

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 84  
 Your Dept ..... 287  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	2	33	47	0	Class	4.488	0.668	84
	0.0	2.4	2.4	39.3	56.0	0.0	Department	4.324	0.759	287
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	6	29	49	0	Class	4.512	0.630	84
	0.0	0.0	7.1	34.5	58.3	0.0	Department	4.390	0.739	287
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	3	29	51	0	Class	4.536	0.685	84
	1.2	0.0	3.6	34.5	60.7	0.0	Department	4.429	0.700	287
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	36	43	0	Class	4.440	0.647	84
	0.0	1.2	4.8	42.9	51.2	0.0	Department	4.317	0.710	287
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	20	60	0	Class	4.655	0.611	84
	0.0	1.2	3.6	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.533	0.693	287
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	6	35	41	0	Class	4.369	0.724	84
	0.0	2.4	7.1	41.7	48.8	0.0	Department	4.269	0.716	286
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	4	39	39	0	Class	4.369	0.690	84
	0.0	2.4	4.8	46.4	46.4	0.0	Department	4.324	0.702	287
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	36	45	0	Class	4.488	0.611	84
	0.0	1.2	2.4	42.9	53.6	0.0	Department	4.348	0.712	287
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	5	32	47	0	Class	4.500	0.611	84
	0.0	0.0	6.0	38.1	56.0	0.0	Department	4.341	0.740	287
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	2	31	50	0	Class	4.536	0.667	84
	1.2	0.0	2.4	36.9	59.5	0.0	Department	4.404	0.800	287
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	9	34	39	0	Class	4.310	0.760	84
	0.0	2.4	10.7	40.5	46.4	0.0	Department	4.275	0.722	287
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	14	35	34	0	Class	4.214	0.762	84
	0.0	1.2	16.7	41.7	40.5	0.0	Department	4.310	0.728	287
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	36	42	1	Class	4.434	0.648	83
	0.0	1.2	4.8	42.9	50.0	1.2	Department	4.346	0.779	286
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	9	35	36	1	Class	4.253	0.794	83
	0.0	3.6	10.7	41.7	42.9	1.2	Department	4.266	0.790	286
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	37	41	0	Class	4.417	0.625	84
	0.0	0.0	7.1	44.0	48.8	0.0	Department	4.359	0.690	287
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	4	42	36	0	Class	4.321	0.731	84
	1.2	1.2	4.8	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.294	0.780	286
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 167  
 Your Dept ..... 287  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	6	17	70	73	0	Class	4.246	0.825	167
	0.6	3.6	10.2	41.9	43.7	0.0	Department	4.324	0.759	287
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	16	67	79	0	Class	4.305	0.812	167
	1.2	1.8	9.6	40.1	47.3	0.0	Department	4.390	0.739	287
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	13	69	82	0	Class	4.371	0.732	167
	0.6	1.2	7.8	41.3	49.1	0.0	Department	4.429	0.700	287
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	20	76	68	0	Class	4.246	0.756	167
	0.6	1.2	12.0	45.5	40.7	0.0	Department	4.317	0.710	287
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	11	57	97	0	Class	4.485	0.702	167
	0.6	0.6	6.6	34.1	58.1	0.0	Department	4.533	0.693	287
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	22	75	68	1	Class	4.265	0.706	166
	0.0	0.6	13.2	44.9	40.7	0.6	Department	4.269	0.716	286
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	3	14	82	67	0	Class	4.263	0.738	167
	0.6	1.8	8.4	49.1	40.1	0.0	Department	4.324	0.702	287
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	5	13	81	68	0	Class	4.269	0.732	167
	0.0	3.0	7.8	48.5	40.7	0.0	Department	4.348	0.712	287
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	12	81	69	0	Class	4.269	0.772	167
	1.2	1.8	7.2	48.5	41.3	0.0	Department	4.341	0.740	287
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	1	8	70	85	0	Class	4.395	0.768	167
	1.8	0.6	4.8	41.9	50.9	0.0	Department	4.404	0.800	287
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	1	15	84	65	0	Class	4.251	0.742	167
	1.2	0.6	9.0	50.3	38.9	0.0	Department	4.275	0.722	287
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	19	74	72	0	Class	4.293	0.714	167
	0.0	1.2	11.4	44.3	43.1	0.0	Department	4.310	0.728	287
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	5	18	65	79	0	Class	4.305	0.782	167
	0.0	3.0	10.8	38.9	47.3	0.0	Department	4.346	0.779	286
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	16	77	70	0	Class	4.269	0.756	167
	0.6	1.8	9.6	46.1	41.9	0.0	Department	4.266	0.790	286
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	14	76	74	0	Class	4.317	0.729	167
	0.6	1.2	8.4	45.5	44.3	0.0	Department	4.359	0.690	287
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	3	16	71	74	1	Class	4.277	0.806	166
	1.2	1.8	9.6	42.5	44.3	0.6	Department	4.294	0.780	286
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 122  
 Your Dept ..... 122  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	16	36	67	0	Class	4.361	0.834	122
	0.8	1.6	13.1	29.5	54.9	0.0	Department	4.361	0.834	122
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	9	32	73	2	Class	4.425	0.866	120
	0.8	4.1	7.4	26.2	59.8	1.6	Department	4.425	0.866	120
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	4	10	34	72	1	Class	4.421	0.844	121
	0.8	3.3	8.2	27.9	59.0	0.8	Department	4.421	0.844	121
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	2	4	13	42	60	1	Class	4.273	0.904	121
	1.6	3.3	10.7	34.4	49.2	0.8	Department	4.273	0.904	121
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	8	34	78	1	Class	4.554	0.695	121
	0.8	0.0	6.6	27.9	63.9	0.8	Department	4.554	0.695	121
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	6	16	48	48	0	Class	4.066	1.010	122
	3.3	4.9	13.1	39.3	39.3	0.0	Department	4.066	1.010	122
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	10	39	71	0	Class	4.467	0.718	122
	0.0	1.6	8.2	32.0	58.2	0.0	Department	4.467	0.718	122
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	13	37	67	1	Class	4.364	0.866	121
	1.6	1.6	10.7	30.3	54.9	0.8	Department	4.364	0.866	121
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	3	15	40	61	0	Class	4.254	0.941	122
	2.5	2.5	12.3	32.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.254	0.941	122
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	8	42	71	0	Class	4.492	0.695	122
	0.8	0.0	6.6	34.4	58.2	0.0	Department	4.492	0.695	122
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	17	50	51	1	Class	4.223	0.811	121
	0.8	1.6	13.9	41.0	41.8	0.8	Department	4.223	0.811	121
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	16	43	61	1	Class	4.347	0.771	121
	0.8	0.0	13.1	35.2	50.0	0.8	Department	4.347	0.771	121
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	11	43	65	0	Class	4.385	0.787	122
	0.8	1.6	9.0	35.2	53.3	0.0	Department	4.385	0.787	122
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	1	15	41	63	0	Class	4.328	0.847	122
	1.6	0.8	12.3	33.6	51.6	0.0	Department	4.328	0.847	122
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	17	40	60	1	Class	4.281	0.859	121
	0.8	2.5	13.9	32.8	49.2	0.8	Department	4.281	0.859	121
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	4	10	41	65	0	Class	4.336	0.887	122
	1.6	3.3	8.2	33.6	53.3	0.0	Department	4.336	0.887	122
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 344  
 Your Dept ..... 646  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	6	12	85	238	3	Class	4.628	0.641	341
	0.0	1.7	3.5	24.7	69.2	0.9	Department	4.433	0.795	639
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	13	76	249	4	Class	4.679	0.590	340
	0.3	0.3	3.8	22.1	72.4	1.2	Department	4.503	0.750	636
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	17	72	248	3	Class	4.654	0.631	341
	0.0	1.2	4.9	20.9	72.1	0.9	Department	4.496	0.747	637
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	6	14	97	221	5	Class	4.566	0.686	339
	0.3	1.7	4.1	28.2	64.2	1.5	Department	4.403	0.804	637
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	9	80	248	4	Class	4.682	0.584	340
	0.3	0.6	2.6	23.3	72.1	1.2	Department	4.484	0.796	637
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	12	89	233	5	Class	4.619	0.643	339
	0.3	1.2	3.5	25.9	67.7	1.5	Department	4.431	0.800	636
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	5	12	99	225	3	Class	4.595	0.633	341
	0.0	1.5	3.5	28.8	65.4	0.9	Department	4.458	0.733	638
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	4	20	94	221	4	Class	4.559	0.687	340
	0.3	1.2	5.8	27.3	64.2	1.2	Department	4.394	0.803	637
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	14	97	225	4	Class	4.594	0.643	340
	0.3	0.9	4.1	28.2	65.4	1.2	Department	4.381	0.841	637
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	5	14	90	230	3	Class	4.587	0.696	341
	0.6	1.5	4.1	26.2	66.9	0.9	Department	4.463	0.761	637
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	16	109	213	4	Class	4.568	0.613	340
	0.0	0.6	4.7	31.7	61.9	1.2	Department	4.419	0.728	637
