

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 33  
 Your Dept ..... 116  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	1	9	20	1	Class	4.469	0.842	32
	0.0	6.1	3.0	27.3	60.6	3.0	Department	3.870	0.941	115
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	3	8	19	1	Class	4.375	0.907	32
	0.0	6.1	9.1	24.2	57.6	3.0	Department	3.965	0.917	115
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	4	6	17	2	Class	4.161	1.098	31
	0.0	12.1	12.1	18.2	51.5	6.1	Department	3.813	0.964	112
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	1	10	18	1	Class	4.344	0.937	32
	0.0	9.1	3.0	30.3	54.5	3.0	Department	3.922	0.860	115
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	2	6	21	1	Class	4.406	0.979	32
	0.0	9.1	6.1	18.2	63.6	3.0	Department	4.104	0.882	115
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	5	10	16	1	Class	4.250	0.950	32
	3.0	0.0	15.2	30.3	48.5	3.0	Department	4.212	0.749	113
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	11	18	1	Class	4.469	0.671	32
	0.0	0.0	9.1	33.3	54.5	3.0	Department	4.104	0.788	115
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	11	18	1	Class	4.438	0.759	32
	0.0	3.0	6.1	33.3	54.5	3.0	Department	4.017	0.848	115
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	1	10	19	1	Class	4.438	0.840	32
	0.0	6.1	3.0	30.3	57.6	3.0	Department	3.817	1.022	115
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	5	7	19	1	Class	4.375	0.871	32
	0.0	3.0	15.2	21.2	57.6	3.0	Department	4.165	0.826	115
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	16	13	1	Class	4.281	0.729	32
	0.0	3.0	6.1	48.5	39.4	3.0	Department	4.209	0.682	115
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	7	24	2	Class	4.774	0.425	31
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.2	72.7	6.1	Department	4.509	0.627	114
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	9	22	1	Class	4.656	0.545	32
	0.0	0.0	3.0	27.3	66.7	3.0	Department	4.296	0.725	115
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	11	17	1	Class	4.375	0.793	32
	0.0	3.0	9.1	33.3	51.5	3.0	Department	4.087	0.790	115
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	14	16	1	Class	4.438	0.619	32
	0.0	0.0	6.1	42.4	48.5	3.0	Department	4.157	0.683	115
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	11	18	1	Class	4.469	0.671	32
	0.0	0.0	9.1	33.3	54.5	3.0	Department	4.183	0.732	115
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 73  
 Your Dept ..... 116  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	11	14	37	11	0	Class	3.658	0.916	73
	0.0	15.1	19.2	50.7	15.1	0.0	Department	3.870	0.941	115
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	6	12	39	15	0	Class	3.836	0.898	73
	1.4	8.2	16.4	53.4	20.5	0.0	Department	3.965	0.917	115
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	6	22	30	13	1	Class	3.667	0.919	72
	1.4	8.2	30.1	41.1	17.8	1.4	Department	3.813	0.964	112
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	24	34	11	0	Class	3.712	0.790	73
	0.0	5.5	32.9	46.6	15.1	0.0	Department	3.922	0.860	115
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	5	11	37	20	0	Class	3.986	0.842	73
	0.0	6.8	15.1	50.7	27.4	0.0	Department	4.104	0.882	115
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	2	43	24	2	Class	4.254	0.648	71
	0.0	2.7	2.7	58.9	32.9	2.7	Department	4.212	0.749	113
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	10	39	20	0	Class	4.027	0.799	73
	0.0	5.5	13.7	53.4	27.4	0.0	Department	4.104	0.788	115
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	18	32	19	0	Class	3.904	0.853	73
	0.0	5.5	24.7	43.8	26.0	0.0	Department	4.017	0.848	115
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	12	17	28	15	0	Class	3.603	1.037	73
	1.4	16.4	23.3	38.4	20.5	0.0	Department	3.817	1.022	115
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	6	41	22	0	Class	4.096	0.819	73
	1.4	4.1	8.2	56.2	30.1	0.0	Department	4.165	0.826	115
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	2	47	22	0	Class	4.219	0.629	73
	0.0	2.7	2.7	64.4	30.1	0.0	Department	4.209	0.682	115
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	30	39	0	Class	4.479	0.603	73
	0.0	0.0	5.5	41.1	53.4	0.0	Department	4.509	0.627	114
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	8	40	24	0	Class	4.178	0.733	73
	1.4	0.0	11.0	54.8	32.9	0.0	Department	4.296	0.725	115
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	9	45	16	0	Class	4.000	0.764	73
	1.4	2.7	12.3	61.6	21.9	0.0	Department	4.087	0.790	115
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	43	18	0	Class	4.068	0.673	73
	0.0	1.4	15.1	58.9	24.7	0.0	Department	4.157	0.683	115
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	8	43	19	0	Class	4.068	0.733	73
	0.0	4.1	11.0	58.9	26.0	0.0	Department	4.183	0.732	115
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 10  
 Your Dept ..... 116  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	5	5	0	0	Class	3.500	0.527	10
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.870	0.941	115
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	7	0	0	Class	3.600	0.699	10
