

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 209
 Your Dept 717
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	6	28	86	83	2	Class	4.150	0.899	207
	1.9	2.9	13.4	41.1	39.7	1.0	Department	4.273	0.879	713
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	6	26	80	91	2	Class	4.198	0.905	207
	1.9	2.9	12.4	38.3	43.5	1.0	Department	4.309	0.867	712
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	3	30	73	96	2	Class	4.217	0.917	207
	2.4	1.4	14.4	34.9	45.9	1.0	Department	4.246	0.915	710
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	3	4	31	86	81	4	Class	4.161	0.857	205
	1.4	1.9	14.8	41.1	38.8	1.9	Department	4.292	0.860	710
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	1	16	82	103	2	Class	4.338	0.837	207
	2.4	0.5	7.7	39.2	49.3	1.0	Department	4.450	0.770	709
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	7	29	91	76	2	Class	4.101	0.900	207
	1.9	3.3	13.9	43.5	36.4	1.0	Department	4.199	0.863	709
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	5	22	87	88	2	Class	4.198	0.900	207
	2.4	2.4	10.5	41.6	42.1	1.0	Department	4.346	0.813	711
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	2	30	92	79	2	Class	4.159	0.847	207
	1.9	1.0	14.4	44.0	37.8	1.0	Department	4.297	0.859	711
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	6	25	86	86	2	Class	4.179	0.893	207
	1.9	2.9	12.0	41.1	41.1	1.0	Department	4.279	0.906	712
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	4	20	88	92	2	Class	4.266	0.826	207
	1.4	1.9	9.6	42.1	44.0	1.0	Department	4.381	0.813	712
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	8	25	98	71	3	Class	4.087	0.890	206
	1.9	3.8	12.0	46.9	34.0	1.4	Department	4.268	0.785	710
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	6	38	76	83	2	Class	4.101	0.932	207
	1.9	2.9	18.2	36.4	39.7	1.0	Department	4.328	0.812	711
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	7	36	78	80	2	Class	4.058	0.979	207
	2.9	3.3	17.2	37.3	38.3	1.0	Department	4.280	0.902	711
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	7	35	85	76	2	Class	4.072	0.919	207
	1.9	3.3	16.7	40.7	36.4	1.0	Department	4.288	0.878	709
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	4	23	96	82	2	Class	4.217	0.792	207
	1.0	1.9	11.0	45.9	39.2	1.0	Department	4.392	0.767	709
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	7	22	86	86	2	Class	4.155	0.948	207
	2.9	3.3	10.5	41.1	41.1	1.0	Department	4.331	0.846	710
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 56
 Your Dept 717
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	4	18	29	2	Class	4.352	0.850	54
	0.0	5.4	7.1	32.1	51.8	3.6	Department	4.273	0.879	713
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	6	17	27	3	Class	4.264	0.944	53
	1.8	3.6	10.7	30.4	48.2	5.4	Department	4.309	0.867	712
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	6	16	28	3	Class	4.283	0.948	53
	1.8	3.6	10.7	28.6	50.0	5.4	Department	4.246	0.915	710
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	4	15	32	3	Class	4.434	0.866	53
	1.8	1.8	7.1	26.8	57.1	5.4	Department	4.292	0.860	710
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	13	37	4	Class	4.673	0.550	52
	0.0	0.0	3.6	23.2	66.1	7.1	Department	4.450	0.770	709
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	6	25	19	3	Class	4.113	0.891	53
	1.8	3.6	10.7	44.6	33.9	5.4	Department	4.199	0.863	709
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	2	18	32	2	Class	4.481	0.746	54
	0.0	3.6	3.6	32.1	57.1	3.6	Department	4.346	0.813	711
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	3	16	28	3	Class	4.208	1.098	53
	3.6	7.1	5.4	28.6	50.0	5.4	Department	4.297	0.859	711
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	8	12	28	3	Class	4.151	1.116	53
	3.6	5.4	14.3	21.4	50.0	5.4	Department	4.279	0.906	712
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	3	17	29	3	Class	4.302	1.011	53
	3.6	3.6	5.4	30.4	51.8	5.4	Department	4.381	0.813	712
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	9	18	25	3	Class	4.264	0.812	53
	0.0	1.8	16.1	32.1	44.6	5.4	Department	4.268	0.785	710
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	14	36	3	Class	4.623	0.596	53
	0.0	0.0	5.4	25.0	64.3	5.4	Department	4.328	0.812	711
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	2	3	14	32	3	Class	4.358	1.021	53
	3.6	3.6	5.4	25.0	57.1	5.4	Department	4.280	0.902	711
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	5	2	12	32	3	Class	4.264	1.146	53
	3.6	8.9	3.6	21.4	57.1	5.4	Department	4.288	0.878	709
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	3	12	36	3	Class	4.528	0.846	53
	1.8	1.8	5.4	21.4	64.3	5.4	Department	4.392	0.767	709
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	1	11	38	3	Class	4.585	0.795	53
	0.0	5.4	1.8	19.6	67.9	5.4	Department	4.331	0.846	710
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL