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	29	94	211	4	Class	4.497	0.739	340
	0.3	1.5	8.4	27.3	61.3	1.2	Department	4.382	0.768	636
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	18	90	225	4	Class	4.565	0.703	340
	0.3	1.7	5.2	26.2	65.4	1.2	Department	4.422	0.796	637
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	6	12	97	225	4	Class	4.591	0.648	340
	0.0	1.7	3.5	28.2	65.4	1.2	Department	4.419	0.772	637
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	11	109	218	4	Class	4.597	0.584	340
	0.0	0.6	3.2	31.7	63.4	1.2	Department	4.491	0.684	637
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	13	101	225	4	Class	4.618	0.576	340
	0.0	0.3	3.8	29.4	65.4	1.2	Department	4.472	0.711	633
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 20  
 Your Dept ..... 646  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	6	14	0	Class	4.700	0.470	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.433	0.795	639
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	15	0	Class	4.750	0.444	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.503	0.750	636
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	4	16	0	Class	4.800	0.410	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.496	0.747	637
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	3	16	0	Class	4.750	0.550	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	15.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.403	0.804	637
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	15	0	Class	4.750	0.444	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.484	0.796	637
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	2	17	0	Class	4.800	0.523	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	85.0	0.0	Department	4.431	0.800	636
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	14	0	Class	4.700	0.470	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.458	0.733	638
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	7	13	0	Class	4.650	0.489	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.394	0.803	637
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	4	14	0	Class	4.600	0.681	20
	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.381	0.841	637
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	5	14	0	Class	4.650	0.587	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.463	0.761	637
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	8	11	0	Class	4.500	0.607	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	40.0	55.0	0.0	Department	4.419	0.728	637
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	5	13	1	Class	4.632	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	65.0	5.0	Department	4.382	0.768	636
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	0	4	14	0	Class	4.500	0.946	20
	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.422	0.796	637
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	0	6	13	0	Class	4.550	0.759	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	30.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.419	0.772	637
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	3	15	0	Class	4.650	0.671	20
	0.0	0.0	10.0	15.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.491	0.684	637
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	13	0	Class	4.650	0.489	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.472	0.711	633
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 47  
 Your Dept ..... 120  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	25	22	0	Class	4.468	0.504	47
	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.2	46.8	0.0	Department	4.125	0.846	120
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	19	27	0	Class	4.553	0.544	47
	0.0	0.0	2.1	40.4	57.4	0.0	Department	4.075	0.945	120
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	12	30	0	Class	4.532	0.687	47
	0.0	0.0	10.6	25.5	63.8	0.0	Department	4.059	0.959	119
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	28	16	0	Class	4.255	0.642	47
	0.0	2.1	4.3	59.6	34.0	0.0	Department	3.942	0.882	120
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	2	21	23	0	Class	4.383	0.768	47
	2.1	0.0	4.3	44.7	48.9	0.0	Department	4.168	0.876	119
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	6	23	17	0	Class	4.170	0.816	47
	2.1	0.0	12.8	48.9	36.2	0.0	Department	4.144	0.754	118
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	22	22	0	Class	4.404	0.614	47
	0.0	0.0	6.4	46.8	46.8	0.0	Department	4.242	0.686	120
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	21	21	0	Class	4.340	0.668	47
	0.0	0.0	10.6	44.7	44.7	0.0	Department	4.108	0.776	120
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	23	21	0	Class	4.362	0.673	47
	0.0	2.1	4.3	48.9	44.7	0.0	Department	3.975	0.930	120
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	24	20	0	Class	4.362	0.605	47
	0.0	0.0	6.4	51.1	42.6	0.0	Department	4.192	0.714	120
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	7	21	18	0	Class	4.170	0.842	47
	2.1	0.0	14.9	44.7	38.3	0.0	Department	4.150	0.752	120
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	16	27	0	Class	4.489	0.655	47
	0.0	0.0	8.5	34.0	57.4	0.0	Department	4.325	0.663	120
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	9	21	17	0	Class	4.170	0.732	47
	0.0	0.0	19.1	44.7	36.2	0.0	Department	4.176	0.732	119
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	5	22	20	0	Class	4.319	0.663	47
	0.0	0.0	10.6	46.8	42.6	0.0	Department	4.092	0.802	119
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	27	19	0	Class	4.383	0.534	47
	0.0	0.0	2.1	57.4	40.4	0.0	Department	4.185	0.676	119
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	22	22	0	Class	4.404	0.614	47
	0.0	0.0	6.4	46.8	46.8	0.0	Department	4.176	0.830	119
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422





# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: BIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 130  
 Your Dept ..... 130  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	5	37	87	1	Class	4.636	0.558	129
	0.0	0.0	3.8	28.5	66.9	0.8	Department	4.636	0.558	129
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	4	29	94	2	Class	4.688	0.572	128
	0.0	0.8	3.1	22.3	72.3	1.5	Department	4.688	0.572	128
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	6	31	90	1	Class	4.620	0.652	129
	0.0	1.5	4.6	23.8	69.2	0.8	Department	4.620	0.652	129
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	12	45	71	2	Class	4.461	0.663	128
	0.0	0.0	9.2	34.6	54.6	1.5	Department	4.461	0.663	128
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	5	28	91	2	Class	4.609	0.712	128
	0.0	3.1	3.8	21.5	70.0	1.5	Department	4.609	0.712	128
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	4	21	47	56	2	Class	4.211	0.829	128
	0.0	3.1	16.2	36.2	43.1	1.5	Department	4.211	0.829	128
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	43	81	2	Class	4.602	0.552	128
	0.0	0.0	3.1	33.1	62.3	1.5	Department	4.602	0.552	128
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	41	82	1	Class	4.581	0.609	129
	0.0	0.8	3.8	31.5	63.1	0.8	Department	4.581	0.609	129
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	10	30	87	2	Class	4.586	0.670	128
	0.0	0.8	7.7	23.1	66.9	1.5	Department	4.586	0.670	128
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	28	99	1	Class	4.744	0.504	129
	0.0	0.8	0.8	21.5	76.2	0.8	Department	4.744	0.504	129
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	14	44	69	1	Class	4.395	0.744	129
	0.0	1.5	10.8	33.8	53.1	0.8	Department	4.395	0.744	129
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	45	75	2	Class	4.523	0.614	128
	0.0	0.0	6.2	34.6	57.7	1.5	Department	4.523	0.614	128
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	4	36	87	1	Class	4.605	0.666	129
	0.8	0.8	3.1	27.7	66.9	0.8	Department	4.605	0.666	129
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	9	45	72	2	Class	4.461	0.698	128
	0.0	1.5	6.9	34.6	55.4	1.5	Department	4.461	0.698	128
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	49	79	1	Class	4.605	0.506	129
	0.0	0.0	0.8	37.7	60.8	0.8	Department	4.605	0.506	129
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	4	6	33	84	3	Class	4.551	0.731	127
	0.0	3.1	4.6	25.4	64.6	2.3	Department	4.551	0.731	127
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 11  
 Your Dept ..... 76  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	0	4	5	0	Class	4.091	1.136	11
	0.0	18.2	0.0	36.4	45.5	0.0	Department	4.211	0.970	76
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	0	3	6	0	Class	4.091	1.375	11
	9.1	9.1	0.0	27.3	54.5	0.0	Department	4.316	0.983	76
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	1	2	6	0	Class	4.091	1.221	11
	0.0	18.2	9.1	18.2	54.5	0.0	Department	4.184	1.003	76
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	0	4	6	0	Class	4.273	1.191	11
	9.1	0.0	0.0	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.237	0.978	76
