

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 139
 Your Dept 392
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	5	21	54	53	2	Class	4.073	0.975	137
	2.9	3.6	15.1	38.8	38.1	1.4	Department	4.221	0.982	390
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	5	21	48	59	2	Class	4.117	0.993	137
	2.9	3.6	15.1	34.5	42.4	1.4	Department	4.254	0.970	390
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	2	23	48	59	2	Class	4.124	0.989	137
	3.6	1.4	16.5	34.5	42.4	1.4	Department	4.200	0.999	390
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	3	4	21	56	51	4	Class	4.096	0.921	135
	2.2	2.9	15.1	40.3	36.7	2.9	Department	4.253	0.939	388
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	0	15	50	67	2	Class	4.270	0.928	137
	3.6	0.0	10.8	36.0	48.2	1.4	Department	4.401	0.870	389
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	6	22	63	42	2	Class	3.971	0.954	137
	2.9	4.3	15.8	45.3	30.2	1.4	Department	4.147	0.934	389
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	4	15	55	58	2	Class	4.146	0.982	137
	3.6	2.9	10.8	39.6	41.7	1.4	Department	4.310	0.895	390
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	2	24	60	47	2	Class	4.051	0.918	137
	2.9	1.4	17.3	43.2	33.8	1.4	Department	4.262	0.928	390
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	6	21	57	49	2	Class	4.029	0.977	137
	2.9	4.3	15.1	41.0	35.3	1.4	Department	4.210	0.974	390
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	4	16	54	60	2	Class	4.197	0.914	137
	2.2	2.9	11.5	38.8	43.2	1.4	Department	4.328	0.884	390
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	7	21	61	43	3	Class	3.971	0.973	136
	2.9	5.0	15.1	43.9	30.9	2.2	Department	4.190	0.885	389
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	6	28	47	52	2	Class	4.000	1.015	137
	2.9	4.3	20.1	33.8	37.4	1.4	Department	4.314	0.893	389
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	7	29	45	50	2	Class	3.920	1.085	137
	4.3	5.0	20.9	32.4	36.0	1.4	Department	4.241	0.985	390
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	6	28	52	47	2	Class	3.964	0.996	137
	2.9	4.3	20.1	37.4	33.8	1.4	Department	4.262	0.956	390
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	3	18	61	53	2	Class	4.168	0.845	137
	1.4	2.2	12.9	43.9	38.1	1.4	Department	4.360	0.855	389
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	6	17	50	58	2	Class	4.080	1.058	137
	4.3	4.3	12.2	36.0	41.7	1.4	Department	4.278	0.970	388
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 22
 Your Dept 392
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	7	14	0	Class	4.591	0.590	22
	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.8	63.6	0.0	Department	4.221	0.982	390
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	6	15	0	Class	4.636	0.581	22
	0.0	0.0	4.5	27.3	68.2	0.0	Department	4.254	0.970	390
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	6	14	0	Class	4.500	0.802	22
	0.0	4.5	4.5	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.200	0.999	390
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	5	16	0	Class	4.682	0.568	22
	0.0	0.0	4.5	22.7	72.7	0.0	Department	4.253	0.939	388
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	4	17	1	Class	4.810	0.402	21
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	77.3	4.5	Department	4.401	0.870	389
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	10	10	0	Class	4.318	0.780	22
	0.0	4.5	4.5	45.5	45.5	0.0	Department	4.147	0.934	389
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	15	0	Class	4.636	0.581	22
	0.0	0.0	4.5	27.3	68.2	0.0	Department	4.310	0.895	390
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	0	6	14	0	Class	4.455	0.912	22
	0.0	9.1	0.0	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.262	0.928	390
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	2	3	15	0	Class	4.409	1.008	22
	0.0	9.1	9.1	13.6	68.2	0.0	Department	4.210	0.974	390
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	0	6	14	0	Class	4.455	0.912	22
	0.0	9.1	0.0	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.328	0.884	390
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	5	13	0	Class	4.409	0.796	22
	0.0	0.0	18.2	22.7	59.1	0.0	Department	4.190	0.885	389
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	17	0	Class	4.773	0.429	22
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	77.3	0.0	Department	4.314	0.893	389
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	0	0	6	14	0	Class	4.364	1.177	22
	9.1	0.0	0.0	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.241	0.985	390
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	0	4	16	0	Class	4.500	1.058	22
	4.5	4.5	0.0	18.2	72.7	0.0	Department	4.262	0.956	390
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	1	5	15	0	Class	4.500	0.964	22
	4.5	0.0	4.5	22.7	68.2	0.0	Department	4.360	0.855	389
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	3	17	0	Class	4.636	0.790	22
	0.0	4.5	4.5	13.6	77.3	0.0	Department	4.278	0.970	388
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 142 Your Dept 384 Your College .. 3023										
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	5	39	96	1	Class	4.631	0.591	141	
	0.0	0.7	3.5	27.5	67.6	0.7	Department	4.463	0.734	382	
							College	4.234	0.969	3003	
							University	4.260	0.942	29254	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	5	33	101	1	Class	4.652	0.621	141	
	0.0	1.4	3.5	23.2	71.1	0.7	Department	4.497	0.756	382	
							College	4.294	0.964	3003	
							University	4.317	0.932	29227	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	8	34	99	1	Class	4.645	0.587	141	
	0.0	0.0	5.6	23.9	69.7	0.7	Department	4.482	0.731	382	
							College	4.233	1.024	3002	
							University	4.288	0.959	29225	

4. the quality of this course.	0	2	5	38	96	1	Class	4.617	0.629	141	
	0.0	1.4	3.5	26.8	67.6	0.7	Department	4.482	0.741	382	
							College	4.268	0.914	2999	
							University	4.254	0.926	29216	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	41	97	1	Class	4.667	0.516	141	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	28.9	68.3	0.7	Department	4.545	0.662	382	
							College	4.397	0.845	2998	
							University	4.402	0.847	29211	

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	46	91	1	Class	4.610	0.570	141	
	0.0	0.7	2.1	32.4	64.1	0.7	Department	4.448	0.677	382	
							College	4.199	0.911	2999	
							University	4.257	0.879	29205	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	43	92	0	Class	4.592	0.609	142	
	0.0	0.7	4.2	30.3	64.8	0.0	Department	4.508	0.638	384	
							College	4.319	0.878	3008	
							University	4.315	0.885	29013	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	4	43	92	0	Class	4.577	0.656	142	
	0.0	2.1	2.8	30.3	64.8	0.0	Department	4.458	0.684	384	
							College	4.282	0.930	3004	
							University	4.338	0.875	29007	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	4	46	88	0	Class	4.535	0.691	142	
	0.0	2.8	2.8	32.4	62.0	0.0	Department	4.393	0.774	384	
							College	4.225	0.999	3007	
							University	4.267	0.962	29003	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	5	3	41	93	0	Class	4.563	0.709	142	
	0.0	3.5	2.1	28.9	65.5	0.0	Department	4.445	0.749	384	
							College	4.369	0.847	3006	