	0.0	10.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.965	0.917	115
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	7	0	1	Class	3.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	10.0	Department	3.813	0.964	112
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	7	2	0	Class	4.100	0.568	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.922	0.860	115
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.104	0.882	115
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	6	1	0	Class	3.800	0.632	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	Department	4.212	0.749	113
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	5	5	0	0	Class	3.500	0.527	10
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.104	0.788	115
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	5	0	0	Class	3.500	0.527	10
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.017	0.848	115
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	6	4	0	0	Class	3.400	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.817	1.022	115
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.165	0.826	115
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	3	3	0	Class	3.900	0.876	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.209	0.682	115
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	3	3	0	Class	3.900	0.876	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.509	0.627	114
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	4	3	0	Class	4.000	0.816	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.296	0.725	115
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	4	2	0	Class	3.800	0.789	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.087	0.790	115
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	5	2	0	Class	3.900	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.157	0.683	115
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.183	0.732	115
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 116  
 Your Dept ..... 738  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	8	50	55	2	Class	4.395	0.660	114
	0.0	0.9	6.9	43.1	47.4	1.7	Department	4.155	0.871	734
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	7	48	57	2	Class	4.404	0.688	114
	0.0	1.7	6.0	41.4	49.1	1.7	Department	4.148	0.956	732
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	5	11	44	50	3	Class	4.177	0.966	113
	2.6	4.3	9.5	37.9	43.1	2.6	Department	4.018	0.951	731
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	8	62	42	2	Class	4.263	0.666	114
	0.0	1.7	6.9	53.4	36.2	1.7	Department	4.094	0.865	734
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	6	53	53	2	Class	4.377	0.670	114
	0.0	1.7	5.2	45.7	45.7	1.7	Department	4.309	0.804	731
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	8	56	49	2	Class	4.342	0.649	114
	0.0	0.9	6.9	48.3	42.2	1.7	Department	4.115	0.782	731
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	66	41	2	Class	4.298	0.579	114
	0.0	0.0	6.0	56.9	35.3	1.7	Department	4.209	0.817	733
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	57	51	2	Class	4.386	0.617	114
	0.0	0.9	4.3	49.1	44.0	1.7	Department	4.250	0.801	733
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	15	53	44	3	Class	4.239	0.711	113
	0.0	0.9	12.9	45.7	37.9	2.6	Department	4.121	0.941	733
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	6	58	50	2	Class	4.386	0.588	114
	0.0	0.0	5.2	50.0	43.1	1.7	Department	4.356	0.746	730
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	6	62	45	2	Class	4.316	0.656	114
	0.9	0.0	5.2	53.4	38.8	1.7	Department	4.233	0.723	733
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	16	47	51	2	Class	4.307	0.706	114
	0.0	0.0	13.8	40.5	44.0	1.7	Department	4.342	0.771	732
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	7	54	52	2	Class	4.377	0.643	114
	0.0	0.9	6.0	46.6	44.8	1.7	Department	4.224	0.926	732
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	13	61	39	2	Class	4.211	0.671	114
	0.0	0.9	11.2	52.6	33.6	1.7	Department	4.130	0.875	731
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	10	63	39	3	Class	4.239	0.645	113
	0.0	0.9	8.6	54.3	33.6	2.6	Department	4.252	0.753	730
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	11	57	43	3	Class	4.248	0.701	113
	0.0	1.7	9.5	49.1	37.1	2.6	Department	4.207	0.818	730
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 619  
 Your Dept ..... 738  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	24	81	273	228	2	Class	4.107	0.898	617
	1.8	3.9	13.1	44.1	36.8	0.3	Department	4.155	0.871	734
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	15	31	92	219	258	4	Class	4.096	0.991	615
	2.4	5.0	14.9	35.4	41.7	0.6	Department	4.148	0.956	732
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	29	121	248	206	3	Class	3.985	0.946	616
	1.9	4.7	19.5	40.1	33.3	0.5	Department	4.018	0.951	731
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	13	21	88	288	207	2	Class	4.062	0.895	617
	2.1	3.4	14.2	46.5	33.4	0.3	Department	4.094	0.865	734