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	1	9	0	Class	4.727	0.647	11
	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	81.8	0.0	Department	4.500	0.702	76
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	3	6	0	Class	4.273	1.009	11
	0.0	9.1	9.1	27.3	54.5	0.0	Department	4.211	0.789	76
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	0	3	6	0	Class	4.091	1.375	11
	9.1	9.1	0.0	27.3	54.5	0.0	Department	4.316	0.836	76
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	1	8	0	Class	4.455	1.036	11
	0.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.303	0.880	76
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	1	8	0	Class	4.545	0.820	11
	0.0	0.0	18.2	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.289	0.907	76
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class	4.818	0.405	11
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	Department	4.500	0.683	76
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	8	0	Class	4.727	0.467	11
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	Department	4.342	0.684	76
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	1	8	0	Class	4.545	0.820	11
	0.0	0.0	18.2	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.434	0.736	76
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	1	8	0	Class	4.545	0.820	11
	0.0	0.0	18.2	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	1	8	0	Class	4.455	1.036	11
	0.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	72.7	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	3	7	0	Class	4.545	0.688	11
	0.0	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.453	0.643	75
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	2	6	0	Class	4.182	1.079	11
	0.0	9.1	18.2	18.2	54.5	0.0	Department	4.320	0.791	75
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 38  
 Your Dept ..... 76  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	18	15	0	Class	4.237	0.751	38
	0.0	2.6	10.5	47.4	39.5	0.0	Department	4.211	0.970	76
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	18	16	0	Class	4.289	0.732	38
	0.0	2.6	7.9	47.4	42.1	0.0	Department	4.316	0.983	76
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	9	15	13	0	Class	4.053	0.837	38
	0.0	2.6	23.7	39.5	34.2	0.0	Department	4.184	1.003	76
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	3	18	15	0	Class	4.211	0.811	38
	0.0	5.3	7.9	47.4	39.5	0.0	Department	4.237	0.978	76
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	18	20	0	Class	4.526	0.506	38
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.500	0.702	76
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	21	12	0	Class	4.184	0.652	38
	0.0	0.0	13.2	55.3	31.6	0.0	Department	4.211	0.789	76
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	18	16	0	Class	4.316	0.662	38
	0.0	0.0	10.5	47.4	42.1	0.0	Department	4.316	0.836	76
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	6	15	17	0	Class	4.289	0.732	38
	0.0	0.0	15.8	39.5	44.7	0.0	Department	4.303	0.880	76
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	19	16	0	Class	4.342	0.627	38
	0.0	0.0	7.9	50.0	42.1	0.0	Department	4.289	0.907	76
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	18	19	0	Class	4.474	0.557	38
	0.0	0.0	2.6	47.4	50.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.683	76
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	22	13	0	Class	4.263	0.601	38
	0.0	0.0	7.9	57.9	34.2	0.0	Department	4.342	0.684	76
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	12	20	0	Class	4.368	0.751	38
	0.0	0.0	15.8	31.6	52.6	0.0	Department	4.434	0.736	76
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	14	18	0	Class	4.263	0.860	38
	0.0	5.3	10.5	36.8	47.4	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	14	17	0	Class	4.263	0.760	38
	0.0	0.0	18.4	36.8	44.7	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	18	16	1	Class	4.351	0.633	37
	0.0	0.0	7.9	47.4	42.1	2.6	Department	4.453	0.643	75
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	18	16	0	Class	4.316	0.662	38
	0.0	0.0	10.5	47.4	42.1	0.0	Department	4.320	0.791	75
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 27  
 Your Dept ..... 76  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	1	1	8	15	0	Class	4.222	1.188	27
	7.4	3.7	3.7	29.6	55.6	0.0	Department	4.211	0.970	76
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	0	1	5	19	0	Class	4.444	1.121	27
	7.4	0.0	3.7	18.5	70.4	0.0	Department	4.316	0.983	76
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	0	1	6	18	0	Class	4.407	1.118	27
	7.4	0.0	3.7	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.184	1.003	76
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	2	6	16	0	Class	4.259	1.130	27
	3.7	7.4	7.4	22.2	59.3	0.0	Department	4.237	0.978	76
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	2	7	16	0	Class	4.370	0.926	27
	0.0	7.4	7.4	25.9	59.3	0.0	Department	4.500	0.702	76
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	8	13	0	Class	4.222	0.892	27
	0.0	3.7	18.5	29.6	48.1	0.0	Department	4.211	0.789	76
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	9	15	0	Class	4.407	0.797	27
	0.0	3.7	7.4	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.316	0.836	76
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	2	9	14	0	Class	4.259	1.023	27
	3.7	3.7	7.4	33.3	51.9	0.0	Department	4.303	0.880	76
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	1	3	7	14	0	Class	4.111	1.219	27
	7.4	3.7	11.1	25.9	51.9	0.0	Department	4.289	0.907	76
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	1	8	16	0	Class	4.407	0.888	27
	0.0	7.4	3.7	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.500	0.683	76
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	10	13	0	Class	4.296	0.823	27
	0.0	3.7	11.1	37.0	48.1	0.0	Department	4.342	0.684	76
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	8	16	0	Class	4.481	0.700	27
	0.0	0.0	11.1	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.434	0.736	76
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	8	16	0	Class	4.481	0.700	27
	0.0	0.0	11.1	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	8	17	0	Class	4.519	0.753	27
	0.0	3.7	3.7	29.6	63.0	0.0	Department	4.382	0.799	76
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	8	17	0	Class	4.556	0.641	27
	0.0	0.0	7.4	29.6	63.0	0.0	Department	4.453	0.643	75
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	0	10	14	1	Class	4.385	0.852	26
	0.0	7.4	0.0	37.0	51.9	3.7	Department	4.320	0.791	75
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 674  
 Your Dept ..... 848  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	36	94	238	294	1	Class	4.141	0.959	673
	1.6	5.3	13.9	35.3	43.6	0.1	Department	4.066	1.006	847
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	12	32	95	185	346	4	Class	4.225	0.980	670
	1.8	4.7	14.1	27.4	51.3	0.6	Department	4.161	1.021	844
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	45	95	195	324	2	Class	4.149	1.022	672
	1.9	6.7	14.1	28.9	48.1	0.3	Department	4.078	1.067	846
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	6	32	101	275	258	2	Class	4.112	0.891	672
	0.9	4.7	15.0	40.8	38.3	0.3	Department	4.026	0.940	846
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	12	63	221	374	2	Class	4.418	0.758	672
	0.3	1.8	9.3	32.8	55.5	0.3	Department	4.345	0.828	846
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	36	133	275	219	3	Class	3.985	0.921	671
	1.2	5.3	19.7	40.8	32.5	0.4	Department	3.873	0.968	845
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	21	68	260	316	2	Class	4.275	0.846	672
	1.0	3.1	10.1	38.6	46.9	0.3	Department	4.217	0.874	846
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	21	85	255	303	3	Class	4.231	0.863	671
	1.0	3.1	12.6	37.8	45.0	0.4	Department	4.187	0.903	845
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	12	27	80	240	311	4	Class	4.210	0.929	670
	1.8	4.0	11.9	35.6	46.1	0.6	Department	4.116	0.991	844
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	9	60	234	361	4	Class	4.396	0.779	670
	0.9	1.3	8.9	34.7	53.6	0.6	Department	4.305	0.885	844
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	18	107	317	223	4	Class	4.097	0.812	670
	0.7	2.7	15.9	47.0	33.1	0.6	Department	4.015	0.837	844
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	10	24	137	242	257	4	Class	4.063	0.929	670
	1.5	3.6	20.3	35.9	38.1	0.6	Department	4.074	0.909	843
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	16	37	134	232	251	4	Class	3.993	1.006	670
	2.4	5.5	19.9	34.4	37.2	0.6	Department	3.991	0.993	844
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	32	132	267	234	2	Class	4.025	0.911	672
	1.0	4.7	19.6	39.6	34.7	0.3	Department	3.989	0.943	846
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	23	98	274	272	2	Class	4.168	0.853	672
	0.7	3.4	14.5	40.7	40.4	0.3	Department	4.154	0.866	846
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	34	83	243	301	2	Class	4.174	0.945	672
	1.6	5.0	12.3	36.1	44.7	0.3	Department	4.137	0.962	846