							University	4.412	0.820	28994	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	51	88	0	Class	4.592	0.561	142	
	0.0	0.7	1.4	35.9	62.0	0.0	Department	4.449	0.636	383	
							College	4.264	0.829	3001	
							University	4.323	0.799	28980	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	13	41	85	0	Class	4.465	0.750	142	
	0.0	2.1	9.2	28.9	59.9	0.0	Department	4.396	0.730	384	
							College	4.303	0.875	3006	
							University	4.352	0.838	29001	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	9	43	86	0	Class	4.479	0.769	142	
	0.7	2.1	6.3	30.3	60.6	0.0	Department	4.298	0.856	383	
							College	4.218	0.990	3006	
							University	4.283	0.938	29004	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	9	42	87	0	Class	4.493	0.741	142	
	0.0	2.8	6.3	29.6	61.3	0.0	Department	4.379	0.779	383	
							College	4.233	0.966	3006	
							University	4.265	0.944	28998	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	43	91	0	Class	4.570	0.646	142	
	0.0	1.4	4.2	30.3	64.1	0.0	Department	4.475	0.650	383	
							College	4.347	0.833	3005	
							University	4.375	0.816	29006	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	9	37	95	0	Class	4.592	0.643	142	
	0.0	0.7	6.3	26.1	66.9	0.0	Department	4.461	0.684	384	
							College	4.307	0.888	3004	
							University	4.286	0.906	28965	

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 236
 Your Dept 384
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	6	16	93	118	1	Class	4.357	0.790	235
	0.8	2.5	6.8	39.4	50.0	0.4	Department	4.463	0.734	382
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	4	20	78	130	1	Class	4.396	0.817	235
	1.3	1.7	8.5	33.1	55.1	0.4	Department	4.497	0.756	382
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	7	19	84	124	1	Class	4.374	0.793	235
	0.4	3.0	8.1	35.6	52.5	0.4	Department	4.482	0.731	382
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	2	7	13	88	125	1	Class	4.391	0.795	235
	0.8	3.0	5.5	37.3	53.0	0.4	Department	4.482	0.741	382
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	3	12	85	133	1	Class	4.464	0.729	235
	0.8	1.3	5.1	36.0	56.4	0.4	Department	4.545	0.662	382
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	6	15	103	111	1	Class	4.357	0.716	235
	0.0	2.5	6.4	43.6	47.0	0.4	Department	4.448	0.677	382
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	9	101	122	0	Class	4.445	0.653	236
	0.0	1.7	3.8	42.8	51.7	0.0	Department	4.508	0.638	384
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	16	101	115	0	Class	4.386	0.690	236
	0.0	1.7	6.8	42.8	48.7	0.0	Department	4.458	0.684	384
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	8	23	92	112	0	Class	4.297	0.813	236
	0.4	3.4	9.7	39.0	47.5	0.0	Department	4.393	0.774	384
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	7	8	104	115	0	Class	4.369	0.763	236
	0.8	3.0	3.4	44.1	48.7	0.0	Department	4.445	0.749	384
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	18	106	109	1	Class	4.370	0.663	235
	0.0	0.8	7.6	44.9	46.2	0.4	Department	4.449	0.636	383
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	25	99	111	0	Class	4.356	0.684	236
	0.0	0.4	10.6	41.9	47.0	0.0	Department	4.396	0.730	384
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	12	22	103	96	1	Class	4.187	0.867	235
	0.8	5.1	9.3	43.6	40.7	0.4	Department	4.298	0.856	383
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	9	16	101	108	1	Class	4.302	0.794	235
	0.4	3.8	6.8	42.8	45.8	0.4	Department	4.379	0.779	383
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	9	110	113	1	Class	4.413	0.650	235
	0.4	0.8	3.8	46.6	47.9	0.4	Department	4.475	0.650	383
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	4	12	108	111	0	Class	4.373	0.700	236
	0.4	1.7	5.1	45.8	47.0	0.0	Department	4.461	0.684	384
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 6
 Your Dept 384
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	0	5	0	Class	4.667	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.463	0.734	382
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.497	0.756	382
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.482	0.731	382
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.482	0.741	382
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.545	0.662	382
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	3	2	0	Class	4.167	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.448	0.677	382
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.508	0.638	384
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	1	4	0	Class	4.500	0.837	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.458	0.684	384
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.393	0.774	384
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	0	5	0	Class	4.667	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.445	0.749	384
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	3	2	0	Class	4.167	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.449	0.636	383
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	0	0	5	0	Class	4.333	1.633	6
	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.396	0.730	384
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	0	0	5	0	Class	4.333	1.633	6
	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.298	0.856	383
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	0	5	0	Class	4.667	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.379	0.779	383
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.475	0.650	383
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.461	0.684	384
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 28
 Your Dept 60
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	5	4	10	9	0	Class	3.821	1.090	28
	0.0	17.9	14.3	35.7	32.1	0.0	Department	4.050	1.016	60
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	7	12	8	0	Class	3.964	0.838	28
	0.0	3.6	25.0	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	4.183	0.854	60
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	7	8	8	5	0	Class	3.393	1.066	28
	0.0	25.0	28.6	28.6	17.9	0.0	Department	3.883	1.091	60
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	7	12	8	0	Class	3.964	0.838	28
	0.0	3.6	25.0	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	4.100	0.896	60
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	13	11	0	Class	4.214	0.787	28
	0.0	3.6	10.7	46.4	39.3	0.0	Department	4.267	0.778	60
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	4	14	8	0	Class	4.000	0.861	28
	0.0	7.1	14.3	50.0	28.6	0.0	Department	4.267	0.800	60
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	10	11	0	Class	4.107	0.875	28
	0.0	3.6	21.4	35.7	39.3	0.0	Department	4.250	0.895	60
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	1	7	12	6	0	Class	3.679	1.090	28
	7.1	3.6	25.0	42.9	21.4	0.0	Department	3.983	1.049	60
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	11	7	7	0	Class	3.607	1.066	28
	3.6	7.1	39.3	25.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.000	1.008	60
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	12	12	0	Class	4.250	0.799	28
	0.0	3.6	10.7	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.367	0.780	60
