.9	44.2	41.5	2.6	Department	4.318	0.758	1577
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	19	54	151	536	546	37	Class	4.176	0.894	1306
	1.4	4.0	11.2	39.9	40.7	2.8	Department	4.224	0.892	1576
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	10	81	516	698	35	Class	4.450	0.667	1308
	0.2	0.7	6.0	38.4	52.0	2.6	Department	4.491	0.656	1575
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	9	30	183	607	480	34	Class	4.160	0.797	1309
	0.7	2.2	13.6	45.2	35.7	2.5	Department	4.210	0.791	1579
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	7	20	163	513	605	35	Class	4.291	0.782	1308
	0.5	1.5	12.1	38.2	45.0	2.6	Department	4.349	0.771	1578
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	26	139	529	602	37	Class	4.292	0.795	1306
	0.7	1.9	10.3	39.4	44.8	2.8	Department	4.354	0.775	1575
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	43	176	546	529	38	Class	4.179	0.846	1305
	0.8	3.2	13.1	40.7	39.4	2.8	Department	4.240	0.834	1573
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	21	139	612	527	37	Class	4.249	0.749	1306
	0.5	1.6	10.3	45.6	39.2	2.8	Department	4.297	0.742	1575
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	19	38	178	521	548	39	Class	4.182	0.879	1304
	1.4	2.8	13.3	38.8	40.8	2.9	Department	4.216	0.887	1571
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: STEM	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 33 Your Dept 48 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	5	27	0	Class	4.788	0.485	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	15.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.708	0.504	48	
								College	3.940	1.124	19724
								University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	3	28	0	Class	4.788	0.545	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	9.1	84.8	0.0	Department	4.750	0.526	48	
								College	4.016	1.123	19675
								University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	4	27	0	Class	4.758	0.561	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	12.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48	
								College	3.998	1.122	19706
								University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	6	23	1	Class	4.625	0.660	32	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	18.2	69.7	3.0	Department	4.596	0.614	47	
								College	3.914	1.085	19675
								University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	3	29	0	Class	4.848	0.442	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	9.1	87.9	0.0	Department	4.792	0.459	48	
								College	4.166	1.012	19681
								University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	4	27	0	Class	4.758	0.561	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	12.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.708	0.544	48	
								College	3.897	1.049	19674
								University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	4	27	0	Class	4.758	0.561	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	12.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48	
								College	4.042	1.037	19712
								University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	4	28	0	Class	4.818	0.465	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	12.1	84.8	0.0	Department	4.771	0.472	48	
								College	4.088	1.010	19687
								University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	4	27	0	Class	4.758	0.561	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	12.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.646	0.601	48	
								College	3.992	1.096	19691
								University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	4	28	0	Class	4.818	0.465	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	12.1	84.8	0.0	Department	4.750	0.484	48	
								College	4.249	0.927	19687
								University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	4	27	0	Class	4.758	0.561	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	12.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48	
								College	4.022	0.959	19682
								University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	8	23	0	Class	4.636	0.603	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	24.2	69.7	0.0	Department	4.604	0.574	48	
								College	4.113	0.958	19677
								University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	6	25	0	Class	4.697	0.585	33	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	18.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.646	0.565	48	
								College	4.052	1.050	19682
								University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	27	0	Class	4.788	0.485	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	15.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48	
								College	3.960	1.082	19663
								University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	5	27	0	Class	4.788	0.485	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	15.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48	
								College	4.125	0.950	19679
								University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	4	28	0	Class	4.818	0.465	33	
	0.0	0.0	3.0	12.1	84.8	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48	
								College	4.012	1.066	19664
								University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: STEM	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 15 Your Dept 48 Your College .. 19846						VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.708	0.504	48
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.750	0.526	48
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.596	0.614	47
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.792	0.459	48
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.708	0.544	48
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.771	0.472	48
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.646	0.601	48
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.484	48
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.688	0.552	48
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.604	0.574	48
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.646	0.565	48
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.729	0.494	48
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ZOOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 19
 Your Dept 31
 Your College .. 19846