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	14	44	263	284	4	Class	4.296	0.827	615
	1.6	2.3	7.1	42.5	45.9	0.6	Department	4.309	0.804	731
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	16	85	324	182	5	Class	4.072	0.798	614
	1.1	2.6	13.7	52.3	29.4	0.8	Department	4.115	0.782	731
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	9	22	57	284	244	3	Class	4.188	0.854	616
	1.5	3.6	9.2	45.9	39.4	0.5	Department	4.209	0.817	733
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	19	65	267	259	3	Class	4.224	0.829	616
	1.0	3.1	10.5	43.1	41.8	0.5	Department	4.250	0.801	733
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	14	32	87	231	253	2	Class	4.097	0.977	617
	2.3	5.2	14.1	37.3	40.9	0.3	Department	4.121	0.941	733
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	16	29	269	294	5	Class	4.350	0.772	614
	1.0	2.6	4.7	43.5	47.5	0.8	Department	4.356	0.746	730
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	10	60	318	225	2	Class	4.216	0.735	617
	0.6	1.6	9.7	51.4	36.3	0.3	Department	4.233	0.723	733
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	8	59	235	307	4	Class	4.348	0.784	615
	1.0	1.3	9.5	38.0	49.6	0.6	Department	4.342	0.771	732
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	19	23	57	238	279	3	Class	4.193	0.968	616
	3.1	3.7	9.2	38.4	45.1	0.5	Department	4.224	0.926	732
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	21	93	253	237	4	Class	4.112	0.907	615
	1.8	3.4	15.0	40.9	38.3	0.6	Department	4.130	0.875	731
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	10	59	288	251	5	Class	4.251	0.772	614
	1.0	1.6	9.5	46.5	40.5	0.8	Department	4.252	0.753	730
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	16	65	279	245	5	Class	4.197	0.839	614
	1.5	2.6	10.5	45.1	39.6	0.8	Department	4.207	0.818	730
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 163  
 Your Dept ..... 487  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	10	22	70	59	2	Class	4.106	0.863	161
	0.0	6.1	13.5	42.9	36.2	1.2	Department	4.123	0.853	479
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	5	22	64	70	2	Class	4.236	0.802	161
	0.0	3.1	13.5	39.3	42.9	1.2	Department	4.200	0.847	479
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	7	30	59	63	2	Class	4.081	0.929	161
	1.2	4.3	18.4	36.2	38.7	1.2	Department	4.177	0.817	480
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	1	10	23	72	55	2	Class	4.056	0.889	161
	0.6	6.1	14.1	44.2	33.7	1.2	Department	4.075	0.868	480
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	3	15	51	89	3	Class	4.388	0.832	160
	1.2	1.8	9.2	31.3	54.6	1.8	Department	4.184	0.915	479
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	7	19	82	52	2	Class	4.099	0.816	161
	0.6	4.3	11.7	50.3	31.9	1.2	Department	4.128	0.779	478
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	14	83	62	2	Class	4.267	0.696	161
	0.6	0.6	8.6	50.9	38.0	1.2	Department	4.254	0.730	480
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	8	17	77	58	2	Class	4.137	0.840	161
	0.6	4.9	10.4	47.2	35.6	1.2	Department	4.194	0.775	479
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	9	20	83	48	2	Class	4.043	0.839	161
	0.6	5.5	12.3	50.9	29.4	1.2	Department	4.125	0.779	480
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	13	71	73	2	Class	4.317	0.754	161
	0.6	1.8	8.0	43.6	44.8	1.2	Department	4.217	0.839	480
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	19	91	48	2	Class	4.143	0.688	161
	0.0	1.8	11.7	55.8	29.4	1.2	Department	4.131	0.679	480
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	25	75	55	2	Class	4.106	0.819	161
	0.6	3.1	15.3	46.0	33.7	1.2	Department	4.183	0.794	480
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	6	22	78	52	2	Class	4.056	0.882	161
	1.8	3.7	13.5	47.9	31.9	1.2	Department	4.155	0.807	478
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	6	18	81	54	2	Class	4.112	0.837	161
	1.2	3.7	11.0	49.7	33.1	1.2	Department	4.096	0.845	479
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	33	74	52	2	Class	4.093	0.757	161
	0.0	1.2	20.2	45.4	31.9	1.2	Department	4.203	0.731	479
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	20	76	62	2	Class	4.217	0.756	161
	0.6	1.2	12.3	46.6	38.0	1.2	Department	4.165	0.854	478
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 30  
 Your Dept ..... 487  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	13	14	0	Class	4.333	0.758	30
	0.0	3.3	6.7	43.3	46.7	0.0	Department	4.123	0.853	479
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	4	12	13	0	Class	4.233	0.817	30
	0.0	3.3	13.3	40.0	43.3	0.0	Department	4.200	0.847	479
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	13	12	0	Class	4.200	0.805	30
	0.0	3.3	13.3	43.3	40.0	0.0	Department	4.177	0.817	480
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	1	12	15	0	Class	4.333	0.844	30
	0.0	6.7	3.3	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.075	0.868	480
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	13	15	0	Class	4.400	0.724	30
	0.0	3.3	3.3	43.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.184	0.915	479