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	4	13	9	0	Class	4.036	0.881	28
	0.0	7.1	14.3	46.4	32.1	0.0	Department	4.300	0.788	60
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	11	13	1	Class	4.333	0.784	27
	0.0	3.6	7.1	39.3	46.4	3.6	Department	4.508	0.704	59
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	2	14	10	0	Class	4.143	0.848	28
	0.0	7.1	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.0	Department	4.367	0.758	60
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	6	9	10	0	Class	3.929	1.016	28
	0.0	10.7	21.4	32.1	35.7	0.0	Department	4.186	0.919	59
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	12	8	0	Class	3.929	0.900	28
	0.0	7.1	21.4	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	4.217	0.825	60
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	8	12	6	0	Class	3.786	0.876	28
	0.0	7.1	28.6	42.9	21.4	0.0	Department	4.100	0.877	60
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 32
 Your Dept 60
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	4	10	16	0	Class	4.250	0.916	32
	0.0	6.3	12.5	31.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.050	1.016	60
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	4	9	18	0	Class	4.375	0.833	32
	0.0	3.1	12.5	28.1	56.3	0.0	Department	4.183	0.854	60
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	4	10	17	0	Class	4.313	0.931	32
	3.1	0.0	12.5	31.3	53.1	0.0	Department	3.883	1.091	60
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	5	9	16	0	Class	4.219	0.941	32
	0.0	6.3	15.6	28.1	50.0	0.0	Department	4.100	0.896	60
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	6	10	16	0	Class	4.313	0.780	32
	0.0	0.0	18.8	31.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.267	0.778	60
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	10	19	0	Class	4.500	0.672	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	31.3	59.4	0.0	Department	4.267	0.800	60
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	3	10	18	0	Class	4.375	0.907	32
	3.1	0.0	9.4	31.3	56.3	0.0	Department	4.250	0.895	60
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	5	8	17	0	Class	4.250	0.950	32
	0.0	6.3	15.6	25.0	53.1	0.0	Department	3.983	1.049	60
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	7	7	18	0	Class	4.344	0.827	32
	0.0	0.0	21.9	21.9	56.3	0.0	Department	4.000	1.008	60
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	10	19	0	Class	4.469	0.761	32
	0.0	3.1	6.3	31.3	59.4	0.0	Department	4.367	0.780	60
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	11	19	0	Class	4.531	0.621	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	34.4	59.4	0.0	Department	4.300	0.788	60
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	7	23	0	Class	4.656	0.602	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	21.9	71.9	0.0	Department	4.508	0.704	59
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	10	20	0	Class	4.563	0.619	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	31.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.367	0.758	60
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	2	11	17	1	Class	4.419	0.765	31
	0.0	3.1	6.3	34.4	53.1	3.1	Department	4.186	0.919	59
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	11	18	0	Class	4.469	0.671	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	34.4	56.3	0.0	Department	4.217	0.825	60
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	3	11	17	0	Class	4.375	0.793	32
	0.0	3.1	9.4	34.4	53.1	0.0	Department	4.100	0.877	60
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 8
 Your Dept 29
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	2	5	0	Class	4.375	1.061	8
	0.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	Department	4.759	0.636	29
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	0	6	0	Class	4.375	1.188	8
	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.655	0.769	29
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	1	5	0	Class	4.250	1.165	8
	0.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.655	0.769	29
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	2	5	0	Class	4.375	1.061	8
	0.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	Department	4.759	0.636	29
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.759	0.577	29
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.828	0.384	29
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	0	6	0	Class	4.500	0.926	8
	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.724	0.591	29
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.793	0.491	29
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.793	0.491	29
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	2	5	0	Class	4.375	1.061	8
	0.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	Department	4.690	0.712	29
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	7	0	Class	4.875	0.354	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.897	0.310	29
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.793	0.491	29
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	0	6	0	Class	4.500	0.926	8
	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.621	0.728	29
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.690	0.604	29
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	0	6	0	Class	4.500	0.926	8
	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.655	0.670	29
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	4	0	Class	4.500	0.535	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.759	0.435	29
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 440
 Your Dept 542
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15 3.4	26 5.9	72 16.4	169 38.4	154 35.0	4 0.9	Class Department College University	3.966 3.981 4.234 4.260	1.033 1.017 0.969 0.942	436 538 3003 29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	13 3.0	29 6.6	68 15.5	153 34.8	173 39.3	4 0.9	Class Department College University	4.018 4.032 4.294 4.317	1.044 1.036 0.964 0.932	436 538 3003 29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	23 5.2	39 8.9	70 15.9	139 31.6	165 37.5	4 0.9	Class Department College University	3.881 3.913 4.233 4.288	1.166 1.138 1.024 0.959	436 538 3002 29225