Sheets Scanned: This Level 154
 Your Dept 154
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	0	3	34	114	1	Class	4.686	0.643	153
	1.3	0.0	1.9	22.1	74.0	0.6	Department	4.686	0.643	153
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	23	129	1	Class	4.837	0.388	153
	0.0	0.0	0.6	14.9	83.8	0.6	Department	4.837	0.388	153
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	32	116	2	Class	4.737	0.498	152
	0.0	0.0	2.6	20.8	75.3	1.3	Department	4.737	0.498	152
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	9	46	95	2	Class	4.539	0.670	152
	0.0	1.3	5.8	29.9	61.7	1.3	Department	4.539	0.670	152
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	25	124	1	Class	4.778	0.503	153
	0.0	0.6	1.9	16.2	80.5	0.6	Department	4.778	0.503	153
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	9	52	89	1	Class	4.477	0.726	153
	0.6	1.3	5.8	33.8	57.8	0.6	Department	4.477	0.726	153
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	3	39	111	0	Class	4.682	0.580	154
	0.6	0.0	1.9	25.3	72.1	0.0	Department	4.682	0.580	154
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	5	42	106	0	Class	4.636	0.614	154
	0.6	0.0	3.2	27.3	68.8	0.0	Department	4.636	0.614	154
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	3	38	111	0	Class	4.669	0.616	154
	0.6	0.6	1.9	24.7	72.1	0.0	Department	4.669	0.616	154
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	31	119	0	Class	4.740	0.522	154
	0.0	0.6	1.9	20.1	77.3	0.0	Department	4.740	0.522	154
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	8	52	93	0	Class	4.539	0.628	154
	0.0	0.6	5.2	33.8	60.4	0.0	Department	4.539	0.628	154
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	42	104	0	Class	4.623	0.584	154
	0.0	0.0	5.2	27.3	67.5	0.0	Department	4.623	0.584	154
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	7	45	102	0	Class	4.617	0.574	154
	0.0	0.0	4.5	29.2	66.2	0.0	Department	4.617	0.574	154
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	47	102	1	Class	4.641	0.533	153
	0.0	0.0	2.6	30.5	66.2	0.6	Department	4.641	0.533	153
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	10	48	94	1	Class	4.529	0.679	153
	0.6	0.0	6.5	31.2	61.0	0.6	Department	4.529	0.679	153
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	5	45	100	1	Class	4.569	0.714	153
	1.3	0.6	3.2	29.2	64.9	0.6	Department	4.569	0.714	153
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 440
 Your Dept 979
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	17	95	323	3	Class	4.691	0.565	437
	0.0	0.5	3.9	21.6	73.4	0.7	Department	4.459	0.778	975
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	16	86	331	4	Class	4.709	0.567	436
	0.0	0.7	3.6	19.5	75.2	0.9	Department	4.507	0.765	974
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	17	88	331	3	Class	4.714	0.544	437
	0.0	0.2	3.9	20.0	75.2	0.7	Department	4.495	0.770	975
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	22	88	322	4	Class	4.667	0.627	436
	0.2	0.7	5.0	20.0	73.2	0.9	Department	4.474	0.770	974
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	9	90	335	3	Class	4.732	0.528	437
	0.0	0.7	2.0	20.5	76.1	0.7	Department	4.557	0.682	975
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	15	104	315	3	Class	4.673	0.575	437
	0.0	0.7	3.4	23.6	71.6	0.7	Department	4.445	0.730	974
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	12	108	315	3	Class	4.684	0.547	437
	0.0	0.5	2.7	24.5	71.6	0.7	Department	4.506	0.694	976
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	14	106	314	3	Class	4.673	0.571	437
	0.0	0.7	3.2	24.1	71.4	0.7	Department	4.469	0.724	976
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	7	14	103	312	4	Class	4.651	0.623	436
	0.0	1.6	3.2	23.4	70.9	0.9	Department	4.430	0.807	975
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	5	10	103	319	3	Class	4.684	0.576	437
	0.0	1.1	2.3	23.4	72.5	0.7	Department	4.526	0.676	976
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	14	132	288	3	Class	4.613	0.586	437
	0.0	0.7	3.2	30.0	65.5	0.7	Department	4.434	0.693	973
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	30	110	294	3	Class	4.590	0.649	437
	0.0	0.7	6.8	25.0	66.8	0.7	Department	4.439	0.728	976
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	15	116	301	3	Class	4.629	0.621	437
	0.2	0.9	3.4	26.4	68.4	0.7	Department	4.388	0.805	975
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	6	16	111	303	3	Class	4.622	0.647	437
	0.2	1.4	3.6	25.2	68.9	0.7	Department	4.423	0.783	975
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	20	111	303	3	Class	4.634	0.605	437
	0.0	0.7	4.5	25.2	68.9	0.7	Department	4.496	0.673	975
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	4	18	103	310	5	Class	4.653	0.604	435
	0.0	0.9	4.1	23.4	70.5	1.1	Department	4.467	0.739	973
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 518
 Your Dept 979
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	18	54	199	239	1	Class	4.248	0.876	517
	1.4	3.5	10.4	38.4	46.1	0.2	Department	4.459	0.778	975
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	15	51	176	268	1	Class	4.321	0.868	517
	1.4	2.9	9.8	34.0	51.7	0.2	Department	4.507	0.765	974
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	22	51	177	262	1	Class	4.294	0.882	517
	1.0	4.2	9.8	34.2	50.6	0.2	Department	4.495	0.770	975
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	6	15	49	198	249	1	Class	4.294	0.844	517
	1.2	2.9	9.5	38.2	48.1	0.2	Department	4.474	0.770	974
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	9	37	195	272	1	Class	4.397	0.762	517
	0.8	1.7	7.1	37.6	52.5	0.2	Department	4.557	0.682	975
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	17	51	226	220	2	Class	4.250	0.794	516
	0.4	3.3	9.8	43.6	42.5	0.4	Department	4.445	0.730	974
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	13	44	207	252	0	Class	4.340	0.770	518
	0.4	2.5	8.5	40.0	48.6	0.0	Department	4.506	0.694	976
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	19	47	216	235	0	Class	4.284	0.793	518
	0.2	3.7	9.1	41.7	45.4	0.0	Department	4.469	0.724	976
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	25	58	191	239	0	Class	4.224	0.897	518
	1.0	4.8	11.2	36.9	46.1	0.0	Department	4.430	0.807	975
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	9	30	222	254	0	Class	4.380	0.725	518
	0.6	1.7	5.8	42.9	49.0	0.0	Department	4.526	0.676	976
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	6	54	234	220	2	Class	4.287	0.729	516
	0.4	1.2	10.4	45.2	42.5	0.4	Department	4.434	0.693	973
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	6	57	220	232	0	Class	4.297	0.754	518
	0.6	1.2	11.0	42.5	44.8	0.0	Department	4.439	0.728	976
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	7	19	61	219	211	1	Class	4.176	0.875	517
	1.4	3.7	11.8	42.3	40.7	0.2	Department	4.388	0.805	975
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	18	48	218	227	1	Class	4.242	0.848	517
	1.2	3.5	9.3	42.1	43.8	0.2	Department	4.423	0.783	975
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	8	34	225	248	1	Class	4.371	0.711	517
	0.4	1.5	6.6	43.4	47.9	0.2	Department	4.496	0.673	975
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	16	42	217	239	0	Class	4.295	0.806	518
	0.8	3.1	8.1	41.9	46.1	0.0	Department	4.467	0.739	973
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 88
 Your Dept 151