VG= Very Good...(5)
 G= Good...(4)
 IB=In Between...(3)
 P= Poor...(2)
 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	1	5	11	0	Class	4.263	1.147	19
	5.3	5.3	5.3	26.3	57.9	0.0	Department	4.000	1.183	31
							College	3.940	1.124	19724
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	3	3	11	0	Class	4.211	1.084	19
	0.0	10.5	15.8	15.8	57.9	0.0	Department	4.065	1.093	31
							College	4.016	1.123	19675
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	0	5	12	0	Class	4.421	0.961	19
	0.0	10.5	0.0	26.3	63.2	0.0	Department	4.194	1.138	31
							College	3.998	1.122	19706
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	2	5	10	0	Class	4.158	1.167	19
	5.3	5.3	10.5	26.3	52.6	0.0	Department	3.871	1.176	31
							College	3.914	1.085	19675
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	1	2	14	0	Class	4.474	1.020	19
	0.0	10.5	5.3	10.5	73.7	0.0	Department	4.355	0.915	31
							College	4.166	1.012	19681
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	0	4	13	0	Class	4.474	0.964	19
	0.0	10.5	0.0	21.1	68.4	0.0	Department	4.226	0.990	31
							College	3.897	1.049	19674
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	7	11	0	Class	4.474	0.772	19
	0.0	5.3	0.0	36.8	57.9	0.0	Department	4.290	0.864	31
							College	4.042	1.037	19712
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	3	13	0	Class	4.474	0.905	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	15.8	68.4	0.0	Department	3.935	1.413	31
							College	4.088	1.010	19687
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	4	12	0	Class	4.421	0.902	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	21.1	63.2	0.0	Department	4.097	1.221	31
							College	3.992	1.096	19691
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	3	14	0	Class	4.579	0.838	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	15.8	73.7	0.0	Department	4.355	1.142	31
							College	4.249	0.927	19687
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	0	6	12	0	Class	4.526	0.772	19
	0.0	5.3	0.0	31.6	63.2	0.0	Department	4.323	0.871	31
							College	4.022	0.959	19682
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	0	5	13	0	Class	4.579	0.769	19
	0.0	5.3	0.0	26.3	68.4	0.0	Department	4.387	0.882	31
							College	4.113	0.958	19677
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	5	12	0	Class	4.474	0.841	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	26.3	63.2	0.0	Department	4.387	0.844	31
							College	4.052	1.050	19682
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	4	13	0	Class	4.526	0.841	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	21.1	68.4	0.0	Department	4.355	0.839	31
							College	3.960	1.082	19663
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	3	13	0	Class	4.474	0.905	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	15.8	68.4	0.0	Department	4.226	0.920	31
							College	4.125	0.950	19679
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	0	6	12	0	Class	4.526	0.772	19
	0.0	5.3	0.0	31.6	63.2	0.0	Department	4.290	0.973	31
							College	4.012	1.066	19664
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

College of Science and Mathematics

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: ZOOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 12 Your Dept 31 Your College .. 19846					VG= Very Good...(5) G= Good...(4) IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	2	6	2	0	Class	3.583	1.165	12	
	8.3	8.3	16.7	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	4.000	1.183	31	
								College	3.940	1.124	19724
								University	4.190	0.970	77591

2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	2	6	3	0	Class	3.833	1.115	12	
	8.3	0.0	16.7	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.065	1.093	31	
								College	4.016	1.123	19675
								University	4.251	0.969	77448

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	2	3	5	0	Class	3.833	1.337	12	
	8.3	8.3	16.7	25.0	41.7	0.0	Department	4.194	1.138	31	
								College	3.998	1.122	19706
								University	4.214	0.989	77519

4. the quality of this course.	0	3	3	4	2	0	Class	3.417	1.084	12	
	0.0	25.0	25.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	Department	3.871	1.176	31	
								College	3.914	1.085	19675
								University	4.160	0.954	77404

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	6	4	0	Class	4.167	0.718	12	
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.355	0.915	31	
								College	4.166	1.012	19681
								University	4.337	0.893	77426

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	5	3	0	Class	3.833	0.937	12	
	0.0	8.3	25.0	41.7	25.0	0.0	Department	4.226	0.990	31	
								College	3.897	1.049	19674
								University	4.167	0.909	77361

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	5	4	0	Class	4.000	0.953	12	
	0.0	8.3	16.7	41.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.864	31	
								College	4.042	1.037	19712
								University	4.266	0.893	77563

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	2	2	1	4	0	Class	3.083	1.676	12	
	25.0	16.7	16.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	Department	3.935	1.413	31	
								College	4.088	1.010	19687
								University	4.268	0.893	77523

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	1	1	4	4	0	Class	3.583	1.505	12	
	16.7	8.3	8.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.097	1.221	31	
								College	3.992	1.096	19691
								University	4.190	0.981	77527

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	0	2	7	0	Class	4.000	1.477	12	
	8.3	16.7	0.0	16.7	58.3	0.0	Department	4.355	1.142	31	
								College	4.249	0.927	19687
								University	4.365	0.846	77498

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	5	4	0	Class	4.000	0.953	12	
	0.0	8.3	16.7	41.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.323	0.871	31	
								College	4.022	0.959	19682
								University	4.233	0.828	77465

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	4	5	0	Class	4.083	0.996	12	
	0.0	8.3	16.7	33.3	41.7	0.0	Department	4.387	0.882	31	
								College	4.113	0.958	19677
								University	4.283	0.858	77461

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	3	6	0	Class	4.250	0.866	12	
	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.387	0.844	31	
								College	4.052	1.050	19682
								University	4.236	0.938	77472

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	5	4	0	Class	4.083	0.793	12	
	0.0	0.0	25.0	41.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.355	0.839	31	
								College	3.960	1.082	19663
								University	4.187	0.958	77451

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	4	3	0	Class	3.833	0.835	12	
	0.0	0.0	41.7	33.3	25.0	0.0	Department	4.226	0.920	31	
								College	4.125	0.950	19679
								University	4.307	0.831	77479

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	2	5	4	0	Class	3.917	1.165	12	
	8.3	0.0	16.7	41.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.973	31	
								College	4.012	1.066	19664
								University	4.239	0.923	77329