4. the quality of this course.	10 2.3	23 5.2	68 15.5	186 42.3	149 33.9	4 0.9	Class Department College University	4.011 4.015 4.268 4.254	0.958 0.961 0.914 0.926	436 538 2999 29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5 1.1	22 5.0	55 12.5	165 37.5	189 43.0	4 0.9	Class Department College University	4.172 4.199 4.397 4.402	0.915 0.907 0.845 0.847	436 538 2998 29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	8 1.8	26 5.9	84 19.1	183 41.6	135 30.7	4 0.9	Class Department College University	3.943 3.944 4.199 4.257	0.951 0.961 0.911 0.879	436 538 2999 29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	8 1.8	29 6.6	85 19.3	158 35.9	157 35.7	3 0.7	Class Department College University	3.977 4.017 4.319 4.315	0.993 0.980 0.878 0.885	437 539 3008 29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	13 3.0	19 4.3	75 17.0	160 36.4	168 38.2	5 1.1	Class Department College University	4.037 4.074 4.282 4.338	1.002 0.990 0.930 0.875	435 537 3004 29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	16 3.6	26 5.9	67 15.2	158 35.9	170 38.6	3 0.7	Class Department College University	4.007 4.022 4.225 4.267	1.055 1.042 0.999 0.962	437 539 3007 29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	8 1.8	17 3.9	46 10.5	190 43.2	176 40.0	3 0.7	Class Department College University	4.165 4.184 4.369 4.412	0.896 0.897 0.847 0.820	437 539 3006 28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4 0.9	17 3.9	74 16.8	195 44.3	146 33.2	4 0.9	Class Department College University	4.060 4.069 4.264 4.323	0.861 0.870 0.829 0.799	436 538 3001 28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	14 3.2	28 6.4	96 21.8	162 36.8	137 31.1	3 0.7	Class Department College University	3.870 3.963 4.303 4.352	1.031 1.005 0.875 0.838	437 539 3006 29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	25 5.7	34 7.7	105 23.9	141 32.0	132 30.0	3 0.7	Class Department College University	3.735 3.839 4.218 4.283	1.141 1.127 0.990 0.938	437 539 3006 29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	19 4.3	31 7.0	102 23.2	154 35.0	131 29.8	3 0.7	Class Department College University	3.794 3.872 4.233 4.265	1.081 1.068 0.966 0.944	437 539 3006 28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7 1.6	20 4.5	75 17.0	185 42.0	150 34.1	3 0.7	Class Department College University	4.032 4.072 4.347 4.375	0.918 0.909 0.833 0.816	437 539 3005 29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11 2.5	22 5.0	93 21.1	164 37.3	147 33.4	3 0.7	Class Department College University	3.947 3.987 4.307 4.286	0.988 0.984 0.888 0.906	437 539 3004 28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 12
 Your Dept 542
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	4	7	0	Class	4.500	0.674	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	58.3	0.0	Department	3.981	1.017	538
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	9	0	Class	4.667	0.651	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.032	1.036	538
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	2	9	0	Class	4.667	0.651	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	3.913	1.138	538
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	0	1	10	0	Class	4.583	1.165	12
	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	83.3	0.0	Department	4.015	0.961	538
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.199	0.907	538
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	3	8	0	Class	4.583	0.669	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	25.0	66.7	0.0	Department	3.944	0.961	538
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.017	0.980	539
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.074	0.990	537
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.022	1.042	539
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.184	0.897	539
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.750	0.452	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.069	0.870	538
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	3.963	1.005	539
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	3.839	1.127	539
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.667	0.492	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	3.872	1.068	539
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	0	4	7	0	Class	4.417	0.900	12
	0.0	8.3	0.0	33.3	58.3	0.0	Department	4.072	0.909	539
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	3.987	0.984	539
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 8
 Your Dept 8
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	7	0	Class	4.875	0.354	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.875	0.354	8
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	7	0	Class	4.875	0.354	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.875	0.354	8
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	1	6	0	Class	4.625	0.744	8
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.625	0.744	8
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	7	0	Class	4.875	0.354	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.875	0.354	8
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	3	5	0	Class	4.625	0.518	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.625	0.518	8
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	5	0	Class	4.625	0.518	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.625	0.518	8
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	5	0	Class	4.625	0.518	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.625	0.518	8
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	6	0	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	5	0	Class	4.625	0.518	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.625	0.518	8
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 321
 Your Dept 509
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	16	29	106	163	2	Class	4.273	0.934	319
	1.6	5.0	9.0	33.0	50.8	0.6	Department	4.099	1.114	506
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	15	29	80	188	1	Class	4.328	0.993	320
	2.5	4.7	9.0	24.9	58.6	0.3	Department	4.180	1.125	506
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	11	18	18	91	182	1	Class	4.297	1.037	320
	3.4	5.6	5.6	28.3	56.7	0.3	Department	4.130	1.199	506
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	5	4	32	111	168	1	Class	4.353	0.833	320
	1.6	1.2	10.0	34.6	52.3	0.3	Department	4.190	1.018	506
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	7	21	102	183	1	Class	4.397	0.876	320
	2.2	2.2	6.5	31.8	57.0	0.3	Department	4.335	0.929	504
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	10	34	140	128	2	Class	4.166	0.898	319
	2.2	3.1	10.6	43.6	39.9	0.6	Department	4.101	0.951	505
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	11	15	113	177	1	Class	4.400	0.832	320
	1.2	3.4	4.7	35.2	55.1	0.3	Department	4.273	0.991	506
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	7	26	111	168	1	Class	4.325	0.903	320
	2.5	2.2	8.1	34.6	52.3	0.3	Department	4.141	1.126	505
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	14	31	91	175	1	Class	4.278	0.999	320
	2.8	4.4	9.7	28.3	54.5	0.3	Department	4.065	1.227	505
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	8	15	111	181	1	Class	4.422	0.823	320
	1.6	2.5	4.7	34.6	56.4	0.3	Department	4.341	0.953	505
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	7	24	138	146	1	Class	4.291	0.823	320
	1.6	2.2	7.5	43.0	45.5	0.3	Department	4.263	0.850	505
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	6	36	122	152	1	Class	4.288	0.833	320
	1.2	1.9	11.2	38.0	47.4	0.3	Department	4.243	0.923	507
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	7	10	24	123	156	1	Class	4.284	0.898	320
	2.2	3.1	7.5	38.3	48.6	0.3	Department	4.111	1.130	505
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	14	34	106	161	1	Class	4.263	0.927	320
	1.6	4.4	10.6	33.0	50.2	0.3	Department	4.117	1.105	506
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	5	23	119	168	2	Class	4.386	0.792	319
	1.2	1.6	7.2	37.1	52.3	0.6	Department	4.297	0.930	505
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	9	18	120	168	2	Class	4.376	0.818	319