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 2.3	7 8.0	14 15.9	35 39.8	30 34.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.955 4.060 4.289 4.224	1.016 0.985 0.908 0.963	88 150 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2 2.3	6 6.8	16 18.2	31 35.2	33 37.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.989 4.140 4.352 4.284	1.023 0.949 0.902 0.954	88 150 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3 3.4	6 6.8	17 19.3	32 36.4	30 34.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.909 3.980 4.290 4.248	1.057 1.058 0.952 0.981	88 150 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	1 1.1	8 9.1	15 17.0	37 42.0	27 30.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.920 4.047 4.300 4.204	0.973 0.900 0.865 0.949	88 150 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 1.1	1 1.1	12 13.6	42 47.7	32 36.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.170 4.307 4.445 4.381	0.791 0.759 0.794 0.867	88 150 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	2 2.3	3 3.4	12 13.6	38 43.2	33 37.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.102 4.153 4.206 4.213	0.923 0.841 0.879 0.900	88 150 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2 2.3	4 4.5	8 9.1	43 48.9	31 35.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.102 4.247 4.368 4.289	0.910 0.867 0.821 0.896	88 150 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1 1.1	6 6.8	11 12.5	38 43.2	32 36.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.068 4.107 4.324 4.299	0.932 0.963 0.864 0.891	88 150 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1 1.1	8 9.1	20 22.7	28 31.8	31 35.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.909 4.007 4.263 4.227	1.024 1.007 0.953 0.976	88 150 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	4 4.5	4 4.5	44 50.0	36 40.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.273 4.367 4.426 4.393	0.754 0.727 0.785 0.833	88 150 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2 2.3	5 5.7	9 10.2	43 48.9	29 33.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.045 4.127 4.268 4.276	0.934 0.854 0.814 0.824	88 150 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	1 1.1	12 13.6	31 35.2	44 50.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.341 4.362 4.324 4.313	0.756 0.728 0.830 0.848	88 149 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 1.1	11 12.5	38 43.2	38 43.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.284 4.313 4.290 4.255	0.726 0.743 0.900 0.937	88 150 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 1.1	4 4.5	15 17.0	36 40.9	31 35.2	1 1.1	Class Department College University	4.057 4.121 4.268 4.220	0.907 0.885 0.905 0.953	87 149 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	1 1.1	10 11.4	45 51.1	32 36.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.227 4.220 4.373 4.337	0.690 0.750 0.779 0.825	88 150 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1 1.1	3 3.4	14 15.9	40 45.5	30 34.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.080 4.147 4.347 4.262	0.861 0.854 0.835 0.917	88 150 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1046						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 1310						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	25	64	163	414	367	13	Class	4.001	0.990	1033
	2.4	6.1	15.6	39.6	35.1	1.2	Department	4.019	0.989	1296
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	23	63	151	365	430	14	Class	4.081	1.001	1032
	2.2	6.0	14.4	34.9	41.1	1.3	Department	4.088	1.008	1295
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	39	95	180	340	379	13	Class	3.895	1.113	1033
	3.7	9.1	17.2	32.5	36.2	1.2	Department	3.939	1.097	1296
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	17	54	174	437	351	13	Class	4.017	0.931	1033
	1.6	5.2	16.6	41.8	33.6	1.2	Department	4.035	0.935	1296
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	33	109	379	502	15	Class	4.294	0.840	1031
	0.8	3.2	10.4	36.2	48.0	1.4	Department	4.297	0.851	1294
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	21	67	206	448	290	14	Class	3.891	0.955	1032
	2.0	6.4	19.7	42.8	27.7	1.3	Department	3.895	0.960	1295
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	12	50	153	416	402	13	Class	4.109	0.908	1033
	1.1	4.8	14.6	39.8	38.4	1.2	Department	4.136	0.910	1296
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	20	39	163	432	377	15	Class	4.074	0.919	1031
	1.9	3.7	15.6	41.3	36.0	1.4	Department	4.102	0.918	1294
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	29	71	172	392	369	13	Class	3.969	1.025	1033
	2.8	6.8	16.4	37.5	35.3	1.2	Department	3.998	1.014	1296
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	12	37	103	437	445	12	Class	4.224	0.853	1034
	1.1	3.5	9.8	41.8	42.5	1.1	Department	4.241	0.860	1297
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	13	39	184	463	333	14	Class	4.031	0.875	1032
	1.2	3.7	17.6	44.3	31.8	1.3	Department	4.041	0.882	1295
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	21	47	247	389	328	14	Class	3.926	0.958	1032
	2.0	4.5	23.6	37.2	31.4	1.3	Department	4.004	0.939	1295
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	39	60	228	391	315	13	Class	3.855	1.038	1033
	3.7	5.7	21.8	37.4	30.1	1.2	Department	3.948	1.025	1296
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	28	64	238	400	303	13	Class	3.858	0.998	1033
	2.7	6.1	22.8	38.2	29.0	1.2	Department	3.923	1.004	1296
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	11	31	176	469	345	14	Class	4.072	0.846	1032
	1.1	3.0	16.8	44.8	33.0	1.3	Department	4.120	0.856	1295
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	15	45	183	404	387	12	Class	4.067	0.923	1034
	1.4	4.3	17.5	38.6	37.0	1.1	Department	4.107	0.922	1297
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 234						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 1310						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	14	38	88	87	1	Class	4.013	1.006	233
	2.6	6.0	16.2	37.6	37.2	0.4	Department	4.019	0.989	1296
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
							Class	4.030	1.060	233
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	16	31	84	94	1	Department	4.088	1.008	1295
	3.4	6.8	13.2	35.9	40.2	0.4	College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
							Class	4.021	1.032	233
							Department	3.939	1.097	1296
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	8	15	28	95	87	1	College	4.290	0.952	7188
	3.4	6.4	12.0	40.6	37.2	0.4	University	4.248	0.981	67221
							Class	4.034	0.942	233
							Department	4.035	0.935	1296
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
4. the quality of this course.	5	11	36	100	81	1	University	4.204	0.949	67205
	2.1	4.7	15.4	42.7	34.6	0.4	Class	4.232	0.918	233
							Department	4.297	0.851	1294
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	7	32	78	112	1	Class	3.850	0.999	233
	1.7	3.0	13.7	33.3	47.9	0.4	Department	3.895	0.960	1295
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
							Class	4.155	0.929	233
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	10	31	89	99	1	Department	4.136	0.910	1296
	1.7	4.3	13.2	38.0	42.3	0.4	College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
							Class	4.133	0.926	233
							Department	4.102	0.918	1294
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	15	23	99	93	1	College	4.324	0.864	7195
	1.3	6.4	9.8	42.3	39.7	0.4	University	4.299	0.891	66921
							Class	4.047	0.988	233
							Department	3.998	1.014	1296
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	21	27	93	89	1	University	4.227	0.976	66913
	1.3	9.0	11.5	39.7	38.0	0.4	Class	4.227	0.907	233
							Department	4.241	0.860	1297
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	8	27	86	108	1	Class	4.013	0.921	233
	1.7	3.4	11.5	36.8	46.2	0.4	Department	4.041	0.882	1295
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
							Class	4.236	0.804	233
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	33	93	101	1	Department	4.004	0.939	1295
	0.4	2.1	14.1	39.7	43.2	0.4	College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
							Class	4.253	0.910	233
							Department	3.948	1.025	1296
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	7	23	87	111	1	College	4.290	0.900	7191
	2.1	3.0	9.8	37.2	47.4	0.4	University	4.255	0.937	66912
							Class	4.099	1.014	233
							Department	3.923	1.004	1296
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	15	28	85	99	1	University	4.220	0.953	66881
	2.6	6.4	12.0	36.3	42.3	0.4	Class	4.262	0.878	233
							Department	4.120	0.856	1295
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	9	22	89	110	1	Class	4.180	0.920	233
	1.3	3.8	9.4	38.0	47.0	0.4	Department	4.107	0.922	1297
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748
							Class	4.180	0.920	233
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	7	30	90	101	1	Department	4.107	0.922	1297
	2.1	3.0	12.8	38.5	43.2	0.4	College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748
							Class	4.180	0.920	233
							Department	4.107	0.922	1297