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 160 Your Dept ..... 848 Your College .. 4809										
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
-----											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	16	25	67	42	0	Class	3.719	1.145	160	
	6.3	10.0	15.6	41.9	26.3	0.0	Department	4.066	1.006	847	
							College	4.316	0.860	4788	
							University	4.174	0.979	41600	
-----											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	11	25	61	53	0	Class	3.850	1.145	160	
	6.3	6.9	15.6	38.1	33.1	0.0	Department	4.161	1.021	844	
							College	4.385	0.858	4773	
							University	4.236	0.983	41483	
-----											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	20	22	54	54	0	Class	3.763	1.221	160	
	6.3	12.5	13.8	33.8	33.8	0.0	Department	4.078	1.067	846	
							College	4.338	0.888	4776	
							University	4.197	1.001	41541	
-----											
4. the quality of this course.	9	10	44	60	37	0	Class	3.663	1.075	160	
	5.6	6.3	27.5	37.5	23.1	0.0	Department	4.026	0.940	846	
							College	4.275	0.856	4771	
							University	4.146	0.957	41442	
-----											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	13	19	64	60	0	Class	4.019	1.025	160	
	2.5	8.1	11.9	40.0	37.5	0.0	Department	4.345	0.828	846	
							College	4.444	0.805	4777	
							University	4.331	0.894	41481	
-----											
6. your understanding of the course content.	11	16	47	70	16	0	Class	3.400	1.029	160	
	6.9	10.0	29.4	43.8	10.0	0.0	Department	3.873	0.968	845	
							College	4.199	0.876	4771	
							University	4.147	0.920	41457	
-----											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
-----											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	10	18	83	44	0	Class	3.944	0.960	160	
	3.1	6.3	11.3	51.9	27.5	0.0	Department	4.217	0.874	846	
							College	4.385	0.769	4784	
							University	4.252	0.903	41571	
-----											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	11	14	75	53	0	Class	3.975	1.046	160	
	4.4	6.9	8.8	46.9	33.1	0.0	Department	4.187	0.903	845	
							College	4.334	0.826	4776	
							University	4.257	0.897	41538	
-----											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	19	25	64	43	0	Class	3.706	1.153	160	
	5.6	11.9	15.6	40.0	26.9	0.0	Department	4.116	0.991	844	
							College	4.279	0.911	4779	
							University	4.176	0.987	41541	
-----											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	13	19	58	60	0	Class	3.906	1.175	160	
	6.3	8.1	11.9	36.3	37.5	0.0	Department	4.305	0.885	844	
							College	4.446	0.783	4778	
							University	4.357	0.852	41518	
-----											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	17	37	82	23	0	Class	3.681	0.871	160	
	0.6	10.6	23.1	51.3	14.4	0.0	Department	4.015	0.837	844	
							College	4.257	0.790	4776	
							University	4.213	0.839	41519	
-----											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	3	28	75	51	1	Class	4.069	0.828	159	
	1.3	1.9	17.5	46.9	31.9	0.6	Department	4.074	0.909	843	
							College	4.351	0.785	4773	
							University	4.280	0.861	41497	
-----											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	8	25	74	48	0	Class	3.950	0.970	160	
	3.1	5.0	15.6	46.3	30.0	0.0	Department	3.991	0.993	844	
							College	4.331	0.844	4772	
							University	4.229	0.938	41505	
-----											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	12	31	65	45	0	Class	3.806	1.067	160	
	4.4	7.5	19.4	40.6	28.1	0.0	Department	3.989	0.943	846	
							College	4.295	0.848	4771	
							University	4.174	0.963	41503	
-----											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	5	18	74	58	0	Class	4.094	0.937	160	
	3.1	3.1	11.3	46.3	36.3	0.0	Department	4.154	0.866	846	
							College	4.379	0.756	4773	
							University	4.294	0.843	41513	
-----											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	14	17	71	53	0	Class	3.956	1.036	160	
	3.1	8.8	10.6	44.4	33.1	0.0	Department	4.137	0.962	846	
							College	4.358	0.812	4766	
							University	4.229	0.931	41422	

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 14  
 Your Dept ..... 848  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.429	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.066	1.006	847
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	10	0	Class	4.643	0.633	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.161	1.021	844
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	5	0	Class	4.286	0.611	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	0.0	Department	4.078	1.067	846
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	9	3	0	Class	4.071	0.616	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	64.3	21.4	0.0	Department	4.026	0.940	846
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	4	9	0	Class	4.571	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	28.6	64.3	0.0	Department	4.345	0.828	846
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	6	4	0	Class	3.929	0.917	14
	0.0	7.1	21.4	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	3.873	0.968	845
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	8	0	Class	4.571	0.514	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.217	0.874	846
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	5	8	0	Class	4.500	0.650	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.187	0.903	845
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	6	6	0	Class	4.286	0.726	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.116	0.991	844
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	7	7	0	Class	4.500	0.519	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.305	0.885	844
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	1	10	2	0	Class	3.929	0.730	14
	0.0	7.1	7.1	71.4	14.3	0.0	Department	4.015	0.837	844
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	3	10	0	Class	4.643	0.633	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.074	0.909	843
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	9	5	0	Class	4.357	0.497	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.3	35.7	0.0	Department	3.991	0.993	844
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	7	6	0	Class	4.357	0.633	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	3.989	0.943	846
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	8	4	0	Class	4.143	0.663	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	Department	4.154	0.866	846
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.429	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.137	0.962	846
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 9  
 Your Dept ..... 92  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.554	0.669	92	
							College	4.316	0.860	4788	
							University	4.174	0.979	41600	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.674	0.613	92	
							College	4.385	0.858	4773	
							University	4.236	0.983	41483	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.659	0.582	91	
							College	4.338	0.888	4776	
							University	4.197	1.001	41541	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.402	0.826	92	
							College	4.275	0.856	4771	
							University	4.146	0.957	41442	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.563	92	
							College	4.444	0.805	4777	
							University	4.331	0.894	41481	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.511	0.620	92	
							College	4.199	0.876	4771	
							University	4.147	0.920	41457	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
	7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.630	0.624	92
								College	4.385	0.769	4784
								University	4.252	0.903	41571
	8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.620	0.608	92
								College	4.334	0.826	4776
								University	4.257	0.897	41538
	9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.576	0.597	92
							College	4.279	0.911	4779	
							University	4.176	0.987	41541	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.685	0.467	92	
							College	4.446	0.783	4778	
							University	4.357	0.852	41518	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.522	0.564	92	
							College	4.257	0.790	4776	
							University	4.213	0.839	41519	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.576	0.615	92	
							College	4.351	0.785	4773	
							University	4.280	0.861	41497	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.587	0.632	92	
							College	4.331	0.844	4772	
							University	4.229	0.938	41505	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.587	0.614	92	
							College	4.295	0.848	4771	
							University	4.174	0.963	41503	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.543	0.653	92	
							College	4.379	0.756	4773	
							University	4.294	0.843	41513	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class	5.000	0.000	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.630	0.507	92	
							College	4.358	0.812	4766	