	1.2	2.8	5.6	37.4	52.3	0.6	Department	4.297	0.936	505
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 49
 Your Dept 81
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	14	33	0	Class	4.633	0.566	49
	0.0	0.0	4.1	28.6	67.3	0.0	Department	4.630	0.601	81
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	9	39	0	Class	4.776	0.468	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	18.4	79.6	0.0	Department	4.741	0.519	81
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	10	38	0	Class	4.755	0.480	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	20.4	77.6	0.0	Department	4.790	0.439	81
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	11	35	0	Class	4.653	0.597	49
	0.0	0.0	6.1	22.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.593	0.667	81
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	9	36	0	Class	4.633	0.698	49
	0.0	2.0	6.1	18.4	73.5	0.0	Department	4.654	0.636	81
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	2	14	32	0	Class	4.571	0.677	49
	0.0	2.0	4.1	28.6	65.3	0.0	Department	4.642	0.598	81
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	35	0	Class	4.673	0.555	49
	0.0	0.0	4.1	24.5	71.4	0.0	Department	4.667	0.612	81
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	12	36	0	Class	4.714	0.500	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	24.5	73.5	0.0	Department	4.691	0.516	81
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	12	33	0	Class	4.592	0.643	49
	0.0	0.0	8.2	24.5	67.3	0.0	Department	4.642	0.598	81
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	11	34	0	Class	4.592	0.705	49
	0.0	2.0	6.1	22.4	69.4	0.0	Department	4.605	0.646	81
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	22	24	0	Class	4.429	0.612	49
	0.0	0.0	6.1	44.9	49.0	0.0	Department	4.420	0.630	81
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	11	37	0	Class	4.735	0.491	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	22.4	75.5	0.0	Department	4.704	0.535	81
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	11	36	0	Class	4.694	0.548	49
	0.0	0.0	4.1	22.4	73.5	0.0	Department	4.593	0.685	81
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	13	34	0	Class	4.653	0.561	49
	0.0	0.0	4.1	26.5	69.4	0.0	Department	4.605	0.665	81
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	11	37	0	Class	4.735	0.491	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	22.4	75.5	0.0	Department	4.704	0.601	81
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	10	38	0	Class	4.755	0.480	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	20.4	77.6	0.0	Department	4.679	0.588	81
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 32
 Your Dept 81
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	6	23	0	Class	4.625	0.660	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	18.8	71.9	0.0	Department	4.630	0.601	81
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	6	24	0	Class	4.688	0.592	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	18.8	75.0	0.0	Department	4.741	0.519	81
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	5	27	0	Class	4.844	0.369	32
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	84.4	0.0	Department	4.790	0.439	81
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	5	6	21	0	Class	4.500	0.762	32
	0.0	0.0	15.6	18.8	65.6	0.0	Department	4.593	0.667	81
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	8	23	0	Class	4.688	0.535	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	25.0	71.9	0.0	Department	4.654	0.636	81
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	8	24	0	Class	4.750	0.440	32
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.642	0.598	81
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	6	24	0	Class	4.656	0.701	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	18.8	75.0	0.0	Department	4.667	0.612	81
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	9	22	0	Class	4.656	0.545	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	28.1	68.8	0.0	Department	4.691	0.516	81
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	7	24	0	Class	4.719	0.523	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	21.9	75.0	0.0	Department	4.642	0.598	81
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	10	21	0	Class	4.625	0.554	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	31.3	65.6	0.0	Department	4.605	0.646	81
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	13	16	0	Class	4.406	0.665	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	40.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.420	0.630	81
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	7	23	0	Class	4.656	0.602	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	21.9	71.9	0.0	Department	4.704	0.535	81
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	7	20	0	Class	4.438	0.840	32
	0.0	3.1	12.5	21.9	62.5	0.0	Department	4.593	0.685	81
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	6	22	0	Class	4.531	0.803	32
	0.0	3.1	9.4	18.8	68.8	0.0	Department	4.605	0.665	81
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	4	25	0	Class	4.656	0.745	32
	0.0	3.1	6.3	12.5	78.1	0.0	Department	4.704	0.601	81
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	9	21	0	Class	4.563	0.716	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	28.1	65.6	0.0	Department	4.679	0.588	81
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 7
 Your Dept 14
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	2	3	0	Class	4.000	1.155	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0	Department	3.929	1.207	14
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	3	3	0	Class	4.143	1.069	7
	0.0	14.3	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.214	1.051	14
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	3	2	0	Class	3.857	1.069	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	3.857	1.167	14
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	2	2	0	Class	3.857	0.900	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	28.6	28.6	0.0	Department	4.071	0.829	14
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	3	1	0	Class	3.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	Department	4.000	0.679	14
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.286	0.611	14
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	3	3	0	Class	4.143	1.069	7
	0.0	14.3	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.143	0.864	14
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	2	3	0	Class	4.143	0.900	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	28.6	42.9	0.0	Department	3.857	1.167	14
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	3	2	0	Class	3.857	1.069	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	42.9	28.6	0.0	Department	3.786	1.188	14
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	2	2	0	Class	3.857	0.900	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	28.6	28.6	0.0	Department	3.786	1.122	14
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	4.286	0.611	14
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.650	14
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.357	0.745	14
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.357	0.745	14