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 526						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 1053						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	16	40	49	162	256	3	Class	4.151	1.069	523
	3.0	7.6	9.3	30.8	48.7	0.6	Department	4.192	1.019	1048
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	33	52	129	297	4	Class	4.280	1.017	522
	2.1	6.3	9.9	24.5	56.5	0.8	Department	4.279	1.019	1048
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	37	53	131	284	4	Class	4.203	1.088	522
	3.2	7.0	10.1	24.9	54.0	0.8	Department	4.226	1.064	1048
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	8	34	63	156	261	4	Class	4.203	0.990	522
	1.5	6.5	12.0	29.7	49.6	0.8	Department	4.246	0.932	1048
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	22	64	158	270	6	Class	4.277	0.917	520
	1.1	4.2	12.2	30.0	51.3	1.1	Department	4.328	0.904	1045
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	8	15	83	216	199	5	Class	4.119	0.884	521
	1.5	2.9	15.8	41.1	37.8	1.0	Department	4.141	0.896	1046
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	8	25	60	142	286	5	Class	4.292	0.954	521
	1.5	4.8	11.4	27.0	54.4	1.0	Department	4.305	0.926	1047
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	19	31	58	145	267	6	Class	4.173	1.079	520
	3.6	5.9	11.0	27.6	50.8	1.1	Department	4.232	1.008	1046
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	34	45	62	121	257	7	Class	4.006	1.247	519
	6.5	8.6	11.8	23.0	48.9	1.3	Department	4.115	1.149	1045
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	12	16	39	164	289	6	Class	4.350	0.917	520
	2.3	3.0	7.4	31.2	54.9	1.1	Department	4.366	0.887	1046
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	19	64	205	225	7	Class	4.202	0.876	519
	1.1	3.6	12.2	39.0	42.8	1.3	Department	4.220	0.868	1045
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	18	70	144	284	5	Class	4.313	0.899	521
	1.0	3.4	13.3	27.4	54.0	1.0	Department	4.298	0.864	1047
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	21	26	61	139	273	6	Class	4.187	1.083	520
	4.0	4.9	11.6	26.4	51.9	1.1	Department	4.232	0.988	1046
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	31	73	149	251	6	Class	4.131	1.060	520
	3.0	5.9	13.9	28.3	47.7	1.1	Department	4.181	1.006	1046
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	10	15	46	168	282	5	Class	4.338	0.897	521
	1.9	2.9	8.7	31.9	53.6	1.0	Department	4.324	0.866	1046
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	13	15	62	169	262	5	Class	4.251	0.949	521
	2.5	2.9	11.8	32.1	49.8	1.0	Department	4.289	0.895	1044
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 75
 Your Dept 245
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	1 1.3	6 8.0	20 26.7	48 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.533 4.633 4.289 4.224	0.704 0.610 0.908 0.963	75 245 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 4.0	22 29.3	50 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.627 4.722 4.352 4.284	0.564 0.532 0.902 0.954	75 245 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 1.3	23 30.7	50 66.7	1 1.3	Class Department College University	4.662 4.746 4.290 4.248	0.504 0.490 0.952 0.981	74 244 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	2 2.7	4 5.3	21 28.0	48 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.533 4.637 4.300 4.204	0.723 0.649 0.865 0.949	75 245 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	1 1.3	2 2.7	20 26.7	52 69.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.640 4.665 4.445 4.381	0.607 0.629 0.794 0.867	75 245 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 4.0	27 36.0	45 60.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.560 4.598 4.206 4.213	0.575 0.617 0.879 0.900	75 244 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 5.3	23 30.7	48 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.587 4.673 4.368 4.289	0.595 0.579 0.821 0.896	75 245 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 4.0	24 32.0	48 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.600 4.673 4.324 4.299	0.569 0.579 0.864 0.891	75 245 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 5.3	20 26.7	51 68.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.627 4.657 4.263 4.227	0.588 0.618 0.953 0.976	75 245 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	4 5.3	2 2.7	21 28.0	48 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.507 4.629 4.426 4.393	0.795 0.644 0.785 0.833	75 245 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.7	29 38.7	44 58.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.560 4.543 4.268 4.276	0.551 0.596 0.814 0.824	75 245 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	9 12.0	26 34.7	40 53.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.413 4.608 4.324 4.313	0.699 0.595 0.830 0.848	75 245 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	3 4.0	8 10.7	26 34.7	38 50.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.320 4.525 4.290 4.255	0.825 0.723 0.900 0.937	75 244 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 1.3	0 0.0	11 14.7	23 30.7	40 53.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.347 4.539 4.268 4.220	0.830 0.710 0.905 0.953	75 245 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.7	28 37.3	45 60.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.573 4.690 4.373 4.337	0.550 0.552 0.779 0.825	75 245 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 1.3	1 1.3	26 34.7	47 62.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.587 4.612 4.347 4.262	0.595 0.641 0.835 0.917	75 245 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 109
 Your Dept 245
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 4.6	27 24.8	77 70.6	0	Class Department College University	4.661 4.633 4.289 4.224	0.565 0.610 0.908 0.963	109 245 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	1 0.9	2 1.8	18 16.5	88 80.7	0	Class Department College University	4.771 4.722 4.352 4.284	0.521 0.532 0.902 0.954	109 245 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	1 0.9	2 1.8	21 19.3	85 78.0	0	Class Department College University	4.743 4.746 4.290 4.248	0.534 0.490 0.952 0.981	109 244 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	1 0.9	5 4.6	22 20.2	81 74.3	0	Class Department College University	4.679 4.637 4.300 4.204	0.607 0.649 0.865 0.949	109 245 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	2 1.8	8 7.3	19 17.4	80 73.4	0	Class Department College University	4.624 4.665 4.445 4.381	0.704 0.629 0.794 0.867	109 245 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 0.9	9 8.3	27 24.8	71 65.1	1 0.9	Class Department College University	4.556 4.598 4.206 4.213	0.688 0.617 0.879 0.900	108 244 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 0.9	3 2.8	24 22.0	81 74.3	0	Class Department College University	4.697 4.673 4.368 4.289	0.569 0.579 0.821 0.896	109 245 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	1 0.9	6 5.5	22 20.2	80 73.4	0	Class Department College University	4.661 4.673 4.324 4.299	0.627 0.579 0.864 0.891	109 245 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	2 1.8	8 7.3	21 19.3	78 71.6	0	Class Department College University	4.606 4.657 4.263 4.227	0.707 0.618 0.953 0.976	109 245 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	1 0.9	3 2.8	26 23.9	79 72.5	0	Class Department College University	4.679 4.629 4.426 4.393	0.575 0.644 0.785 0.833	109 245 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	1 0.9	5 4.6	40 36.7	63 57.8	0	Class Department College University	4.514 4.543 4.268 4.276	0.633 0.596 0.814 0.824	109 245 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 2.8	29 26.6	77 70.6	0	Class Department College University	4.679 4.608 4.324 4.313	0.525 0.595 0.830 0.848	109 245 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	8 7.3	25 22.9	75 68.8	1 0.9	Class Department College University	4.620 4.525 4.290 4.255	0.622 0.723 0.900 0.937	108 244 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 6.4	28 25.7	74 67.9	0	Class Department College University	4.615 4.539 4.268 4.220	0.607 0.710 0.905 0.953	109 245 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	1 0.9	1 0.9	24 22.0	83 76.1	0	Class Department College University	4.734 4.690 4.373 4.337	0.521 0.552 0.779 0.825	109 245 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	2 1.8	4 3.7	26 23.9	77 70.6	0	Class Department College University	4.633 4.612 4.347 4.262	0.648 0.641 0.835 0.917	109 245 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 7
 Your Dept 31