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	15	12	0	Class	4.300	0.651	30
	0.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.128	0.779	478
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	5	9	14	0	Class	4.167	0.950	30
	0.0	6.7	16.7	30.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.254	0.730	480
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	11	18	0	Class	4.567	0.568	30
	0.0	0.0	3.3	36.7	60.0	0.0	Department	4.194	0.775	479
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	15	13	0	Class	4.333	0.711	30
	0.0	3.3	3.3	50.0	43.3	0.0	Department	4.125	0.779	480
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	12	16	0	Class	4.467	0.629	30
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.217	0.839	480
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	21	5	0	Class	4.000	0.643	30
	0.0	3.3	10.0	70.0	16.7	0.0	Department	4.131	0.679	480
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	10	16	0	Class	4.400	0.724	30
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.183	0.794	480
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	3	9	16	0	Class	4.300	0.915	30
	0.0	6.7	10.0	30.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.155	0.807	478
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	4	11	13	0	Class	4.167	0.913	30
	0.0	6.7	13.3	36.7	43.3	0.0	Department	4.096	0.845	479
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	13	12	0	Class	4.200	0.805	30
	0.0	3.3	13.3	43.3	40.0	0.0	Department	4.203	0.731	479
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	9	5	15	0	Class	4.133	0.973	30
	0.0	3.3	30.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.165	0.854	478
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 340  
 Your Dept ..... 975  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	27	57	129	102	15	Class	3.880	1.043	325
	2.9	7.9	16.8	37.9	30.0	4.4	Department	4.074	0.959	927
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	22	72	109	114	15	Class	3.920	1.030	325
	2.4	6.5	21.2	32.1	33.5	4.4	Department	4.159	0.943	927
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	9	25	63	125	102	16	Class	3.883	1.028	324
	2.6	7.4	18.5	36.8	30.0	4.7	Department	4.082	0.956	926
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	10	16	76	132	89	17	Class	3.848	0.984	323
	2.9	4.7	22.4	38.8	26.2	5.0	Department	4.040	0.918	923
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	11	19	40	133	120	17	Class	4.028	1.020	323
	3.2	5.6	11.8	39.1	35.3	5.0	Department	4.236	0.934	925
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	26	57	144	92	18	Class	3.919	0.930	322
	0.9	7.6	16.8	42.4	27.1	5.3	Department	4.004	0.876	923
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	8	50	159	96	17	Class	4.000	0.912	323
	2.9	2.4	14.7	46.8	28.2	5.0	Department	4.222	0.810	925
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	12	53	149	105	15	Class	4.031	0.895	325
	1.8	3.5	15.6	43.8	30.9	4.4	Department	4.206	0.834	926
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	28	56	131	99	17	Class	3.876	1.032	323
	2.6	8.2	16.5	38.5	29.1	5.0	Department	4.051	0.961	924
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	12	13	30	138	131	16	Class	4.120	0.990	324
	3.5	3.8	8.8	40.6	38.5	4.7	Department	4.245	0.894	925
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	16	69	144	92	17	Class	3.954	0.867	323
	0.6	4.7	20.3	42.4	27.1	5.0	Department	4.099	0.816	923
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	16	47	118	137	17	Class	4.133	0.945	323
	1.5	4.7	13.8	34.7	40.3	5.0	Department	4.261	0.856	924
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	18	44	133	122	17	Class	4.074	0.949	323
	1.8	5.3	12.9	39.1	35.9	5.0	Department	4.254	0.855	924
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	23	60	121	108	17	Class	3.904	1.052	323
	3.2	6.8	17.6	35.6	31.8	5.0	Department	4.073	0.950	923
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	7	53	145	111	18	Class	4.081	0.872	322
	1.8	2.1	15.6	42.6	32.6	5.3	Department	4.259	0.784	923
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	14	50	152	99	17	Class	3.991	0.927	323
	2.4	4.1	14.7	44.7	29.1	5.0	Department	4.149	0.921	925
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 592  
 Your Dept ..... 975  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	23	82	219	238	23	Class	4.156	0.901	569
	1.2	3.9	13.9	37.0	40.2	3.9	Department	4.074	0.959	927
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	25	64	201	275	23	Class	4.262	0.876	569
	0.7	4.2	10.8	34.0	46.5	3.9	Department	4.159	0.943	927
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	31	78	213	243	23	Class	4.160	0.906	569
	0.7	5.2	13.2	36.0	41.0	3.9	Department	4.082	0.956	926
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	4	24	86	236	217	25	Class	4.125	0.868	567
	0.7	4.1	14.5	39.9	36.7	4.2	Department	4.040	0.918	923
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	21	61	175	307	23	Class	4.332	0.874	569
	0.8	3.5	10.3	29.6	51.9	3.9	Department	4.236	0.934	925