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	4	0	Class	4.429	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.286	0.726	14
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	1	4	0	Class	4.286	0.951	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	14.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.286	0.825	14
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 1
 Your Dept 14
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 3.929 4.234 4.260	. 1.207 0.969 0.942	1 14 3003 29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.214 4.294 4.317	. 1.051 0.964 0.932	1 14 3003 29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 3.857 4.233 4.288	. 1.167 1.024 0.959	1 14 3002 29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.071 4.268 4.254	. 0.829 0.914 0.926	1 14 2999 29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.000 4.397 4.402	. 0.679 0.845 0.847	1 14 2998 29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.286 4.199 4.257	. 0.611 0.911 0.879	1 14 2999 29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.143 4.319 4.315	. 0.864 0.878 0.885	1 14 3008 29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 3.857 4.282 4.338	. 1.167 0.930 0.875	1 14 3004 29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 3.786 4.225 4.267	. 1.188 0.999 0.962	1 14 3007 29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 3.786 4.369 4.412	. 1.122 0.847 0.820	1 14 3006 28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.286 4.264 4.323	. 0.611 0.829 0.799	1 14 3001 28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.500 4.303 4.352	. 0.650 0.875 0.838	1 14 3006 29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.357 4.218 4.283	. 0.745 0.990 0.938	1 14 3006 29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.357 4.233 4.265	. 0.745 0.966 0.944	1 14 3006 28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.286 4.347 4.375	. 0.726 0.833 0.816	1 14 3005 29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.286 4.307 4.286	. 0.825 0.888 0.906	1 14 3004 28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 327
 Your Dept 551
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	19	36	109	157	2	Class	4.218	0.945	325
	1.2	5.8	11.0	33.3	48.0	0.6	Department	4.243	0.964	543
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	14	33	105	167	2	Class	4.271	0.940	325
	1.8	4.3	10.1	32.1	51.1	0.6	Department	4.309	0.945	543
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	15	37	104	161	3	Class	4.225	0.971	324
	2.1	4.6	11.3	31.8	49.2	0.9	Department	4.280	0.959	542
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	3	13	41	125	141	4	Class	4.201	0.877	323
	0.9	4.0	12.5	38.2	43.1	1.2	Department	4.248	0.910	541
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	8	25	104	181	4	Class	4.387	0.854	323
	1.5	2.4	7.6	31.8	55.4	1.2	Department	4.368	0.907	541
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	18	40	113	145	3	Class	4.139	1.000	324
	2.4	5.5	12.2	34.6	44.3	0.9	Department	4.157	0.986	542
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	8	33	119	160	3	Class	4.306	0.845	324
	1.2	2.4	10.1	36.4	48.9	0.9	Department	4.333	0.850	544
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	12	34	112	161	4	Class	4.282	0.887	323
	1.2	3.7	10.4	34.3	49.2	1.2	Department	4.311	0.910	543
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	15	37	109	156	3	Class	4.210	0.967	324
	2.1	4.6	11.3	33.3	47.7	0.9	Department	4.246	0.972	544
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	8	20	120	173	3	Class	4.395	0.790	324
	0.9	2.4	6.1	36.7	52.9	0.9	Department	4.382	0.837	544
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	10	43	125	139	5	Class	4.189	0.892	322
	1.5	3.1	13.1	38.2	42.5	1.5	Department	4.201	0.916	541
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	8	26	118	168	3	Class	4.352	0.829	324
	1.2	2.4	8.0	36.1	51.4	0.9	Department	4.357	0.826	544
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	8	32	119	161	3	Class	4.312	0.843	324
	1.2	2.4	9.8	36.4	49.2	0.9	Department	4.349	0.836	544
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	7	40	106	165	3	Class	4.287	0.898	324
	1.8	2.1	12.2	32.4	50.5	0.9	Department	4.327	0.896	544
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	9	29	113	171	3	Class	4.364	0.808	324
	0.6	2.8	8.9	34.6	52.3	0.9	Department	4.379	0.814	544
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	5	29	120	163	4	Class	4.328	0.847	323
	1.8	1.5	8.9	36.7	49.8	1.2	Department	4.344	0.872	543
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 81
 Your Dept 551
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	18	62	0	Class	4.753	0.462	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	22.2	76.5	0.0	Department	4.243	0.964	543
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	10	70	0	Class	4.852	0.391	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	12.3	86.4	0.0	Department	4.309	0.945	543
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	11	68	0	Class	4.815	0.450	81
	0.0	0.0	2.5	13.6	84.0	0.0	Department	4.280	0.959	542
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	19	60	0	Class	4.716	0.506	81
	0.0	0.0	2.5	23.5	74.1	0.0	Department	4.248	0.910	541
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	13	64	0	Class	4.741	0.543	81
	0.0	0.0	4.9	16.0	79.0	0.0	Department	4.368	0.907	541
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	28	52	0	Class	4.630	0.511	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	34.6	64.2	0.0	Department	4.157	0.986	542
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	17	63	0	Class	4.765	0.455	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	21.0	77.8	0.0	Department	4.333	0.850	544
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	17	64	0	Class	4.790	0.410	81
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	79.0	0.0	Department	4.311	0.910	543
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	18	62	0	Class	4.753	0.462	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	22.2	76.5	0.0	Department	4.246	0.972	544
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	4	20	56	0	Class	4.617	0.644	81
	0.0	1.2	4.9	24.7	69.1	0.0	Department	4.382	0.837	544
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	27	53	0	Class	4.642	0.508	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	33.3	65.4	0.0	Department	4.201	0.916	541
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	18	60	0	Class	4.704	0.535	81
	0.0	0.0	3.7	22.2	74.1	0.0	Department	4.357	0.826	544
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	18	60	0	Class	4.704	0.535	81
	0.0	0.0	3.7	22.2	74.1	0.0	Department	4.349	0.836	544
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	18	63	0	Class	4.778	0.418	81
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.327	0.896	544
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	23	56	0	Class	4.667	0.524	81
	0.0	0.0	2.5	28.4	69.1	0.0	Department	4.379	0.814	544
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	18	62	0	Class	4.753	0.462	81
	0.0	0.0	1.2	22.2	76.5	0.0	Department	4.344	0.872	543