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	1 14.3	1 14.3	2 28.6	3 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.267 4.289 4.224	1.155 0.980 0.908 0.963	7 30 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	1 14.3	0 0.0	3 42.9	3 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.143 4.433 4.352 4.284	1.069 0.817 0.902 0.954	7 30 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	1 14.3	1 14.3	3 42.9	2 28.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.857 4.267 4.290 4.248	1.069 0.980 0.952 0.981	7 30 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	2 28.6	2 28.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.857 4.300 4.300 4.204	0.900 0.794 0.865 0.949	7 30 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	3 42.9	1 14.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.714 4.300 4.445 4.381	0.756 0.702 0.794 0.867	7 30 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 57.1	3 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.429 4.400 4.206 4.213	0.535 0.621 0.879 0.900	7 30 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 14.3	0 0.0	3 42.9	3 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.143 4.433 4.368 4.289	1.069 0.774 0.821 0.896	7 30 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 28.6	2 28.6	3 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.143 4.300 4.324 4.299	0.900 0.988 0.864 0.891	7 30 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	1 14.3	1 14.3	3 42.9	2 28.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.857 4.233 4.263 4.227	1.069 1.006 0.953 0.976	7 30 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	2 28.6	2 28.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.857 4.300 4.426 4.393	0.900 0.952 0.785 0.833	7 30 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 71.4	2 28.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.286 4.367 4.268 4.276	0.488 0.669 0.814 0.824	7 30 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	4 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.571 4.600 4.324 4.313	0.535 0.563 0.830 0.848	7 30 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	4 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.571 4.500 4.290 4.255	0.535 0.682 0.900 0.937	7 30 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 42.9	4 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.571 4.467 4.268 4.220	0.535 0.681 0.905 0.953	7 30 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 14.3	2 28.6	4 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.429 4.467 4.373 4.337	0.787 0.629 0.779 0.825	7 30 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 28.6	1 14.3	4 57.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.286 4.533 4.347 4.262	0.951 0.681 0.835 0.917	7 30 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 376						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 1355						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	4	35	134	185	9	Class	4.313	0.876	367
	2.4	1.1	9.3	35.6	49.2	2.4	Department	4.339	0.840	1337
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	5	28	118	209	8	Class	4.399	0.858	368
	2.1	1.3	7.4	31.4	55.6	2.1	Department	4.388	0.852	1336
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	6	39	119	198	8	Class	4.351	0.857	368
	1.6	1.6	10.4	31.6	52.7	2.1	Department	4.343	0.869	1336
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	8	6	38	129	187	8	Class	4.307	0.883	368
	2.1	1.6	10.1	34.3	49.7	2.1	Department	4.309	0.824	1335
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	12	27	110	210	8	Class	4.359	0.932	368
	2.4	3.2	7.2	29.3	55.9	2.1	Department	4.455	0.805	1335
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	7	12	49	148	152	8	Class	4.158	0.908	368
	1.9	3.2	13.0	39.4	40.4	2.1	Department	4.184	0.874	1336
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	8	24	138	195	6	Class	4.378	0.812	370
	1.3	2.1	6.4	36.7	51.9	1.6	Department	4.392	0.773	1340
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	11	27	131	194	6	Class	4.335	0.881	370
	1.9	2.9	7.2	34.8	51.6	1.6	Department	4.364	0.801	1339
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	8	37	131	185	6	Class	4.284	0.909	370
	2.4	2.1	9.8	34.8	49.2	1.6	Department	4.320	0.866	1340
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	8	31	120	205	6	Class	4.378	0.854	370
	1.6	2.1	8.2	31.9	54.5	1.6	Department	4.450	0.759	1340
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	9	50	146	157	7	Class	4.184	0.893	369
	1.9	2.4	13.3	38.8	41.8	1.9	Department	4.238	0.833	1337
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	5	49	116	197	6	Class	4.349	0.820	370
	0.8	1.3	13.0	30.9	52.4	1.6	Department	4.354	0.805	1340
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	6	31	126	201	8	Class	4.397	0.799	368
	1.1	1.6	8.2	33.5	53.5	2.1	Department	4.407	0.780	1339
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	7	34	129	194	6	Class	4.346	0.849	370
	1.6	1.9	9.0	34.3	51.6	1.6	Department	4.346	0.823	1338
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	9	23	136	199	6	Class	4.403	0.781	370
	0.8	2.4	6.1	36.2	52.9	1.6	Department	4.399	0.730	1339
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	11	21	126	204	7	Class	4.379	0.871	369
	1.9	2.9	5.6	33.5	54.3	1.9	Department	4.390	0.801	1338
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 813						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 1355						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	33	71	305	387	9	Class	4.281	0.863	804
	1.0	4.1	8.7	37.5	47.6	1.1	Department	4.339	0.840	1337
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	9	30	84	257	423	10	Class	4.314	0.886	803
	1.1	3.7	10.3	31.6	52.0	1.2	Department	4.388	0.852	1336
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	11	32	88	275	396	11	Class	4.263	0.905	802
	1.4	3.9	10.8	33.8	48.7	1.4	Department	4.343	0.869	1336
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	4	26	100	315	356	12	Class	4.240	0.829	801
	0.5	3.2	12.3	38.7	43.8	1.5	Department	4.309	0.824	1335
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	15	47	272	460	11	Class	4.448	0.773	802
	1.0	1.8	5.8	33.5	56.6	1.4	Department	4.455	0.805	1335
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	9	37	111	343	302	11	Class	4.112	0.888	802
	1.1	4.6	13.7	42.2	37.1	1.4	Department	4.184	0.874	1336
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	18	61	327	391	9	Class	4.340	0.785	804
	0.9	2.2	7.5	40.2	48.1	1.1	Department	4.392	0.773	1340
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	20	80	316	382	10	Class	4.308	0.798	803
	0.6	2.5	9.8	38.9	47.0	1.2	Department	4.364	0.801	1339
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	36	79	292	389	9	Class	4.266	0.884	804
	1.0	4.4	9.7	35.9	47.8	1.1	Department	4.320	0.866	1340
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	16	42	294	447	9	Class	4.445	0.739	804
	0.6	2.0	5.2	36.2	55.0	1.1	Department	4.450	0.759	1340
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	23	103	342	326	11	Class	4.191	0.839	802
	1.0	2.8	12.7	42.1	40.1	1.4	Department	4.238	0.833	1337
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	7	15	107	280	395	9	Class	4.295	0.831	804
	0.9	1.8	13.2	34.4	48.6	1.1	Department	4.354	0.805	1340
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	7	17	65	298	418	8	Class	4.370	0.792	805
	0.9	2.1	8.0	36.7	51.4	1.0	Department	4.407	0.780	1339
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	20	95	293	386	11	Class	4.283	0.843	802
	1.0	2.5	11.7	36.0	47.5	1.4	Department	4.346	0.823	1338
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	13	71	330	388	9	Class	4.354	0.730	804
	0.2	1.6	8.7	40.6	47.7	1.1	Department	4.399	0.730	1339
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	11	70	314	397	10	Class	4.339	0.804	803
	1.4	1.4	8.6	38.6	48.8	1.2	Department	4.390	0.801	1338
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 172
 Your Dept 238
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	12	23	78	56	0	Class	4.000	0.949	172
	1.7	7.0	13.4	45.3	32.6	0.0	Department	4.185	0.909	238
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	5	27	69	68	0	Class	4.128	0.902	172
	1.7	2.9	15.7	40.1	39.5	0.0	Department	4.286	0.863	238
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	12	23	77	60	0	Class	4.076	0.872	172
	0.0	7.0	13.4	44.8	34.9	0.0	Department	4.231	0.867	238
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	3	8	23	84	54	0	Class	4.035	0.891	172
	1.7	4.7	13.4	48.8	31.4	0.0	Department	4.206	0.854	238
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	5	13	89	62	0	Class	4.174	0.826	172
	1.7	2.9	7.6	51.7	36.0	0.0	Department	4.353	0.791	238
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	17	50	75	29	1	Class	3.678	0.872	171
	0.0	9.9	29.1	43.6	16.9	0.6	Department	3.949	0.907	236
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	7	28	87	50	0	Class	4.047	0.786	172
	0.0	4.1	16.3	50.6	29.1	0.0	Department	4.223	0.777	238
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	6	25	88	47	0	Class	3.953	0.935	172
	3.5	3.5	14.5	51.2	27.3	0.0	Department	4.160	0.914	238
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	6	6	33	75	52	0	Class	3.936	0.974	172
	3.5	3.5	19.2	43.6	30.2	0.0	Department	4.122	0.953	238
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	10	102	57	0	Class	4.233	0.661	172