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	23	99	273	168	24	Class	4.014	0.844	568
	0.8	3.9	16.7	46.1	28.4	4.1	Department	4.004	0.876	923
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	11	50	254	253	23	Class	4.313	0.727	569
	0.2	1.9	8.4	42.9	42.7	3.9	Department	4.222	0.810	925
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	14	63	230	259	24	Class	4.285	0.785	568
	0.3	2.4	10.6	38.9	43.8	4.1	Department	4.206	0.834	926
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	19	95	214	231	24	Class	4.125	0.914	568
	1.5	3.2	16.0	36.1	39.0	4.1	Department	4.051	0.961	924
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	17	59	208	280	24	Class	4.308	0.828	568
	0.7	2.9	10.0	35.1	47.3	4.1	Department	4.245	0.894	925
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	16	80	265	205	25	Class	4.159	0.779	567
	0.2	2.7	13.5	44.8	34.6	4.2	Department	4.099	0.816	923
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	10	78	193	285	24	Class	4.319	0.800	568
	0.3	1.7	13.2	32.6	48.1	4.1	Department	4.261	0.856	924
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	15	50	219	282	24	Class	4.345	0.777	568
	0.3	2.5	8.4	37.0	47.6	4.1	Department	4.254	0.855	924
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	27	82	228	228	24	Class	4.146	0.874	568
	0.5	4.6	13.9	38.5	38.5	4.1	Department	4.073	0.950	923
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	6	54	242	264	24	Class	4.338	0.721	568
	0.3	1.0	9.1	40.9	44.6	4.1	Department	4.259	0.784	923
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	26	60	219	255	23	Class	4.204	0.916	569
	1.5	4.4	10.1	37.0	43.1	3.9	Department	4.149	0.921	925
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 43  
 Your Dept ..... 975  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	8	22	10	Class	4.576	0.663	33
	0.0	0.0	7.0	18.6	51.2	23.3	Department	4.074	0.959	927
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	7	25	10	Class	4.727	0.517	33
	0.0	0.0	2.3	16.3	58.1	23.3	Department	4.159	0.943	927
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	24	10	Class	4.697	0.529	33
	0.0	0.0	2.3	18.6	55.8	23.3	Department	4.082	0.956	926
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	11	19	10	Class	4.455	0.754	33
	0.0	2.3	4.7	25.6	44.2	23.3	Department	4.040	0.918	923
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	7	23	10	Class	4.606	0.659	33
	0.0	0.0	7.0	16.3	53.5	23.3	Department	4.236	0.934	925
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	9	23	10	Class	4.667	0.540	33
	0.0	0.0	2.3	20.9	53.5	23.3	Department	4.004	0.876	923
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	27	10	Class	4.818	0.392	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	62.8	23.3	Department	4.222	0.810	925
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	6	23	10	Class	4.576	0.708	33
	0.0	0.0	9.3	14.0	53.5	23.3	Department	4.206	0.834	926
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	9	20	10	Class	4.485	0.712	33
	0.0	0.0	9.3	20.9	46.5	23.3	Department	4.051	0.961	924
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	5	21	10	Class	4.394	0.899	33
	0.0	2.3	14.0	11.6	48.8	23.3	Department	4.245	0.894	925
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	11	19	10	Class	4.485	0.667	33
	0.0	0.0	7.0	25.6	44.2	23.3	Department	4.099	0.816	923
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	1	11	20	10	Class	4.515	0.712	33
	0.0	2.3	2.3	25.6	46.5	23.3	Department	4.261	0.856	924
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	3	6	22	10	Class	4.455	0.905	33
	0.0	4.7	7.0	14.0	51.2	23.3	Department	4.254	0.855	924
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	5	22	11	Class	4.469	0.915	32
	0.0	4.7	7.0	11.6	51.2	25.6	Department	4.073	0.950	923
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	10	22	10	Class	4.636	0.549	33
	0.0	0.0	2.3	23.3	51.2	23.3	Department	4.259	0.784	923
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	26	10	Class	4.758	0.502	33
	0.0	0.0	2.3	14.0	60.5	23.3	Department	4.149	0.921	925
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 73  
 Your Dept ..... 553  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	35	35	0	Class	4.438	0.577	73
	0.0	0.0	4.1	47.9	47.9	0.0	Department	4.398	0.742	543
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	5	33	34	0	Class	4.370	0.677	73
	0.0	1.4	6.8	45.2	46.6	0.0	Department	4.458	0.730	542
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	17	55	0	Class	4.740	0.472	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	23.3	75.3	0.0	Department	4.449	0.762	543
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	38	31	0	Class	4.370	0.589	73
	0.0	0.0	5.5	52.1	42.5	0.0	Department	4.200	0.829	544
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	16	55	0	Class	4.726	0.507	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	21.9	75.3	0.0	Department	4.603	0.646	542
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	24	48	0	Class	4.644	0.510	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	32.9	65.8	0.0	Department	4.508	0.739	543