							University	4.229	0.931	41422	



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15  
 Your Dept ..... 92  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.554	0.669	92
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.674	0.613	92
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	12	1	Class	4.857	0.363	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	80.0	6.7	Department	4.659	0.582	91
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.402	0.826	92
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.563	92
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.511	0.620	92
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.630	0.624	92
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	14	0	Class	4.933	0.258	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	Department	4.620	0.608	92
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.576	0.597	92
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.685	0.467	92
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.522	0.564	92
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	2	12	0	Class	4.733	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.576	0.615	92
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	2	12	0	Class	4.733	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.587	0.632	92
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.587	0.614	92
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	12	0	Class	4.733	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.543	0.653	92
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	2	12	0	Class	4.733	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.630	0.507	92
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 244  
 Your Dept ..... 690  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	8	24	74	134	1	Class	4.350	0.880	243
	1.2	3.3	9.8	30.3	54.9	0.4	Department	4.334	0.847	688
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	10	19	69	140	2	Class	4.368	0.916	242
	1.6	4.1	7.8	28.3	57.4	0.8	Department	4.395	0.888	686
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	14	22	69	133	1	Class	4.280	0.990	243
	2.0	5.7	9.0	28.3	54.5	0.4	Department	4.325	0.917	686
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	9	26	80	126	2	Class	4.326	0.843	242
	0.4	3.7	10.7	32.8	51.6	0.8	Department	4.330	0.807	685
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	10	27	72	131	1	Class	4.309	0.913	243
	1.2	4.1	11.1	29.5	53.7	0.4	Department	4.344	0.889	688
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	8	32	100	101	2	Class	4.207	0.824	242
	0.4	3.3	13.1	41.0	41.4	0.8	Department	4.223	0.841	686
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	8	27	87	121	0	Class	4.307	0.826	244
	0.4	3.3	11.1	35.7	49.6	0.0	Department	4.400	0.756	690
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	10	23	87	122	0	Class	4.299	0.863	244
	0.8	4.1	9.4	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.293	0.884	690
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	10	24	88	120	0	Class	4.287	0.865	244
	0.8	4.1	9.8	36.1	49.2	0.0	Department	4.175	1.019	690
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	8	17	82	133	0	Class	4.361	0.876	244
	1.6	3.3	7.0	33.6	54.5	0.0	Department	4.401	0.831	689
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	6	32	111	92	2	Class	4.186	0.785	242
	0.4	2.5	13.1	45.5	37.7	0.8	Department	4.219	0.791	688
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	5	38	89	109	1	Class	4.226	0.844	243
	0.8	2.0	15.6	36.5	44.7	0.4	Department	4.356	0.791	688
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	8	19	91	123	1	Class	4.337	0.824	243
	0.8	3.3	7.8	37.3	50.4	0.4	Department	4.310	0.876	688
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	9	20	96	115	1	Class	4.280	0.860	243
	1.2	3.7	8.2	39.3	47.1	0.4	Department	4.314	0.852	687
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	7	26	89	121	0	Class	4.320	0.809	244
	0.4	2.9	10.7	36.5	49.6	0.0	Department	4.419	0.745	689
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	9	24	87	123	0	Class	4.320	0.829	244
	0.4	3.7	9.8	35.7	50.4	0.0	Department	4.334	0.851	688
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15  
 Your Dept ..... 690  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	6	7	0	Class	4.333	0.724	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	40.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.334	0.847	688
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	4	7	0	Class	4.200	0.862	15
	0.0	0.0	26.7	26.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.395	0.888	686
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	3	8	0	Class	4.267	0.884	15
	0.0	0.0	26.7	20.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.325	0.917	686
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	3	6	0	Class	4.000	0.926	15
	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.330	0.807	685
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	4	8	0	Class	4.333	0.816	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	26.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.344	0.889	688
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	7	5	0	Class	4.133	0.743	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	46.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.223	0.841	686
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	5	0	Class	4.267	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	60.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.400	0.756	690
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	9	3	0	Class	4.000	0.655	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.293	0.884	690
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	5	5	0	Class	3.933	0.961	15
	0.0	6.7	26.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.175	1.019	690
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	9	4	0	Class	4.133	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	60.0	26.7	0.0	Department	4.401	0.831	689
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	3	4	6	0	Class	3.933	1.100	15
	0.0	13.3	20.0	26.7	40.0	0.0	Department	4.219	0.791	688
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.356	0.791	688
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.310	0.876	688
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	6	7	0	Class	4.333	0.724	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	40.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.314	0.852	687
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	10	5	0	Class	4.333	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.419	0.745	689
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	7	5	0	Class	4.133	0.743	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	46.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.334	0.851	688
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 106  
 Your Dept ..... 185  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	1	16	54	32	0	Class	4.047	0.866	106
	2.8	0.9	15.1	50.9	30.2	0.0	Department	4.310	0.814	184
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	17	43	41	0	Class	4.113	0.908	106
	1.9	2.8	16.0	40.6	38.7	0.0	Department	4.402	0.811	184
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	1	13	45	43	1	Class	4.181	0.896	105
	2.8	0.9	12.3	42.5	40.6	0.9	Department	4.432	0.808	183
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	2	6	21	49	28	0	Class	3.896	0.925	106
	1.9	5.7	19.8	46.2	26.4	0.0	Department	4.201	0.886	184
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	3	8	45	47	1	Class	4.257	0.866	105
	1.9	2.8	7.5	42.5	44.3	0.9	Department	4.481	0.776	183
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	13	44	46	1	Class	4.276	0.753	105
	0.0	1.9	12.3	41.5	43.4	0.9	Department	4.410	0.704	183
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	15	50	38	0	Class	4.151	0.802	106
	0.9	1.9	14.2	47.2	35.8	0.0	Department	4.397	0.732	184
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	4	16	46	39	0	Class	4.113	0.865	106
	0.9	3.8	15.1	43.4	36.8	0.0	Department	4.332	0.819	184
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	7	13	42	42	0	Class	4.085	0.977	106
	1.9	6.6	12.3	39.6	39.6	0.0	Department	4.326	0.913	184
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	7	38	57	0	Class	4.387	0.811	106
	0.9	2.8	6.6	35.8	53.8	0.0	Department	4.516	0.717	184
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	11	46	49	0	Class	4.358	0.665	106
	0.0	0.0	10.4	43.4	46.2	0.0	Department	4.451	0.659	184
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	9	48	48	0	Class	4.340	0.716	106
	0.9	0.0	8.5	45.3	45.3	0.0	Department	4.473	0.716	184
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	18	41	45	0	Class	4.208	0.825	106
	0.9	0.9	17.0	38.7	42.5	0.0	Department	4.408	0.755	184
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	18	39	44	0	Class	4.132	0.927	106