	0.6	1.2	5.8	59.3	33.1	0.0	Department	4.346	0.700	237
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	5	33	91	42	0	Class	3.977	0.780	172
	0.6	2.9	19.2	52.9	24.4	0.0	Department	4.126	0.780	238
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	2	47	78	42	0	Class	3.895	0.845	172
	1.7	1.2	27.3	45.3	24.4	0.0	Department	4.109	0.869	238
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	5	35	71	57	1	Class	4.018	0.904	171
	1.7	2.9	20.3	41.3	33.1	0.6	Department	4.203	0.893	237
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	4	35	74	54	0	Class	3.977	0.936	172
	2.9	2.3	20.3	43.0	31.4	0.0	Department	4.143	0.921	238
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	21	99	49	0	Class	4.122	0.703	172
	0.6	1.2	12.2	57.6	28.5	0.0	Department	4.265	0.718	238
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	6	19	90	56	0	Class	4.128	0.784	172
	0.6	3.5	11.0	52.3	32.6	0.0	Department	4.265	0.775	238
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 66
 Your Dept 238
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	16	47	0	Class	4.667	0.564	66
	0.0	0.0	4.5	24.2	71.2	0.0	Department	4.185	0.909	238
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	12	50	0	Class	4.697	0.581	66
	0.0	0.0	6.1	18.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.286	0.863	238
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	6	9	50	0	Class	4.636	0.715	66
	0.0	1.5	9.1	13.6	75.8	0.0	Department	4.231	0.867	238
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	19	45	0	Class	4.652	0.540	66
	0.0	0.0	3.0	28.8	68.2	0.0	Department	4.206	0.854	238
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	10	55	0	Class	4.818	0.426	66
	0.0	0.0	1.5	15.2	83.3	0.0	Department	4.353	0.791	238
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	18	45	1	Class	4.662	0.538	65
	0.0	0.0	3.0	27.3	68.2	1.5	Department	3.949	0.907	236
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	17	47	0	Class	4.682	0.531	66
	0.0	0.0	3.0	25.8	71.2	0.0	Department	4.223	0.777	238
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	12	50	0	Class	4.697	0.581	66
	0.0	0.0	6.1	18.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.160	0.914	238
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	2	16	46	0	Class	4.606	0.699	66
	0.0	3.0	3.0	24.2	69.7	0.0	Department	4.122	0.953	238
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	3	13	48	1	Class	4.646	0.717	65
	1.5	0.0	4.5	19.7	72.7	1.5	Department	4.346	0.700	237
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	22	39	0	Class	4.515	0.638	66
	0.0	0.0	7.6	33.3	59.1	0.0	Department	4.126	0.780	238
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	4	11	50	0	Class	4.667	0.664	66
	0.0	1.5	6.1	16.7	75.8	0.0	Department	4.109	0.869	238
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	10	51	0	Class	4.682	0.660	66
	0.0	1.5	6.1	15.2	77.3	0.0	Department	4.203	0.893	237
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	6	13	46	0	Class	4.576	0.725	66
	0.0	1.5	9.1	19.7	69.7	0.0	Department	4.143	0.921	238
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	14	47	0	Class	4.636	0.624	66
	0.0	0.0	7.6	21.2	71.2	0.0	Department	4.265	0.718	238
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	15	46	0	Class	4.621	0.627	66
	0.0	0.0	7.6	22.7	69.7	0.0	Department	4.265	0.775	238
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 33
 Your Dept 126
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 6.1	1 3.0	3 9.1	16 48.5	10 30.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	3.969 4.210 4.289 4.224	1.062 0.939 0.908 0.963	32 124 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1 3.0	0 0.0	3 9.1	14 42.4	14 42.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.250 4.387 4.352 4.284	0.880 0.762 0.902 0.954	32 124 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	2 6.1	5 15.2	13 39.4	12 36.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.094 4.282 4.290 4.248	0.893 0.898 0.952 0.981	32 124 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	2 6.1	4 12.1	19 57.6	7 21.2	1 3.0	Class Department College University	3.969 4.266 4.300 4.204	0.782 0.756 0.865 0.949	32 124 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 12.1	14 42.4	14 42.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.313 4.452 4.445 4.381	0.693 0.655 0.794 0.867	32 124 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 3.0	7 21.2	18 54.5	6 18.2	1 3.0	Class Department College University	3.906 4.242 4.206 4.213	0.734 0.810 0.879 0.900	32 124 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 9.1	17 51.5	12 36.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.281 4.408 4.368 4.289	0.634 0.649 0.821 0.896	32 125 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	1 3.0	5 15.2	17 51.5	9 27.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.063 4.272 4.324 4.299	0.759 0.817 0.864 0.891	32 125 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	2 6.1	6 18.2	15 45.5	9 27.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	3.969 4.226 4.263 4.227	0.861 0.918 0.953 0.976	32 124 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	2 6.1	1 3.0	18 54.5	11 33.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.188 4.352 4.426 4.393	0.780 0.806 0.785 0.833	32 125 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	2 6.1	4 12.1	20 60.6	6 18.2	1 3.0	Class Department College University	3.938 4.176 4.268 4.276	0.759 0.794 0.814 0.824	32 125 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	1 3.0	5 15.2	16 48.5	10 30.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.094 4.224 4.324 4.313	0.777 0.941 0.830 0.848	32 125 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 21.2	15 45.5	10 30.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.094 4.152 4.290 4.255	0.734 0.976 0.900 0.937	32 125 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	1 3.0	6 18.2	15 45.5	10 30.3	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.063 4.192 4.268 4.220	0.801 0.998 0.905 0.953	32 125 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 6.1	18 54.5	12 36.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.313 4.400 4.373 4.337	0.592 0.696 0.779 0.825	32 125 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 3.0	2 6.1	17 51.5	12 36.4	1 3.0	Class Department College University	4.250 4.368 4.347 4.262	0.718 0.735 0.835 0.917	32 125 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 156						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 278						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	9	26	69	44	2	Class	3.883	1.016	154
	3.8	5.8	16.7	44.2	28.2	1.3	Department	4.062	1.044	276
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	12	20	59	57	2	Class	3.968	1.081	154
	3.8	7.7	12.8	37.8	36.5	1.3	Department	4.116	1.076	276
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	14	24	60	50	2	Class	3.870	1.089	154
	3.8	9.0	15.4	38.5	32.1	1.3	Department	4.040	1.093	276
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221
4. the quality of this course.	5	4	33	71	41	2	Class	3.903	0.934	154
	3.2	2.6	21.2	45.5	26.3	1.3	Department	4.145	0.927	276
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	2	10	70	68	2	Class	4.273	0.850	154
	2.6	1.3	6.4	44.9	43.6	1.3	Department	4.315	0.877	276
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	6	9	33	69	36	3	Class	3.784	1.000	153
	3.8	5.8	21.2	44.2	23.1	1.9	Department	3.989	0.972	275
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	9	17	71	55	1	Class	4.071	0.934	155
	1.9	5.8	10.9	45.5	35.3	0.6	Department	4.195	0.920	277
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	7	18	78	47	1	Class	4.000	0.947	155
	3.2	4.5	11.5	50.0	30.1	0.6	Department	4.162	0.936	277
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	12	23	69	44	1	Class	3.845	1.064	155
	4.5	7.7	14.7	44.2	28.2	0.6	Department	4.032	1.085	277
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	3	9	82	58	1	Class	4.219	0.800	155
	1.9	1.9	5.8	52.6	37.2	0.6	Department	4.321	0.826	277
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	29	80	41	1	Class	4.000	0.806	155
	1.3	1.9	18.6	51.3	26.3	0.6	Department	4.123	0.825	277
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	3	19	65	64	1	Class	4.174	0.906	155
	2.6	1.9	12.2	41.7	41.0	0.6	Department	4.325	0.818	277
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	11	14	63	62	2	Class	4.091	1.006	154
	2.6	7.1	9.0	40.4	39.7	1.3	Department	4.239	0.903	276
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	9	21	70	52	1	Class	4.026	0.939	155
	1.9	5.8	13.5	44.9	33.3	0.6	Department	4.173	0.940	277
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	4	27	71	50	1	Class	4.039	0.882	155
	1.9	2.6	17.3	45.5	32.1	0.6	Department	4.245	0.833	277
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	4	16	75	52	1	Class	4.026	1.006	155
	5.1	2.6	10.3	48.1	33.3	0.6	Department	4.188	0.952	277
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 77
 Your Dept 278
 Your College .. 7248