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	30	41	0	Class	4.534	0.555	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	41.1	56.2	0.0	Department	4.399	0.771	544
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	22	46	0	Class	4.548	0.668	73
	0.0	1.4	5.5	30.1	63.0	0.0	Department	4.354	0.834	542
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	24	46	0	Class	4.589	0.573	73
	0.0	0.0	4.1	32.9	63.0	0.0	Department	4.420	0.788	543
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	15	56	0	Class	4.740	0.501	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	20.5	76.7	0.0	Department	4.474	0.782	542
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	24	46	0	Class	4.575	0.622	73
	0.0	1.4	2.7	32.9	63.0	0.0	Department	4.488	0.716	543
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	16	56	0	Class	4.753	0.465	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	21.9	76.7	0.0	Department	4.343	0.784	542
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	23	49	0	Class	4.658	0.506	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	31.5	67.1	0.0	Department	4.326	0.850	543
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	24	42	0	Class	4.479	0.669	73
	0.0	0.0	9.6	32.9	57.5	0.0	Department	4.298	0.824	543
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	29	37	0	Class	4.411	0.663	73
	0.0	0.0	9.6	39.7	50.7	0.0	Department	4.304	0.772	542
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	25	46	0	Class	4.603	0.546	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	34.2	63.0	0.0	Department	4.349	0.845	544
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 480  
 Your Dept ..... 553  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	5	42	171	248	10	Class	4.391	0.764	470
	0.8	1.0	8.8	35.6	51.7	2.1	Department	4.398	0.742	543
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	4	27	162	271	11	Class	4.471	0.738	469
	1.0	0.8	5.6	33.8	56.5	2.3	Department	4.458	0.730	542
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	9	32	169	255	10	Class	4.404	0.788	470
	1.0	1.9	6.7	35.2	53.1	2.1	Department	4.449	0.762	543
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	5	14	67	193	192	9	Class	4.174	0.858	471
	1.0	2.9	14.0	40.2	40.0	1.9	Department	4.200	0.829	544
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	0	16	143	305	11	Class	4.584	0.663	469
	1.0	0.0	3.3	29.8	63.5	2.3	Department	4.603	0.646	542
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	7	28	144	286	10	Class	4.487	0.766	470
	1.0	1.5	5.8	30.0	59.6	2.1	Department	4.508	0.739	543
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	6	10	28	183	244	9	Class	4.378	0.797	471
	1.3	2.1	5.8	38.1	50.8	1.9	Department	4.399	0.771	544
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	15	38	172	238	11	Class	4.324	0.853	469
	1.3	3.1	7.9	35.8	49.6	2.3	Department	4.354	0.834	542
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	15	30	164	257	10	Class	4.394	0.813	470
	0.8	3.1	6.3	34.2	53.5	2.1	Department	4.420	0.788	543
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	12	30	150	272	11	Class	4.433	0.810	469
	1.0	2.5	6.3	31.3	56.7	2.3	Department	4.474	0.782	542
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	2	30	161	272	10	Class	4.474	0.729	470
	1.0	0.4	6.3	33.5	56.7	2.1	Department	4.488	0.716	543
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	5	65	177	218	11	Class	4.279	0.804	469
	0.8	1.0	13.5	36.9	45.4	2.3	Department	4.343	0.784	542
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	9	54	170	228	10	Class	4.274	0.880	470
	1.9	1.9	11.3	35.4	47.5	2.1	Department	4.326	0.850	543
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	9	63	170	223	10	Class	4.270	0.842	470
	1.0	1.9	13.1	35.4	46.5	2.1	Department	4.298	0.824	543
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	4	55	192	213	11	Class	4.288	0.787	469
	1.0	0.8	11.5	40.0	44.4	2.3	Department	4.304	0.772	542
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	16	40	169	239	9	Class	4.310	0.876	471
	1.5	3.3	8.3	35.2	49.8	1.9	Department	4.349	0.845	544
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 457  
 Your Dept ..... 465  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	32	56	202	156	2	Class	4.020	0.963	455
	2.0	7.0	12.3	44.2	34.1	0.4	Department	4.013	0.974	463
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	14	25	65	175	176	2	Class	4.042	1.013	455
	3.1	5.5	14.2	38.3	38.5	0.4	Department	4.032	1.022	463
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	41	80	166	156	2	Class	3.908	1.054	455
	2.6	9.0	17.5	36.3	34.1	0.4	Department	3.901	1.060	463
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	9	24	63	200	157	4	Class	4.042	0.936	453
	2.0	5.3	13.8	43.8	34.4	0.9	Department	4.041	0.944	461
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	17	55	173	197	3	Class	4.159	0.959	454
	2.6	3.7	12.0	37.9	43.1	0.7	Department	4.160	0.959	462
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	17	59	197	171	5	Class	4.119	0.898	452
	1.8	3.7	12.9	43.1	37.4	1.1	Department	4.109	0.908	460