	1.9	2.8	17.0	36.8	41.5	0.0	Department	4.326	0.863	184
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	14	46	45	0	Class	4.264	0.760	106
	0.9	0.0	13.2	43.4	42.5	0.0	Department	4.440	0.722	184
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	11	55	39	0	Class	4.245	0.673	106
	0.0	0.9	10.4	51.9	36.8	0.0	Department	4.440	0.650	184
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 60  
 Your Dept ..... 185  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	15	43	0	Class	4.667	0.601	60
	0.0	1.7	1.7	25.0	71.7	0.0	Department	4.310	0.814	184
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	10	50	0	Class	4.833	0.376	60
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.402	0.811	184
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	11	48	0	Class	4.767	0.533	60
	0.0	1.7	0.0	18.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.432	0.808	183
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	14	43	0	Class	4.650	0.633	60
	0.0	1.7	3.3	23.3	71.7	0.0	Department	4.201	0.886	184
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	12	47	0	Class	4.750	0.541	60
	0.0	1.7	0.0	20.0	78.3	0.0	Department	4.481	0.776	183
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	18	38	0	Class	4.567	0.621	60
	0.0	0.0	6.7	30.0	63.3	0.0	Department	4.410	0.704	183
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	14	46	0	Class	4.767	0.427	60
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.3	76.7	0.0	Department	4.397	0.732	184
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	1	14	43	0	Class	4.633	0.688	60
	0.0	3.3	1.7	23.3	71.7	0.0	Department	4.332	0.819	184
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	1	12	45	0	Class	4.650	0.755	60
	1.7	1.7	1.7	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.326	0.913	184
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	15	43	0	Class	4.683	0.537	60
	0.0	0.0	3.3	25.0	71.7	0.0	Department	4.516	0.717	184
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	16	38	0	Class	4.533	0.676	60
	0.0	0.0	10.0	26.7	63.3	0.0	Department	4.451	0.659	184
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	2	14	43	0	Class	4.633	0.712	60
	1.7	0.0	3.3	23.3	71.7	0.0	Department	4.473	0.716	184
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	17	42	0	Class	4.667	0.572	60
	0.0	1.7	0.0	28.3	70.0	0.0	Department	4.408	0.755	184
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	2	16	40	0	Class	4.567	0.722	60
	0.0	3.3	3.3	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.326	0.863	184
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	14	44	0	Class	4.683	0.596	60
	0.0	1.7	1.7	23.3	73.3	0.0	Department	4.440	0.722	184
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	13	45	0	Class	4.717	0.524	60
	0.0	0.0	3.3	21.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.440	0.650	184
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422







# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 446  
 Your Dept ..... 894  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	17	37	144	236	3	Class	4.312	0.926	443
	2.0	3.8	8.3	32.3	52.9	0.7	Department	4.373	0.844	889
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	17	37	120	264	4	Class	4.410	0.866	442
	0.9	3.8	8.3	26.9	59.2	0.9	Department	4.435	0.810	888
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	17	40	139	240	4	Class	4.335	0.894	442
	1.3	3.8	9.0	31.2	53.8	0.9	Department	4.349	0.857	888
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	9	22	43	145	222	5	Class	4.245	0.962	441
	2.0	4.9	9.6	32.5	49.8	1.1	Department	4.296	0.880	885
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	18	28	123	262	5	Class	4.381	0.939	441
	2.2	4.0	6.3	27.6	58.7	1.1	Department	4.460	0.844	886
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	11	17	68	166	180	4	Class	4.102	0.965	442
	2.5	3.8	15.2	37.2	40.4	0.9	Department	4.203	0.890	886
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	12	31	161	236	1	Class	4.373	0.819	445
	1.1	2.7	7.0	36.1	52.9	0.2	Department	4.400	0.772	888
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	11	35	163	223	4	Class	4.308	0.891	442
	2.2	2.5	7.8	36.5	50.0	0.9	Department	4.389	0.794	881
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	17	35	155	226	3	Class	4.287	0.930	443
	2.2	3.8	7.8	34.8	50.7	0.7	Department	4.344	0.853	885
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	12	25	128	271	3	Class	4.454	0.845	443
	1.6	2.7	5.6	28.7	60.8	0.7	Department	4.498	0.767	884
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	13	54	169	200	2	Class	4.216	0.898	444
	1.8	2.9	12.1	37.9	44.8	0.4	Department	4.282	0.817	884
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	5	35	147	256	1	Class	4.461	0.727	445
	0.4	1.1	7.8	33.0	57.4	0.2	Department	4.470	0.723	885
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	24	148	263	2	Class	4.493	0.713	444
	0.4	1.6	5.4	33.2	59.0	0.4	Department	4.479	0.706	884
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	16	33	142	246	5	Class	4.383	0.845	441
	0.9	3.6	7.4	31.8	55.2	1.1	Department	4.388	0.815	883
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	5	32	154	245	6	Class	4.434	0.755	440
	0.9	1.1	7.2	34.5	54.9	1.3	Department	4.417	0.745	882
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	10	30	161	236	5	Class	4.395	0.788	441
	0.9	2.2	6.7	36.1	52.9	1.1	Department	4.414	0.767	883
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 126  
 Your Dept ..... 150  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	8	19	57	42	0	Class	4.056	0.861	126
	0.0	6.3	15.1	45.2	33.3	0.0	Department	4.160	0.852	150
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	7	21	52	45	1	Class	4.080	0.867	125
	0.0	5.6	16.7	41.3	35.7	0.8	Department	4.174	0.860	149
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	25	47	48	0	Class	4.079	0.900	126
	0.8	4.0	19.8	37.3	38.1	0.0	Department	4.167	0.893	150
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	23	60	39	1	Class	4.080	0.768	125
	0.0	2.4	18.3	47.6	31.0	0.8	Department	4.201	0.780	149
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	7	51	66	0	Class	4.437	0.675	126
	0.0	1.6	5.6	40.5	52.4	0.0	Department	4.453	0.681	150
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	7	23	59	35	1	Class	3.960	0.874	125
	0.8	5.6	18.3	46.8	27.8	0.8	Department	4.074	0.863	149
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	5	18	47	56	0	Class	4.222	0.838	126
	0.0	4.0	14.3	37.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.313	0.828	150
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	8	17	54	47	0	Class	4.111	0.869	126
	0.0	6.3	13.5	42.9	37.3	0.0	Department	4.220	0.858	150
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	13	15	54	42	0	Class	3.960	1.007	126
	1.6	10.3	11.9	42.9	33.3	0.0	Department	4.073	0.991	150
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	54	68	0	Class	4.508	0.562	126
	0.0	0.0	3.2	42.9	54.0	0.0	Department	4.540	0.587	150
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	16	64	44	0	Class	4.190	0.712	126
	0.0	1.6	12.7	50.8	34.9	0.0	Department	4.247	0.723	150
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	34	37	54	0	Class	4.143	0.846	126
	0.0	0.8	27.0	29.4	42.9	0.0	Department	4.260	0.839	150
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	9	22	37	56	0	Class	4.079	1.025	126
	1.6	7.1	17.5	29.4	44.4	0.0	Department	4.207	0.999	150
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	6	25	46	49	0	Class	4.095	0.880	126
	0.0	4.8	19.8	36.5	38.9	0.0	Department	4.207	0.869	150
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	5	18	57	46	0	Class	4.143	0.807	126
	0.0	4.0	14.3	45.2	36.5	0.0	Department	4.253	0.813	150
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	14	53	57	0	Class	4.310	0.732	126
	0.0	1.6	11.1	42.1	45.2	0.0	Department	4.393	0.732	150
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 24  
 Your Dept ..... 150  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	5	18	0	Class	4.708	0.550	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	20.8	75.0	0.0	Department	4.160	0.852	150
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	4	18	0	Class	4.667	0.637	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.174	0.860	149
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	3	18	0	Class	4.625	0.711	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.167	0.893	150
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	2	21	0	Class	4.833	0.482	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	8.3	87.5	0.0	Department	4.201	0.780	149
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	5	16	0	Class	4.542	0.721	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	20.8	66.7	0.0	Department	4.453	0.681	150
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	8	16	0	Class	4.667	0.482	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.074	0.863	149