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 2.6	8 10.4	7 9.1	25 32.5	35 45.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.078 4.062 4.289 4.224	1.097 1.044 0.908 0.963	77 276 7194 67281
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2 2.6	6 7.8	14 18.2	19 24.7	36 46.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.052 4.116 4.352 4.284	1.099 1.076 0.902 0.954	77 276 7190 67228
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2 2.6	8 10.4	14 18.2	18 23.4	35 45.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.987 4.040 4.290 4.248	1.141 1.093 0.952 0.981	77 276 7188 67221
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	4 5.2	11 14.3	23 29.9	39 50.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.260 4.145 4.300 4.204	0.894 0.927 0.865 0.949	77 276 7187 67205
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 1.3	5 6.5	11 14.3	25 32.5	35 45.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.143 4.315 4.445 4.381	0.983 0.877 0.794 0.867	77 276 7183 67092
6. your understanding of the course content.	2 2.6	2 2.6	15 19.5	32 41.6	26 33.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.013 3.989 4.206 4.213	0.939 0.972 0.879 0.900	77 275 7181 67037
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	4 5.2	15 19.5	27 35.1	31 40.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.104 4.195 4.368 4.289	0.897 0.920 0.821 0.896	77 277 7198 66931
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1 1.3	4 5.2	10 13.0	30 39.0	32 41.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.143 4.162 4.324 4.299	0.928 0.936 0.864 0.891	77 277 7195 66921
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5 6.5	2 2.6	10 13.0	30 39.0	30 39.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.013 4.032 4.263 4.227	1.106 1.085 0.953 0.976	77 277 7196 66913
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 1.3	4 5.2	7 9.1	30 39.0	35 45.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.221 4.321 4.426 4.393	0.912 0.826 0.785 0.833	77 277 7198 66897
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 1.3	4 5.2	10 13.0	37 48.1	25 32.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.052 4.123 4.268 4.276	0.887 0.825 0.814 0.824	77 277 7189 66860
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	10 13.0	34 44.2	33 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.299 4.325 4.324 4.313	0.689 0.818 0.830 0.848	77 277 7195 66896
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	14 18.2	31 40.3	32 41.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.234 4.239 4.290 4.255	0.742 0.903 0.900 0.937	77 276 7191 66912
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 1.3	5 6.5	12 15.6	26 33.8	33 42.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.104 4.173 4.268 4.220	0.981 0.940 0.905 0.953	77 277 7189 66881
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	1 1.3	10 13.0	31 40.3	35 45.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.299 4.245 4.373 4.337	0.745 0.833 0.779 0.825	77 277 7190 66873
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1 1.3	2 2.6	11 14.3	29 37.7	34 44.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.208 4.188 4.347 4.262	0.879 0.952 0.835 0.917	77 277 7190 66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned:	This Level	22			IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept	22			P= Poor....(2)				
	Your College	..	7248			VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	5	6	9	0	Class	3.955	1.133	22
	4.5	4.5	22.7	27.3	40.9	0.0	Department	3.955	1.133	22
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	4	6	8	0	Class	3.773	1.232	22
	4.5	13.6	18.2	27.3	36.4	0.0	Department	3.773	1.232	22
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	4	1	5	10	0	Class	3.773	1.445	22
	9.1	18.2	4.5	22.7	45.5	0.0	Department	3.773	1.445	22
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	2	1	2	7	10	0	Class	4.000	1.272	22
	9.1	4.5	9.1	31.8	45.5	0.0	Department	4.000	1.272	22
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	7	14	0	Class	4.591	0.590	22
	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.8	63.6	0.0	Department	4.591	0.590	22
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	8	12	0	Class	4.455	0.671	22
	0.0	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.455	0.671	22
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	12	0	Class	4.091	1.231	22
	4.5	9.1	13.6	18.2	54.5	0.0	Department	4.091	1.231	22
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	1	2	8	9	0	Class	3.955	1.253	22
	9.1	4.5	9.1	36.4	40.9	0.0	Department	3.955	1.253	22
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	0	5	6	9	0	Class	3.909	1.231	22
	9.1	0.0	22.7	27.3	40.9	0.0	Department	3.909	1.231	22
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	6	13	0	Class	4.409	0.854	22
	0.0	4.5	9.1	27.3	59.1	0.0	Department	4.409	0.854	22
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	8	12	0	Class	4.455	0.671	22
	0.0	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.455	0.671	22
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	5	3	10	1	Class	3.905	1.261	21
	4.5	9.1	22.7	13.6	45.5	4.5	Department	3.905	1.261	21
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	5	3	9	1	Class	3.810	1.209	21
	0.0	18.2	22.7	13.6	40.9	4.5	Department	3.810	1.209	21
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	2	1	5	9	1	Class	3.619	1.596	21
	18.2	9.1	4.5	22.7	40.9	4.5	Department	3.619	1.596	21
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	2	3	5	9	1	Class	3.810	1.365	21
	9.1	9.1	13.6	22.7	40.9	4.5	Department	3.810	1.365	21
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	5	6	10	0	Class	4.091	1.065	22
	4.5	0.0	22.7	27.3	45.5	0.0	Department	4.091	1.065	22
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Fall 2019 & Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 142						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 447						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 7248						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	7	32	100	0	Class	4.613	0.683	142
	0.0	2.1	4.9	22.5	70.4	0.0	Department	4.677	0.632	446
							College	4.289	0.908	7194
							University	4.224	0.963	67281