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	28	62	206	157	3	Class	4.079	0.863	454
	0.2	6.1	13.6	45.1	34.4	0.7	Department	4.069	0.874	462
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	24	54	199	170	2	Class	4.097	0.924	455
	1.8	5.3	11.8	43.5	37.2	0.4	Department	4.091	0.935	463
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	43	63	172	170	4	Class	4.013	0.998	453
	1.1	9.4	13.8	37.6	37.2	0.9	Department	4.007	1.012	461
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	19	43	191	197	2	Class	4.222	0.864	455
	1.1	4.2	9.4	41.8	43.1	0.4	Department	4.222	0.860	463
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	10	54	221	166	2	Class	4.176	0.788	455
	0.9	2.2	11.8	48.4	36.3	0.4	Department	4.171	0.800	463
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	12	92	184	162	2	Class	4.068	0.872	455
	1.1	2.6	20.1	40.3	35.4	0.4	Department	4.069	0.875	463
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	22	74	185	164	3	Class	4.042	0.947	454
	2.0	4.8	16.2	40.5	35.9	0.7	Department	4.048	0.944	462
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13	20	72	199	149	4	Class	3.996	0.962	453
	2.8	4.4	15.8	43.5	32.6	0.9	Department	3.991	0.971	461
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	7	76	210	158	2	Class	4.123	0.801	455
	0.9	1.5	16.6	46.0	34.6	0.4	Department	4.114	0.812	463
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	17	74	193	165	4	Class	4.099	0.865	453
	0.9	3.7	16.2	42.2	36.1	0.9	Department	4.091	0.875	461
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 626  
 Your Dept ..... 1999  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	47	71	248	246	5	Class	4.087	0.968	621
	1.4	7.5	11.3	39.6	39.3	0.8	Department	4.161	0.932	1961
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	13	34	82	203	288	6	Class	4.160	0.990	620
	2.1	5.4	13.1	32.4	46.0	1.0	Department	4.215	0.949	1958
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	36	91	241	239	6	Class	4.060	0.975	620
	2.1	5.8	14.5	38.5	38.2	1.0	Department	4.139	0.967	1955
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	7	34	75	277	226	7	Class	4.100	0.894	619
	1.1	5.4	12.0	44.2	36.1	1.1	Department	4.137	0.898	1950
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	13	31	94	242	238	8	Class	4.070	0.961	618
	2.1	5.0	15.0	38.7	38.0	1.3	Department	4.200	0.930	1946
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	13	22	83	311	192	5	Class	4.042	0.879	621
	2.1	3.5	13.3	49.7	30.7	0.8	Department	4.172	0.808	1951
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	27	56	257	273	6	Class	4.229	0.869	620
	1.1	4.3	8.9	41.1	43.6	1.0	Department	4.281	0.848	1955
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	23	43	272	276	4	Class	4.262	0.842	622
	1.3	3.7	6.9	43.5	44.1	0.6	Department	4.289	0.836	1956
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	17	34	89	247	233	6	Class	4.040	0.993	620
	2.7	5.4	14.2	39.5	37.2	1.0	Department	4.152	0.979	1957
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	21	55	261	277	9	Class	4.277	0.801	617
	0.5	3.4	8.8	41.7	44.2	1.4	Department	4.287	0.852	1956
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	19	81	321	195	5	Class	4.098	0.794	621
	0.8	3.0	12.9	51.3	31.2	0.8	Department	4.221	0.773	1958
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	7	14	101	264	235	5	Class	4.137	0.846	621
	1.1	2.2	16.1	42.2	37.5	0.8	Department	4.254	0.827	1956
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	13	33	104	244	228	4	Class	4.031	0.967	622
	2.1	5.3	16.6	39.0	36.4	0.6	Department	4.157	0.931	1957
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	46	102	246	217	4	Class	3.984	0.984	622
	1.8	7.3	16.3	39.3	34.7	0.6	Department	4.123	0.938	1956
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	4	62	280	272	5	Class	4.311	0.715	621
	0.5	0.6	9.9	44.7	43.5	0.8	Department	4.343	0.757	1956
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	22	60	267	265	6	Class	4.231	0.837	620
	1.0	3.5	9.6	42.7	42.3	1.0	Department	4.241	0.874	1955
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1322  
 Your Dept ..... 1999  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	18	64	147	494	573	26	Class	4.188	0.918	1296
	1.4	4.8	11.1	37.4	43.3	2.0	Department	4.161	0.932	1961
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	19	59	163	420	633	28	Class	4.228	0.937	1294
	1.4	4.5	12.3	31.8	47.9	2.1	Department	4.215	0.949	1958
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	26	63	168	449	585	31	Class	4.165	0.966	1291
	2.0	4.8	12.7	34.0	44.3	2.3	Department	4.139	0.967	1955
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	18	55	173	516	525	35	Class	4.146	0.905	1287
	1.4	4.2	13.1	39.0	39.7	2.6	Department	4.137	0.898	1950
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	25	46	128	471	615	37	Class	4.249	0.914	1285
	1.9	3.5	9.7	35.6	46.5	2.8	Department	4.200	0.930	1946
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	23	138	610	505	36	Class	4.226	0.769	1286
	0.8	1.7	10.4	46.1	38.2	2.7	Department	4.172	0.808	1951