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	1	21	0	Class	4.792	0.588	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	4.2	87.5	0.0	Department	4.313	0.828	150
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	3	20	0	Class	4.792	0.509	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	12.5	83.3	0.0	Department	4.220	0.858	150
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	4	18	0	Class	4.667	0.637	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.073	0.991	150
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	4	19	0	Class	4.708	0.690	24
	0.0	4.2	0.0	16.7	79.2	0.0	Department	4.540	0.587	150
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	5	16	0	Class	4.542	0.721	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	20.8	66.7	0.0	Department	4.247	0.723	150
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	22	0	Class	4.875	0.448	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	91.7	0.0	Department	4.260	0.839	150
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	1	22	0	Class	4.875	0.448	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	91.7	0.0	Department	4.207	0.999	150
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	3	20	0	Class	4.792	0.509	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	12.5	83.3	0.0	Department	4.207	0.869	150
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	0	22	0	Class	4.833	0.565	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	91.7	0.0	Department	4.253	0.813	150
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	0	22	0	Class	4.833	0.565	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	91.7	0.0	Department	4.393	0.732	150
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 58  
 Your Dept ..... 79  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	10	26	21	0	Class	4.155	0.768	58
	0.0	1.7	17.2	44.8	36.2	0.0	Department	4.342	0.749	79
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	4	7	21	26	0	Class	4.190	0.907	58
	0.0	6.9	12.1	36.2	44.8	0.0	Department	4.367	0.865	79
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	11	23	22	1	Class	4.158	0.797	57
	0.0	1.7	19.0	39.7	37.9	1.7	Department	4.372	0.775	78
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	4	32	19	0	Class	4.138	0.826	58
	1.7	3.4	6.9	55.2	32.8	0.0	Department	4.304	0.806	79
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	9	22	26	0	Class	4.259	0.785	58
	0.0	1.7	15.5	37.9	44.8	0.0	Department	4.443	0.747	79
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	11	27	18	0	Class	4.052	0.804	58
	0.0	3.4	19.0	46.6	31.0	0.0	Department	4.241	0.788	79
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	27	24	0	Class	4.276	0.720	58
	0.0	1.7	10.3	46.6	41.4	0.0	Department	4.430	0.692	79
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	10	29	19	0	Class	4.155	0.696	58
	0.0	0.0	17.2	50.0	32.8	0.0	Department	4.291	0.682	79
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	10	27	17	1	Class	4.018	0.834	57
	0.0	5.2	17.2	46.6	29.3	1.7	Department	4.256	0.829	78
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	10	26	20	0	Class	4.103	0.810	58
	0.0	3.4	17.2	44.8	34.5	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	8	11	20	18	1	Class	3.842	1.031	57
	0.0	13.8	19.0	34.5	31.0	1.7	Department	4.026	0.967	78
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	16	21	21	0	Class	4.086	0.801	58
	0.0	0.0	27.6	36.2	36.2	0.0	Department	4.291	0.787	79
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	7	28	18	1	Class	4.035	0.906	57
	1.7	5.2	12.1	48.3	31.0	1.7	Department	4.231	0.867	78
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	11	20	23	0	Class	4.069	0.934	58
	0.0	6.9	19.0	34.5	39.7	0.0	Department	4.253	0.898	79
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	9	26	20	0	Class	4.086	0.844	58
	0.0	5.2	15.5	44.8	34.5	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	8	24	23	0	Class	4.155	0.854	58
	0.0	5.2	13.8	41.4	39.7	0.0	Department	4.329	0.812	79
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 14  
 Your Dept ..... 79  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	13	0	Class	4.929	0.267	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	Department	4.342	0.749	79
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	13	0	Class	4.929	0.267	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	Department	4.367	0.865	79
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	14	0	Class	5.000	0.000	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.372	0.775	78
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	12	0	Class	4.857	0.363	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.304	0.806	79
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	14	0	Class	5.000	0.000	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.443	0.747	79
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	10	0	Class	4.714	0.469	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.241	0.788	79
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	13	0	Class	4.929	0.267	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	Department	4.430	0.692	79
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	4	10	0	Class	4.714	0.469	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.291	0.682	79
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	13	0	Class	4.929	0.267	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	Department	4.256	0.829	78
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	14	0	Class	5.000	0.000	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	7	0	Class	4.500	0.519	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.026	0.967	78
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	12	0	Class	4.857	0.363	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.291	0.787	79
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	4	10	0	Class	4.714	0.469	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.231	0.867	78
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	11	0	Class	4.786	0.426	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.0	Department	4.253	0.898	79
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	12	0	Class	4.857	0.363	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	11	0	Class	4.786	0.426	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.0	Department	4.329	0.812	79
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7  
 Your Dept ..... 79  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.342	0.749	79
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	0	6	0	Class	4.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	Department	4.367	0.865	79
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.372	0.775	78
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	1	5	0	Class	4.571	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.304	0.806	79
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.443	0.747	79
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.241	0.788	79
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.430	0.692	79
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.291	0.682	79
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.256	0.829	78
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	2	4	0	Class	4.286	1.113	7
	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.026	0.967	78
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.291	0.787	79
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.231	0.867	78
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	0	6	0	Class	4.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	Department	4.253	0.898	79
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	0	6	0	Class	4.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	Department	4.278	0.831	79
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.329	0.812	79
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: FOOD SAFETY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 39  
 Your Dept ..... 41  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	5	18	16	0	Class	4.282	0.686	39
	0.0	0.0	12.8	46.2	41.0	0.0	Department	4.293	0.680	41
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	17	19	0	Class	4.410	0.637	39
	0.0	0.0	7.7	43.6	48.7	0.0	Department	4.415	0.631	41
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	12	22	0	Class	4.410	0.785	39
	0.0	2.6	10.3	30.8	56.4	0.0	Department	4.415	0.774	41
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	5	17	17	0	Class	4.308	0.694	39
	0.0	0.0	12.8	43.6	43.6	0.0	Department	4.317	0.687	41
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	12	24	0	Class	4.538	0.643	39
	0.0	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.537	0.636	41
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	18	19	0	Class	4.436	0.598	39
	0.0	0.0	5.1	46.2	48.7	0.0	Department	4.439	0.594	41
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	12	20	0	Class	4.333	0.772	39
	0.0	0.0	17.9	30.8	51.3	0.0	Department	4.341	0.762	41