2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	3	26	110	0	Class	4.704	0.650	142
	0.7	1.4	2.1	18.3	77.5	0.0	Department	4.726	0.626	446
							College	4.352	0.902	7190
							University	4.284	0.954	67228

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	4	31	103	0	Class	4.634	0.709	142
	0.7	2.1	2.8	21.8	72.5	0.0	Department	4.733	0.606	446
							College	4.290	0.952	7188
							University	4.248	0.981	67221

4. the quality of this course.	2	1	2	36	101	0	Class	4.641	0.688	142
	1.4	0.7	1.4	25.4	71.1	0.0	Department	4.702	0.631	446
							College	4.300	0.865	7187
							University	4.204	0.949	67205

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	3	35	103	0	Class	4.683	0.588	142
	0.7	0.0	2.1	24.6	72.5	0.0	Department	4.749	0.573	446
							College	4.445	0.794	7183
							University	4.381	0.867	67092

6. your understanding of the course content.	2	2	8	43	87	0	Class	4.486	0.788	142
	1.4	1.4	5.6	30.3	61.3	0.0	Department	4.652	0.652	446
							College	4.206	0.879	7181
							University	4.213	0.900	67037

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	4	25	111	0	Class	4.718	0.623	142
	0.7	0.7	2.8	17.6	78.2	0.0	Department	4.734	0.555	447
							College	4.368	0.821	7198
							University	4.289	0.896	66931

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	3	4	38	96	0	Class	4.585	0.717	142
	0.7	2.1	2.8	26.8	67.6	0.0	Department	4.698	0.599	447
							College	4.324	0.864	7195
							University	4.299	0.891	66921

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	3	35	99	0	Class	4.592	0.764	142
	1.4	2.1	2.1	24.6	69.7	0.0	Department	4.694	0.658	447
							College	4.263	0.953	7196
							University	4.227	0.976	66913

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	7	30	104	1	Class	4.688	0.562	141
	0.0	0.0	4.9	21.1	73.2	0.7	Department	4.762	0.490	446
							College	4.426	0.785	7198
							University	4.393	0.833	66897

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	0	6	38	96	0	Class	4.592	0.706	142
	1.4	0.0	4.2	26.8	67.6	0.0	Department	4.653	0.609	447
							College	4.268	0.814	7189
							University	4.276	0.824	66860

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	34	105	1	Class	4.730	0.476	141
	0.0	0.0	1.4	23.9	73.9	0.7	Department	4.733	0.486	446
							College	4.324	0.830	7195
							University	4.313	0.848	66896

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	3	31	105	0	Class	4.662	0.693	142
	1.4	0.7	2.1	21.8	73.9	0.0	Department	4.658	0.707	447
							College	4.290	0.900	7191
							University	4.255	0.937	66912

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	3	33	104	0	Class	4.676	0.625	142
	0.7	0.7	2.1	23.2	73.2	0.0	Department	4.702	0.559	447
							College	4.268	0.905	7189
							University	4.220	0.953	66881

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	1	32	108	0	Class	4.732	0.545	142
	0.7	0.0	0.7	22.5	76.1	0.0	Department	4.758	0.510	446
							College	4.373	0.779	7190
							University	4.337	0.825	66873

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	0	33	107	0	Class	4.718	0.576	142
	0.7	0.7	0.0	23.2	75.4	0.0	Department	4.727	0.529	447
							College	4.347	0.835	7190
							University	4.262	0.917	66748