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 2
 Your Dept 44
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.591	0.622	44
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.614	0.618	44
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.568	0.759	44
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.705	0.462	44
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.795	0.408	44
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.651	0.613	43
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.568	0.625	44
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.614	0.689	44
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.636	0.650	44
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.488	0.856	43
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.455	0.697	44
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	0	0	0	Class	3.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.523	0.792	44
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.568	0.789	44
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	1	0	Class	4.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.614	0.722	44
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.614	0.655	44
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.614	0.618	44
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 42
 Your Dept 44
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	10	29	0	Class	4.619	0.623	42
	0.0	0.0	7.1	23.8	69.0	0.0	Department	4.591	0.622	44
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	9	30	0	Class	4.643	0.618	42
	0.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.614	0.618	44
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	6	31	0	Class	4.595	0.767	42
	0.0	2.4	9.5	14.3	73.8	0.0	Department	4.568	0.759	44
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	11	31	0	Class	4.738	0.445	42
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	73.8	0.0	Department	4.705	0.462	44
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	7	35	0	Class	4.833	0.377	42
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.795	0.408	44
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	8	31	1	Class	4.707	0.559	41
	0.0	0.0	4.8	19.0	73.8	2.4	Department	4.651	0.613	43
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	28	0	Class	4.619	0.582	42
	0.0	0.0	4.8	28.6	66.7	0.0	Department	4.568	0.625	44
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	6	32	0	Class	4.667	0.650	42
	0.0	0.0	9.5	14.3	76.2	0.0	Department	4.614	0.689	44
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	9	31	0	Class	4.667	0.650	42
	0.0	2.4	2.4	21.4	73.8	0.0	Department	4.636	0.650	44
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	3	9	28	1	Class	4.537	0.840	41
	2.4	0.0	7.1	21.4	66.7	2.4	Department	4.488	0.856	43
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	12	25	0	Class	4.476	0.707	42
	0.0	0.0	11.9	28.6	59.5	0.0	Department	4.455	0.697	44
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	3	8	30	0	Class	4.595	0.734	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	19.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.523	0.792	44
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	5	32	0	Class	4.619	0.764	42
	0.0	2.4	9.5	11.9	76.2	0.0	Department	4.568	0.789	44
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	7	31	0	Class	4.619	0.731	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	16.7	73.8	0.0	Department	4.614	0.722	44
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	7	31	0	Class	4.643	0.656	42
	0.0	0.0	9.5	16.7	73.8	0.0	Department	4.614	0.655	44
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	9	30	0	Class	4.643	0.618	42
	0.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.614	0.618	44
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 44
 Your Dept 73
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	7	16	20	0	Class	4.250	0.811	44
	0.0	2.3	15.9	36.4	45.5	0.0	Department	4.260	0.898	73
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	16	24	0	Class	4.432	0.728	44
	0.0	2.3	6.8	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.438	0.745	73
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	6	11	24	0	Class	4.227	1.075	44
	4.5	2.3	13.6	25.0	54.5	0.0	Department	4.260	0.943	73
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	5	19	20	0	Class	4.341	0.680	44
	0.0	0.0	11.4	43.2	45.5	0.0	Department	4.370	0.717	73
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	19	23	0	Class	4.477	0.590	44
	0.0	0.0	4.5	43.2	52.3	0.0	Department	4.479	0.648	73
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	6	18	19	0	Class	4.250	0.781	44
	0.0	2.3	13.6	40.9	43.2	0.0	Department	4.233	0.842	73
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	5	19	20	0	Class	4.341	0.680	44
	0.0	0.0	11.4	43.2	45.5	0.0	Department	4.411	0.684	73
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	5	17	20	0	Class	4.250	0.839	44
	0.0	4.5	11.4	38.6	45.5	0.0	Department	4.329	0.834	73
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	6	14	22	0	Class	4.250	0.943	44
	2.3	2.3	13.6	31.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.315	0.956	73
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	6	9	29	0	Class	4.523	0.731	44
	0.0	0.0	13.6	20.5	65.9	0.0	Department	4.452	0.746	73
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	22	18	0	Class	4.318	0.639	44
	0.0	0.0	9.1	50.0	40.9	0.0	Department	4.219	0.821	73
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	4	12	26	0	Class	4.386	0.920	44
	2.3	2.3	9.1	27.3	59.1	0.0	Department	4.219	1.057	73
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	5	7	29	0	Class	4.409	0.948	44
	0.0	6.8	11.4	15.9	65.9	0.0	Department	4.205	1.040	73
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	4	13	25	0	Class	4.386	0.841	44
	0.0	4.5	9.1	29.5	56.8	0.0	Department	4.288	0.993	73
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	15	24	0	Class	4.432	0.695	44
	0.0	0.0	11.4	34.1	54.5	0.0	Department	4.411	0.761	73
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	15	24	0	Class	4.432	0.695	44
	0.0	0.0	11.4	34.1	54.5	0.0	Department	4.411	0.704	73
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 29
 Your Dept 73
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	3	8	16	0	Class	4.276	1.032	29
	3.4	3.4	10.3	27.6	55.2	0.0	Department	4.260	0.898	73
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	5	6	18	0	Class	4.448	0.783	29
	0.0	0.0	17.2	20.7	62.1	0.0	Department	4.438	0.745	73
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	12	13	0	Class	4.310	0.712	29
	0.0	0.0	13.8	41.4	44.8	0.0	Department	4.260	0.943	73
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	10	16	0	Class	4.414	0.780	29
	0.0	3.4	6.9	34.5	55.2	0.0	Department	4.370	0.717	73
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	10	17	0	Class	4.483	0.738	29
	0.0	3.4	3.4	34.5	58.6	0.0	Department	4.479	0.648	73
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	4	11	13	0	Class	4.207	0.940	29
	3.4	0.0	13.8	37.9	44.8	0.0	Department	4.233	0.842	73
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	8	18	0	Class	4.517	0.688	29
	0.0	0.0	10.3	27.6	62.1	0.0	Department	4.411	0.684	73