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	13	22	0	Class	4.462	0.682	39
	0.0	0.0	10.3	33.3	56.4	0.0	Department	4.463	0.674	41
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	5	11	22	0	Class	4.385	0.815	39
	0.0	2.6	12.8	28.2	56.4	0.0	Department	4.390	0.802	41
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	10	27	0	Class	4.641	0.584	39
	0.0	0.0	5.1	25.6	69.2	0.0	Department	4.634	0.581	41
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	12	23	0	Class	4.487	0.683	39
	0.0	0.0	10.3	30.8	59.0	0.0	Department	4.488	0.675	41
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	11	23	0	Class	4.462	0.720	39
	0.0	0.0	12.8	28.2	59.0	0.0	Department	4.463	0.711	41
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	7	27	0	Class	4.538	0.790	39
	0.0	2.6	10.3	17.9	69.2	0.0	Department	4.537	0.778	41
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	13	23	0	Class	4.513	0.644	39
	0.0	0.0	7.7	33.3	59.0	0.0	Department	4.512	0.637	41
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	12	24	0	Class	4.538	0.643	39
	0.0	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.537	0.636	41
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	9	9	21	0	Class	4.308	0.832	39
	0.0	0.0	23.1	23.1	53.8	0.0	Department	4.317	0.820	41
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 126  
 Your Dept ..... 172  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	14	55	53	0	Class	4.246	0.777	126
	0.0	3.2	11.1	43.7	42.1	0.0	Department	4.151	0.918	172
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	14	47	62	0	Class	4.333	0.769	126
	0.0	2.4	11.1	37.3	49.2	0.0	Department	4.221	0.897	172
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	15	55	52	0	Class	4.230	0.781	126
	0.0	3.2	11.9	43.7	41.3	0.0	Department	4.081	1.000	172
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	4	16	44	60	1	Class	4.264	0.863	125
	0.8	3.2	12.7	34.9	47.6	0.8	Department	4.170	0.964	171
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	53	67	1	Class	4.496	0.577	125
	0.0	0.0	4.0	42.1	53.2	0.8	Department	4.368	0.818	171
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	7	30	50	37	0	Class	3.897	0.945	126
	1.6	5.6	23.8	39.7	29.4	0.0	Department	3.855	1.007	172
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	13	58	54	0	Class	4.310	0.687	126
	0.0	0.8	10.3	46.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.273	0.780	172
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	13	63	46	0	Class	4.198	0.749	126
	0.0	3.2	10.3	50.0	36.5	0.0	Department	4.087	0.917	172
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	18	51	52	0	Class	4.183	0.852	126
	0.8	3.2	14.3	40.5	41.3	0.0	Department	4.023	1.076	172
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	8	52	65	0	Class	4.437	0.651	126
	0.0	0.8	6.3	41.3	51.6	0.0	Department	4.360	0.822	172
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	24	61	40	0	Class	4.111	0.729	126
	0.0	0.8	19.0	48.4	31.7	0.0	Department	4.064	0.788	172
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	19	59	47	1	Class	4.224	0.694	125
	0.0	0.0	15.1	46.8	37.3	0.8	Department	4.251	0.736	171
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	11	64	49	0	Class	4.262	0.718	126
	0.8	0.8	8.7	50.8	38.9	0.0	Department	4.228	0.834	171
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	15	57	49	1	Class	4.208	0.776	125
	0.0	3.2	11.9	45.2	38.9	0.8	Department	4.140	0.890	171
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	16	61	48	1	Class	4.256	0.671	125
	0.0	0.0	12.7	48.4	38.1	0.8	Department	4.251	0.736	171
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	13	56	54	1	Class	4.288	0.749	125
	0.8	0.8	10.3	44.4	42.9	0.8	Department	4.257	0.800	171
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7  
 Your Dept ..... 172  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	2	0	3	0	Class	3.571	1.397	7
	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.151	0.918	172
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	0	3	0	Class	3.714	1.254	7
	0.0	14.3	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.221	0.897	172
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	2	0	3	0	Class	3.571	1.397	7
	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.081	1.000	172
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	1	3	0	Class	3.857	1.215	7
	0.0	14.3	28.6	14.3	42.9	0.0	Department	4.170	0.964	171
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	0	5	0	Class	4.429	0.976	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.368	0.818	171
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	1	3	2	0	Class	3.714	1.380	7
	14.3	0.0	14.3	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	3.855	1.007	172
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	2	4	0	Class	4.286	1.113	7
	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.273	0.780	172
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	2	3	0	Class	4.000	1.155	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0	Department	4.087	0.917	172
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	1	1	1	2	0	Class	3.000	1.732	7
	28.6	14.3	14.3	14.3	28.6	0.0	Department	4.023	1.076	172
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	0	1	4	0	Class	4.000	1.414	7
	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.360	0.822	172
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.064	0.788	172
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.251	0.736	171
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.228	0.834	171
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	1	2	3	0	Class	3.857	1.464	7
	14.3	0.0	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0	Department	4.140	0.890	171
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	0	6	0	Class	4.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	Department	4.251	0.736	171
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	1	5	0	Class	4.571	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.257	0.800	171
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 159  
 Your Dept ..... 277  
 Your College .. 4809

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	4	43	108	1	Class	4.620	0.635	158
	0.0	1.9	2.5	27.0	67.9	0.6	Department	4.630	0.635	273
							College	4.316	0.860	4788
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	4	31	120	2	Class	4.713	0.578	157
	0.0	1.3	2.5	19.5	75.5	1.3	Department	4.723	0.572	271
							College	4.385	0.858	4773
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	31	121	2	Class	4.739	0.508	157
	0.0	0.0	3.1	19.5	76.1	1.3	Department	4.728	0.543	272
							College	4.338	0.888	4776
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	42	108	3	Class	4.654	0.552	156
	0.0	0.0	3.8	26.4	67.9	1.9	Department	4.670	0.571	270
							College	4.275	0.856	4771
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	5	36	115	1	Class	4.671	0.602	158
	0.0	1.3	3.1	22.6	72.3	0.6	Department	4.667	0.666	273
							College	4.444	0.805	4777
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	10	51	95	2	Class	4.522	0.675	157
	0.6	0.0	6.3	32.1	59.7	1.3	Department	4.581	0.672	272
							College	4.199	0.876	4771
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	36	113	3	Class	4.679	0.556	156
	0.0	0.0	4.4	22.6	71.1	1.9	Department	4.672	0.596	271
							College	4.385	0.769	4784
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	7	40	110	2	Class	4.656	0.563	157
	0.0	0.0	4.4	25.2	69.2	1.3	Department	4.654	0.600	272
							College	4.334	0.826	4776
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	7	36	114	1	Class	4.665	0.593	158
	0.0	0.6	4.4	22.6	71.7	0.6	Department	4.663	0.609	273
							College	4.279	0.911	4779
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	6	35	114	2	Class	4.662	0.616	157
	0.0	1.3	3.8	22.0	71.7	1.3	Department	4.658	0.669	272
							College	4.446	0.783	4778
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	17	49	90	1	Class	4.437	0.735	158
	0.0	1.3	10.7	30.8	56.6	0.6	Department	4.527	0.686	273
							College	4.257	0.790	4776
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	10	39	107	2	Class	4.605	0.638	157
	0.0	0.6	6.3	24.5	67.3	1.3	Department	4.647	0.614	272
							College	4.351	0.785	4773
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	9	40	104	3	Class	4.571	0.692	156
	0.0	1.9	5.7	25.2	65.4	1.9	Department	4.624	0.666	271
							College	4.331	0.844	4772
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	9	42	101	4	Class	4.555	0.695	155
	0.0	1.9	5.7	26.4	63.5	2.5	Department	4.611	0.668	270
							College	4.295	0.848	4771
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	43	106	3	Class	4.635	0.569	156
	0.0	0.0	4.4	27.0	66.7	1.9	Department	4.679	0.568	271
							College	4.379	0.756	4773
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	10	39	106	4	Class	4.619	0.606	155
	0.0	0.0	6.3	24.5	66.7	2.5	Department	4.648	0.602	270
							College	4.358	0.812	4766
							University	4.229	0.931	41422