SOIL FERTILITY OF SOYBEAN & RECENT ND RECOMMENDATION CHANGES



Christopher L. Augustin
Soil Health Extension Specialist
North Central Research Extension Center
701-857-7682, chris.Augustin@ndsu.edu

Soybean requires

N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, B, Cl, Mo, Ni

Inoculation

Inoculation

Table 1. Frequency of soybean yield responses, grain yield and protein differences between experiments with or without a soybean history when seed is inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* formulations at planting. (Carrington Research Extension Center, 2003-2007b and 2012; Oakes NDSU Experiment Farm, 2007a)

Site year*	Number of treatments	Soybean history	Number of treatments higher than check	Yield without inoculation	Mean yield with inoculation	Grain protein of the check	Mean grain protein inoculated
2003	38	No	38	32.8	38.8	31.5	35.0
2004	23	Yes	0	29.1	28.9	33.5	34.5 (NS)
2005	25	Yes	0	39.6	39.6	33.5	33.8 (NS)
2007a	7	Yes	0	55.9	55.9	35.1	35.1
2007b	11	No	11	46.1	50.7	32.0	34.1
2012	6	Yes	0	56.1	56.1	34.6	34.6

*All site years Carrington except 2007a treatment study at Oakes

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen, 2013, NDSU Extension Service

Inoculating virgin soybean ground



Forster, 2015

If soybean had good nodulation, you'll likely not see a response from inoculation

Time since last soybean crop	Bradyrhizobium cells per gram of soil
1 year	19,534
2 years	3,718
3 years	2,464
4 years	2,234

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen et al., 2019



Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen et al., 2019

Don’t forget the N credit for next year!

Rescue N application only profitable on virgin ground or no nodulation. R3 N application

Treatment	Yield (bu/ac)
100 lbs N/ac as urea	34.5a
100 lbs N/ac as UAN	32.9a
50 lbs N/ac as UAN	29.0ab
50 lbs N/ac as urea	25.9bc
Untreated	21.9c
LSD 5%	6.2

Endres, Aberle, and Henson, 2002

Phosphorus rates

Olsen P test, ppm				
Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
0-3	4-7	8-11	12-15	16+
----------lbs P_2O_5/ac----------				
52	26	0	0	0

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen et al., 2019

Kalra and Soper, 1968
Bardella, 2016

Soybean prefers broadcast P

P_2O_5/ac	Placement Method	
	Broadcast	2x2in Band
	---Yield (bu/ac)---	
0	35.5	34.3
20	39.6	35.3
40	41.1	36.2
60	44.0	39.1
80	42.4	37.1

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen, 2013, NDSU Extension


In-furrow liquid P fertilizer

CREC In Furrow

Application Method	Stand 1,000 plants/ac	Yield bu/ac
Check	187.5a	32.8a
2x2 4gal/ac	188.6a	33.5a
In furrow 4 gal/ac	133.2b	24.5b
In Furrow 8 gal/ac	120.6b	18.9c
LSD 5%	16.5	4.3

Endres and Hendrickson, 2008

Potassium rates

Ammonium acetate K test, ppm					
VL/VL	L/L	M/M	H/M	VH-H	VH/VH
0-40	41-80	81-120	121-150	151-200	201+
----------lbs K_2O/ac----------					
90/90	60/90	60/60	30/60	0/60	0/0

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen et al., 2019

Smectite:Illite Potassium Thresholds



Iron Deficiency Chlorosis

- Don’t worry if pH is under 7
- Test CCE for soybean
- Low risk = CCE < 2.5%
- Moderate risk = 2.5 – 5%
- High risk = >5%

1. Plant tolerant varieties
2. ortho-ortho-EDDHA Fe chelate

Photo courtesy of Sarah Lovas

Figure 5. Effect of a 1.5 percent Fe as ortho-ortho EDDHA added to soil at different rates (left) compared with a 5.5 percent Fe as ortho-ortho EDDHA applied at the same rates (right).

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen, 2019, NDSU Extension Service

Photo Courtesy of Goos & Lovas

Companion Crop Oats can Reduce IDC

Soybean Soil Fertility, Franzen, 2019, NDSU Extension Service
Photo Courtesy of J. Lamb University of Minnesota

- **Seed oats/barley at 1bu/ac**
- **Spray out Oats at V5 if wet spring, earlier if dry**

Foliar fertilizers

Micro-nutrients

Mallarineo et al., 2015
Ahmed and Evans, 1959
Jayakumar et al., 2018

Liming acidic soils

Causes of soil pH

- Parent materials
  - Granite and volcanic ash are acidic
  - Limestone and ocean sediments are alkaline
- Nitrogen fertilizer
  - $CO(NH_2)_2 + 2H_2O + H^+ \blacktriangleright NH_3 + H_2O + H^+ \blacktriangleright NO_2^- \blacktriangleright NO_3^-$
- Over time the soil acidifies and frees up aluminum. Clays are made up of aluminum and silicates.

Strong Acidity & Aluminum Toxicity

- Inhibits microbial activity
- Occurs when pH < 5.5 and Al^{+3} is freed up.
- Al^{+3} is 25 ppm or >
- As Al^{+3} frees up, it splits H_2O and attached to OH^-. This frees up H^+ and acidifies even more.
- Al^{+3} ties up P. Early on it can look like a P deficiency.
- As it worsens, roots are abnormally shaped or amount is reduced.
- Manganese toxicity has now been observed in ND.

Surface applied beet lime effects on soil pH by depth and soil horizon.