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	0	12	16	0	Class	4.448	0.827	29
	3.4	0.0	0.0	41.4	55.2	0.0	Department	4.329	0.834	73
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	1	8	18	0	Class	4.414	0.983	29
	3.4	3.4	3.4	27.6	62.1	0.0	Department	4.315	0.956	73
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	5	9	15	0	Class	4.345	0.769	29
	0.0	0.0	17.2	31.0	51.7	0.0	Department	4.452	0.746	73
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	0	2	15	10	0	Class	4.069	1.033	29
	6.9	0.0	6.9	51.7	34.5	0.0	Department	4.219	0.821	73
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	3	6	5	14	0	Class	3.966	1.210	29
	3.4	10.3	20.7	17.2	48.3	0.0	Department	4.219	1.057	73
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	7	6	12	0	Class	3.897	1.113	29
	0.0	13.8	24.1	20.7	41.4	0.0	Department	4.205	1.040	73
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	1	3	8	15	0	Class	4.138	1.187	29
	6.9	3.4	10.3	27.6	51.7	0.0	Department	4.288	0.993	73
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	1	12	15	0	Class	4.379	0.862	29
	3.4	0.0	3.4	41.4	51.7	0.0	Department	4.411	0.761	73
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	13	14	0	Class	4.379	0.728	29
	0.0	3.4	3.4	44.8	48.3	0.0	Department	4.411	0.704	73
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 85
 Your Dept 160
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	4	11	39	28	1	Class	4.036	0.937	84
	2.4	4.7	12.9	45.9	32.9	1.2	Department	4.195	0.945	159
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	4	9	29	40	1	Class	4.202	0.979	84
	2.4	4.7	10.6	34.1	47.1	1.2	Department	4.270	0.966	159
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	10	31	34	1	Class	4.036	1.069	84
	3.5	7.1	11.8	36.5	40.0	1.2	Department	4.138	1.052	159
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	2	3	14	42	23	1	Class	3.964	0.898	84
	2.4	3.5	16.5	49.4	27.1	1.2	Department	4.195	0.910	159
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	5	33	43	1	Class	4.369	0.803	84
	1.2	2.4	5.9	38.8	50.6	1.2	Department	4.403	0.804	159
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	2	14	41	24	1	Class	3.964	0.937	84
	3.5	2.4	16.5	48.2	28.2	1.2	Department	4.107	0.904	159
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	4	7	41	32	0	Class	4.165	0.857	85
	1.2	4.7	8.2	48.2	37.6	0.0	Department	4.250	0.869	160
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	7	46	28	0	Class	4.129	0.842	85
	2.4	2.4	8.2	54.1	32.9	0.0	Department	4.263	0.828	160
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	2	10	44	26	0	Class	4.035	0.919	85
	3.5	2.4	11.8	51.8	30.6	0.0	Department	4.163	0.931	160
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	5	44	33	0	Class	4.247	0.770	85
	1.2	2.4	5.9	51.8	38.8	0.0	Department	4.369	0.758	160
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	10	46	26	0	Class	4.118	0.747	85
	0.0	3.5	11.8	54.1	30.6	0.0	Department	4.231	0.737	160
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	7	34	40	0	Class	4.271	0.892	85
	2.4	2.4	8.2	40.0	47.1	0.0	Department	4.375	0.807	160
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	5	7	35	36	0	Class	4.153	0.970	85
	2.4	5.9	8.2	41.2	42.4	0.0	Department	4.306	0.876	160
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	6	10	39	29	0	Class	4.047	0.925	85
	1.2	7.1	11.8	45.9	34.1	0.0	Department	4.206	0.932	160
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	13	39	29	0	Class	4.082	0.862	85
	1.2	3.5	15.3	45.9	34.1	0.0	Department	4.275	0.824	160
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	1	6	43	31	0	Class	4.129	0.949	85
	4.7	1.2	7.1	50.6	36.5	0.0	Department	4.238	0.935	160
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 09JUL20 Using Data from Spring 2020

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 87
 Your Dept 176
 Your College .. 3023

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	4	17	63	0	Class	4.598	0.784	87
	1.1	2.3	4.6	19.5	72.4	0.0	Department	4.619	0.723	176
							College	4.234	0.969	3003
							University	4.260	0.942	29254
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	3	18	62	0	Class	4.575	0.816	87
	1.1	3.4	3.4	20.7	71.3	0.0	Department	4.665	0.738	176
							College	4.294	0.964	3003
							University	4.317	0.932	29227
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	3	15	68	0	Class	4.713	0.645	87
	1.1	0.0	3.4	17.2	78.2	0.0	Department	4.693	0.665	176
							College	4.233	1.024	3002
							University	4.288	0.959	29225
4. the quality of this course.	2	0	3	20	62	0	Class	4.609	0.768	87
	2.3	0.0	3.4	23.0	71.3	0.0	Department	4.642	0.735	176
							College	4.268	0.914	2999
							University	4.254	0.926	29216
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	3	17	65	0	Class	4.667	0.659	87
	0.0	2.3	3.4	19.5	74.7	0.0	Department	4.676	0.635	176
							College	4.397	0.845	2998
							University	4.402	0.847	29211
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	2	21	62	0	Class	4.632	0.701	87
	1.1	1.1	2.3	24.1	71.3	0.0	Department	4.574	0.729	176
							College	4.199	0.911	2999
							University	4.257	0.879	29205
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	6	15	66	0	Class	4.690	0.597	87
	0.0	0.0	6.9	17.2	75.9	0.0	Department	4.710	0.615	176
							College	4.319	0.878	3008
							University	4.315	0.885	29013
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	22	62	0	Class	4.667	0.584	87
	0.0	1.1	2.3	25.3	71.3	0.0	Department	4.642	0.643	176
							College	4.282	0.930	3004
							University	4.338	0.875	29007
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	2	20	62	0	Class	4.609	0.753	87
	1.1	2.3	2.3	23.0	71.3	0.0	Department	4.631	0.737	176
							College	4.225	0.999	3007
							University	4.267	0.962	29003
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	22	63	0	Class	4.701	0.508	87
	0.0	0.0	2.3	25.3	72.4	0.0	Department	4.705	0.516	176
							College	4.369	0.847	3006
							University	4.412	0.820	28994
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	0	26	59	0	Class	4.632	0.612	87
	0.0	2.3	0.0	29.9	67.8	0.0	Department	4.608	0.641	176
							College	4.264	0.829	3001
							University	4.323	0.799	28980
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	30	56	0	Class	4.632	0.508	87
	0.0	0.0	1.1	34.5	64.4	0.0	Department	4.674	0.494	175
							College	4.303	0.875	3006
							University	4.352	0.838	29001
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	5	3	23	55	0	Class	4.448	0.899	87
	1.1	5.7	3.4	26.4	63.2	0.0	Department	4.563	0.812	176
							College	4.218	0.990	3006
							University	4.283	0.938	29004
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	6	23	58	0	Class	4.598	0.619	87
	0.0	0.0	6.9	26.4	66.7	0.0	Department	4.636	0.627	176
							College	4.233	0.966	3006
							University	4.265	0.944	28998
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	24	62	0	Class	4.701	0.485	87
	0.0	0.0	1.1	27.6	71.3	0.0	Department	4.710	0.546	176
							College	4.347	0.833	3005
							University	4.375	0.816	29006
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	28	57	0	Class	4.632	0.531	87
	0.0	0.0	2.3	32.2	65.5	0.0	Department	4.676	0.558	176
							College	4.307	0.888	3004
							University	4.286	0.906	28965