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	16	42	103	513	617	31	Class	4.296	0.844	1291
	1.2	3.2	7.8	38.8	46.7	2.3	Department	4.281	0.848	1955
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	13	42	113	507	615	32	Class	4.294	0.836	1290
	1.0	3.2	8.5	38.4	46.5	2.4	Department	4.289	0.836	1956
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	29	70	133	456	605	29	Class	4.189	0.977	1293
	2.2	5.3	10.1	34.5	45.8	2.2	Department	4.152	0.979	1957
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	20	46	113	487	629	27	Class	4.281	0.881	1295
	1.5	3.5	8.5	36.8	47.6	2.0	Department	4.287	0.852	1956
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	33	119	589	547	29	Class	4.268	0.762	1293
	0.4	2.5	9.0	44.6	41.4	2.2	Department	4.221	0.773	1958
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	14	19	157	488	613	31	Class	4.291	0.818	1291
	1.1	1.4	11.9	36.9	46.4	2.3	Department	4.254	0.827	1956
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	29	43	128	525	566	31	Class	4.205	0.912	1291
	2.2	3.3	9.7	39.7	42.8	2.3	Department	4.157	0.931	1957
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	26	44	152	515	553	32	Class	4.182	0.912	1290
	2.0	3.3	11.5	39.0	41.8	2.4	Department	4.123	0.938	1956
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	16	17	97	527	634	31	Class	4.352	0.779	1291
	1.2	1.3	7.3	39.9	48.0	2.3	Department	4.343	0.757	1956
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	26	44	116	520	585	31	Class	4.235	0.898	1291
	2.0	3.3	8.8	39.3	44.3	2.3	Department	4.241	0.874	1955
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 51  
 Your Dept ..... 1999  
 Your College .. 5485

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	18	22	7	Class	4.386	0.722	44
	0.0	2.0	5.9	35.3	43.1	13.7	Department	4.161	0.932	1961
							College	4.152	0.912	5368
							University	4.174	0.979	41600
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	16	27	7	Class	4.591	0.542	44
	0.0	0.0	2.0	31.4	52.9	13.7	Department	4.215	0.949	1958
							College	4.201	0.931	5362
							University	4.236	0.983	41483
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	14	26	7	Class	4.477	0.731	44
	0.0	2.0	5.9	27.5	51.0	13.7	Department	4.139	0.967	1955
							College	4.121	0.950	5356
							University	4.197	1.001	41541
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	19	22	7	Class	4.409	0.693	44
	0.0	2.0	3.9	37.3	43.1	13.7	Department	4.137	0.898	1950
							College	4.110	0.890	5353
							University	4.146	0.957	41442
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	11	30	8	Class	4.628	0.655	43
	0.0	2.0	2.0	21.6	58.8	15.7	Department	4.200	0.930	1946
							College	4.268	0.892	5346
							University	4.331	0.894	41481
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	19	22	7	Class	4.432	0.625	44
	0.0	0.0	5.9	37.3	43.1	13.7	Department	4.172	0.808	1951
							College	4.170	0.822	5343
							University	4.147	0.920	41457
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	16	27	7	Class	4.591	0.542	44
	0.0	0.0	2.0	31.4	52.9	13.7	Department	4.281	0.848	1955
							College	4.253	0.821	5360
							University	4.252	0.903	41571
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	6	9	29	7	Class	4.523	0.731	44
	0.0	0.0	11.8	17.6	56.9	13.7	Department	4.289	0.836	1956
							College	4.251	0.833	5360
							University	4.257	0.897	41538
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	13	29	7	Class	4.614	0.579	44
	0.0	0.0	3.9	25.5	56.9	13.7	Department	4.152	0.979	1957
							College	4.144	0.942	5359
							University	4.176	0.987	41541
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	12	29	7	Class	4.591	0.622	44
	0.0	0.0	5.9	23.5	56.9	13.7	Department	4.287	0.852	1956
							College	4.304	0.836	5357
							University	4.357	0.852	41518
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	18	25	7	Class	4.545	0.548	44
	0.0	0.0	2.0	35.3	49.0	13.7	Department	4.221	0.773	1958
							College	4.225	0.765	5361
							University	4.213	0.839	41519
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	7	36	7	Class	4.795	0.462	44
	0.0	0.0	2.0	13.7	70.6	13.7	Department	4.254	0.827	1956
							College	4.267	0.819	5357
							University	4.280	0.861	41497
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	12	28	7	Class	4.523	0.731	44
	0.0	2.0	5.9	23.5	54.9	13.7	Department	4.157	0.931	1957
							College	4.204	0.893	5357
							University	4.229	0.938	41505
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	6	14	23	7	Class	4.341	0.805	44
	0.0	2.0	11.8	27.5	45.1	13.7	Department	4.123	0.938	1956
							College	4.126	0.913	5354
							University	4.174	0.963	41503
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	13	27	7	Class	4.523	0.664	44
	0.0	0.0	7.8	25.5	52.9	13.7	Department	4.343	0.757	1956
							College	4.278	0.765	5354
							University	4.294	0.843	41513
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	14	28	7	Class	4.591	0.583	44
	0.0	0.0	3.9	27.5	54.9	13.7	Department	4.241	0.874	1955
							College	4.217	0.868	5354
							University	4.229	0.931	41422