	Horizon*	Initial pH	2 Beet Lime (t/ac)	4 Beet Lime (t/ac)
Depth (in)		----------pH----------		
0-2	Ap	5.3ax**	6.5bx	6.7bx
2-4	Ap	5.4ax	6.1bx	6.2bx
4-6	Ap	5.4ax	5.6ay	5.7ay
6-12	Bt	5.8ay	5.9ay	5.9ay
12-24	Btk	7.7az	7.7az	7.7az

*Horizons were determined by observing push probe samples.

**a and b show significance across treatments. x, y, and z show significance across depths within a treatment. Significance is at the 0.05 level.

Beet Lime Effects on Soil

Treatment	pH	Al (ppm)
0 t/ac	4.5	51
2 t/ac	5.8	22
4 t/ac	5.9	18
8 t/ac	6.0	15



~$50/ton hauled from Sidney and applied in Minot.

Summary

- Inoculate if you've had issues or virgin soybean ground.
- Soybean is efficient at mining P
- K thresholds & P have changed
- Micronutrients rarely benefit
- IDC

References

- Akyuz, A. 2018. North Dakota climate bulletin summer 2018 [Online]. Available at https://www.ndsu.edu/ndsco/climatesummaries/quarterlyclimatebulletin/2018/ (verified on Jul. 25, 2019). North Dakota State Climate Office, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND.
- Armstrong, R., B.J. Shae, S. Braaten, J. Fewell, D. Wilcox, and J. Halley. 2017. 2017 Annual report northcentral North Dakota. North Dakota Farm and Ranch Business Management Education; North Dakota Career and Technical Education. Bismarck, ND.
- Bardella, G.R. 2016. Phosphorus management practices for soybean production in Manitoba. *M.S. Thesis.* University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB.
- Bauder, J.W., and M.J. Ennen. 1981. Water use of field crops in eastern North Dakota. North Dakota Farm Res. 38(5):3-5.
- Chapara, V., N. Kalwar, L. Lubenow, K. Chitem, L. Del Rio-Mendoza, and A. Chirumamilla. 2018. Clubroot of canola: prevalence and evaluation of soil amendments. Am. Soc. Agron. And Crop Sci. Soc. Am. Annual Meeting. Nov. 4-7, 2018. Baltimore, MD.
- DeSutter, T.M., and C.B. Godsey. 2010. Sugar-beet-processing lime as an amendment for low pH soils. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 41:1789-1796.
- Franzen, D.W. 1999. North Dakota survey of soil copper, pH zinc, and boron levels. North Dakota State Univ. Extension Service, Fargo, ND.
- Franzen, D.W. 2002. A case for the use of limestone in North Dakota. p. 139-144. *In* Proceedings North Central Extension Industry Soil Fertility Conference, Nov. 20-21, 2002, Des Moines, IA. Potash and Phosphate Institute, Brookings, SD.
- Franzen, D.W. 2018. Soybean soil fertility SF1164 (Revised February 2018). North Dakota State Univ. Ext. Fargo, ND.
- Franzen, D.W., and J. Gerwing. 1997. Effectiveness of using low rate of plant nutrients, North Central regional research publication No. 341 [Online]. Available at http://www.agronext.iastate.edu/soilfertility/info/LowRatePlantNutrients.pdf (verified on Sep. 19, 2019). North Dakota State University Extension Service. Fargo, ND.

References

- Franzen, D.W., and J.L. Richardson. 2000. Soil factors affecting iron chlorosis of soybean in the Red River Valley of North Dakota and Minnesota. J. Plant Nutri. 23:67-78.
- Franzen, D., N. Cattanach, J. Giles, and M. Khan. 2002. Improvements in sugarbeet growth with amendments in sandy soils with a history of poor sugarbeet performance. Sugarbeet Research and Extension Reports [Online]. Available at https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ b145/14a4f4e0b05caee515968b78549d0959094e.pdf?_ga=2.122321419.1059411116.1 69959005-647296191.1569959005 (verified on Oct. 1, 2019). Sugarbeet Research & Extension Reports, Fargo, ND.
- Jantzi, D., K. Hagemeister, and B. Krupich. 2018. North Dakota agricultural statistics 2018 Ag Statistics No. 87 August 2018 [Online]. Available at https://www.nass.usda.gov/ Statistics_by_State/North_Dakota/Publications/Annual_Statistical_Bulletin/2018/ND Annual-Bulletin18.pdf (verified on Sep. 10, 2019). U.S. Dept. of Ag. National Ag. Statistics Serv. Northern Plains Regions N.D. Field Office, Fargo, ND.
- Kadhem, F.A., J.E. Specht, and J.H. Williams. 1985. Soybean irrigation serially timed during stages R1 to R6. I. agronomic responses. Agron. J. 77:291-298.
- Kalra, Y.P., and R.J. Soper. 1968. Efficiency of rape, oats, soybeans, and flax absorbing soil and fertilizer phosphorus at seven stages of growth. Agron. J. 60:209-212.
- Kalwar, N. 2019. Determining the economic response of sodic soils to remediation by gypsum, elemental sulfur, and versalime in northeast North Dakota on tiled field. North Dakota State University Langdon Research Extension Center Annual Report, Langdon, ND.
- Klocke, N.L., D.E. Eisenhauer, J.E. Specht, R.W. Elmore, and G.W. Hergert. 1989. Irrigation soybeans by growth stages in Nebraska. Am. Soc. Ag. Engin.3:361-366.
- North Dakota Agricultural Weather Network (NDAWN). 2019. 30-year normal total precipitation [Online]. Available at https://ndawn.ndsu.nodak.edu (verified on 29, Mar. 2019). North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND.

Questions?